# Early Results and Physics Prospects from the CMS Experiment at the LHC

#### Jeffrey D. Richman, UCSB Representing the CMS Collaboration



Kavli Insititute for Theoretical Physics UC Santa Barbara, 7 May 2010





# Outline

- Introduction: a little history and LHC status
- Physics overview: cross sections & signatures
- CMS detector performance and early results
- Prospects for Standard Model measurements and New Physics searches in 2010-2011
  - Top quark production
  - SUSY

What is expected physics reach for run with 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 7 TeV?

- Higgs

Conclusions

This talk is far from comprehensive...see CMS public results:

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMS/PublicPhysicsResults

# The struggle establish a TeV-scale facility

Chris Llewellyn Smith http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v448/n7151/full/nature06076.html

- 1977: An LHC-like machine is in the air; SSC is proposed after a series of accelerator workshops and CERN Director proposes an LHC-like machine in the LEP tunnel
- 1983: Preliminary SSC design work
- 1985: long-range planning committee, chaired by Carlo Rubbia, recommended an LHClike machine
- 1987: SSC approved by President Reagan
- 1991: CERN council adopts a resolution in favor of LHC
- 1992: Expressions of interest submitted by LHC collaborations to build detectors
- 1993: ATLAS and CMS selected.
- 1993: SSC is cancelled.

See also: *The Large Hadron Collider: a Marvel of Technology*, ed. by Lyndon Evans, CRC Press, 2009.

1994: Project approved by CERN council (Dec 16).

- 1997: Turn-on date of 2005 specified.
- 1998: Construction of LHC started. Alice and LHCb approved.
- 2000: LEP shut down to provide access to tunnel.

Courtesy Sarah Eno

#### **Information Management: A Proposal**

Tim Berners-Lee, CERN March 1989, May 1990

This proposal concerns the management of general information about accelerators and experiments at CERN. It discusses the problems of loss of information about complex evolving systems and derives a solution based on a distributed hypertext system.

Many of the discussions of the future at CERN and the LHC era end with the question - "Yes, but how will we ever keep track of such a large project?" This proposal provides an answer to such questions. Firstly, it discusses the problem of information access at CERN. Then, it introduces the idea of linked information systems, and compares them with less flexible ways of finding information.



#### Inside the LHC Tunnel

Total magnets	9300
Num. dipoles	1232
Num. quads	858
RF cavs/beam	8
Bunches/beam	2808
Protons/bunch	1.1 1011
Collisions/sec	600 10 <sup>6</sup>
Bunch spacing	7 m (25 ns
Dipole field	8.33 T
Dipole op.	1.9 K
temp.	
Dipole current	11,700 A



A superb instrument to support a 20 year physics program.

#### LHC DIPOLE : STANDARD CROSS-SECTION

CERN AC/DI/MM - HE107 - 30 04 1999









### **CMS Detector Functionality**



# CMS Silicon Tracker Installation: Dec 2007











#### Collisions at 7 TeV

http://cdsweb.cern.ch/journal/CERNBulletin/2010/14/News%20Articles/1246424?In=fr http://press.web.cern.ch/press/PressReleases/Releases2010/PR07.10E.html

#### Nous avons réussi !

Presque 20 années de travail acharné accompli par des centaines de personnes ont permis au Grand collisionneur de hadrons (LHC) de passer du rêve à la réalité. Le LHC a livré aujourd'hui

March 30, 2010: 1st 7 TeV Collisions



Il y a quelques instants à la CCC

May 1-2, 2010, squeezed, stable beams (30 hrs), L>1.1× 10<sup>28</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>



http://cdsweb\_cern.ch/journal/CERNBulletin/2010/18/News%20Articles/1262593?In=en



#### CMS Operations at 7 TeV





#### **Cross sections for Key Processes**



# Comparison of Parton Luminosities at LHC and Tevatron

Use parton luminosities to Courtesy Oliver Buchmuller, LHCC presentation http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=92525 illustrate the gain: WJS 2010 1000 Example: mainly gg ratios of parton luminosities Higgs: pp  $\rightarrow$  H, H $\rightarrow$ WW and ZZ at 7 TeV LHC and Tevatron Factor ~15 100 Example: gg and qq uminosity ratio gg Top: (85% qq, 15% gg at Tevatro Factor: 0.85 x 5 + 0.15 x 100 → ~ 20 10 Squarks: ~350 GeV (assume top): Factor: 0.85 x 10 + 0.15 x 1000 Σqq →~ 150 to 200 MSTW2008NLO Z': ~1 TeV (qq)  $10^2$  $10^{3}$ 10<sup>1</sup> M<sub>v</sub> (GeV) Factor: ~ 50 to 100





Strong production usually dominates SUSY cross sections.



# mSUGRA cross sections at 7 TeV

 $\Delta m_0 = 50 \text{ GeV} \quad \Delta m_{1/2} = 20 \text{ GeV}$ Leading order cross section  $\tan \beta = 3, A_0 = 0, \mu > 0$ 



# Cross Sections for SM vs. Low-mass SUSY benchmark points

CMS





### **Basic SUSY Search Topologies**

Plan for first LHC physics run: coherent survey of simple, inclusive signatures involving MET. But also need to search for "exotic" signatures such as those arising from Split SUSY.



- Establish foundation for more complex searches. Signatures will expand to include b, τ, t.
  - Data-driven background methods: pursue multiple approaches, <u>as</u> <u>many cross-checks as possible.</u>

<u>0 leptons</u> • Exclusive jets • Inclusive Jets • Photons + Jets	<u>1 lepton</u>	<u>2 leptons</u> • Like-sign • Opposite sign	<u>≥3 leptons</u>



- Theorists ask...
  - How will we know if the New Physics is SUSY?
  - How will we determine mass scale...and the full spectrum?
  - How will we determine the underlying Lagrangian?
- What experimenters think about...
  - Is there a leak? Will the trigger work?
  - How much calorimeter noise is there?
  - How big is the QCD background?
  - How can we be confident it's not just the standard model + tails of experimental distributions?

# Detector/Software Commissioning

A few examples of things you have to check...

- Trigger rates and efficiencies
- Tracking system alignment, pattern recognition, resolution, efficiencies
- Calorimeter resolution, calibration, noise
- Jet energy scale corrections
- Photon, electron and muon ID algorithms
- Track isolation determination
- Missing energy resolution
- b- and τ-tagging algorithms

# **CMS Tracker Inner Region: Pixels**

Inner part of all-silicon tracker

- Fast response to keep up with 25 ns beam xing interval (2 MHz)
- Pixels: 3 barrel layers, 2 pairs F/B

Pixel Detector Parameter	Value
Pixel Size	100 μm × 150 μm
Resolution	10 μm (rφ)× 20 μm (z)
Number pixels	66 M
Sensor thickness	285 μm
Radii of barrel layers	4.3, 7.2, 11.0 cm
z  of forward layers (disks)	34.5 cm, 46.5 cm (F and B)
Total sensor area	~1 m²
Fraction operational	98.4%
Efficiency	97%

#### **Pixel Detector Geometry**







## **CMS Tracker: Silicon Strips**

- Largest silicon tracker ever built: ~200 m<sup>2</sup>
- Inner+outer barrel: 4+6 layers; 10-14 points
- 9.3 M strips, pitch 80-180  $\mu m;$  97.2% working
- Sensor thickness: 320 μm; 500 μm





## **CMS Tracker Performance**





#### **CMS Tracker Performance**





# Events with Two Primary Vertices



## CMS Electromagnetic Calorimeter (ECAL)

- Barrel/Endcap: 61,200 / 2×7,324 PbWO<sub>4</sub> crystals
- Rad-hard, very fast (80% of light in 25 ns)
- 25.8 and 24.7  $X_0$ ; about 1  $\lambda_0$  ( $X_0 = 0.89$  cm) ( $E \approx 25 200$  GeV)
- Barrel inner radius: 129 cm (operates in B field!)
- Low light yield (30  $\gamma$ /MeV); use avalanche photodiodes
- Coverage: |η|<1.479 (barrel), 1.479<|η|<3.0 (endcap)</li>





 $\frac{\sigma_E}{F} \approx 0.8\% - 0.4\%$ 



- p<sub>T</sub>(γ)>0.4 GeV; p<sub>T</sub>(γγ)>1.0 GeV; S4/S9>0.83 both photons.
- Fitted mass agrees well with expected value.
- Fitted yield:  $N(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = 1.46 \text{ M}$





•  $|\eta|$  and  $P_T$  distributions of  $\pi^0$  candidates agree between data and MC.

# Studies of Jets and MET in 2009 Data

CMS PAS JME-10-001

#### Transverse energy

In barrel region: calo tower (projective): $E_{r}(GeV)$ 5X5 ECAL crystals + 1 HCAL cell

Dijet event candidate from 0.9 TeV run

- ECAL energy deposits (red)
- HCAL energy deposits (blue)
- $E_T$ >0.3 GeV in calo tower
- Silicon tracks with  $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}$  (green)

Algorithm	Jet ET values
Calorimeter only information	45 GeV, 37 GeV
Calorimeter + tracker	39 GeV, 33 GeV
Particle Flow	39 GeV, 31 GeV





#### CMS Di-jet Distributions Vs=0.9 TeV

CMS





## **Calorimeter Performance: MET**

#### CMS PAS JME-10-002 Identification and cleanup of noise hits in HF and ECAL.



HF "noise" may be due to particles directly hitting the window of HF PMT; removed using redundant readout system.



## **Calorimeter Performance: MET**

#### CMS PAS JME-10-002

Track-corrected MET (tcMET) after application of clean-up procedure identifying anomalous noise.







#### Z Boson Candidate



Luminosity	y progressic	on of 7 TeV Run
Summer 2010	End of 2010	Fall 2011
~ 1 $pb^{-1}$	~100 pb <sup>-1</sup>	$\sim 1000 \text{ pb}^{-1} = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
<ul> <li>QCD, b measurements</li> <li>W, Z cross sections</li> <li>Electroweak program</li> <li>Early ttbar observation</li> <li>Early searches, mainly</li> </ul>	s n / Exotica	
	<ul> <li>+ top physics</li> <li>+ broad sear Mainly Exc</li> </ul>	s program rch program: otica, SUSY

• + Higgs program

Early SM studies are critical for laying the foundation for searches.



#### mSUGRA benchmarks: LMO



Light gluino, squarks

 $m(\tilde{g}) = 409 \text{ GeV}$ 

• "Large" cross sec., even at 7 TeV.  $\sigma_{LO}(7 \text{ TeV}) = 38.9 \text{ pb}$ 

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm NLO}}{\sigma_{\rm LO}} = k_{\rm Prospino} \simeq 1.4$$

 Roughly marks edge of current Tevatron exclusion results.



#### Typical decay patterns in LMO





#### Typical decay patterns in LMO





### CMS mSUGRA benchmark points

Benchmark	σ(LO) [7/10/14 TeV] (pb)	M( $\widetilde{g}$ ) GeV	M( $\widetilde{b}_1$ ) GeV	M( $ ilde{\chi}_1^0$ ) GeV	m_1/2 GeV	m_0 GeV
LM0	39/110	409	356	60	160	200
LM1	4.9/16/43	603	510	96	250	60
LM2	0.60/2.4/7.3	827	671	141	350	185
LM3	3.4/12/34	597	548	94	240	330
LM4	1.9/6.7/19.4	687	598	112	285	210
LM5	0.47/1.9/6.0	851	734	144	360	230
LM6	0.31/1.3/3.8	932	785	161	400	85
LM7	1.2/2.9/3.8	637	2450	94	230	3000
LM8	0.73/2.9/8.8	738	710	120	300	500
LM9	7.1/11.6/23.3	488	1008	65	175	1450

LM0 has  $tan\beta=10$ ,  $sign(\mu)=+1$ ,  $A_0=-400$ 



### **Basic SUSY Search Topologies**

Plan for first LHC physics run: coherent survey of simple, inclusive signatures involving MET. But also need to search for "exotic" signatures such as those arising from Split SUSY.



- Establish foundation for more complex searches. Signatures will expand to include b, τ, t.
  - Data-driven background methods: pursue multiple approaches, <u>as</u> <u>many cross-checks as possible.</u>

<u>0 leptons</u> • Exclusive jets • Inclusive Jets • Photons + Jets	<u>1 lepton</u>	<u>2 leptons</u> • Like-sign • Opposite sign	<u>≥3 leptons</u>

# Analysis issues and approaches

- For many background measurements, we (mostly) do not want to rely on
  - Predicted cross sections (especially for QCD)
  - Predicted kinematical distributions
- Major emphasis on "Data-driven background determinations"
  - Rely on control samples in the data, sometimes with some assistance from Monte Carlo
  - May suffer from limitations (statistical or systematic) that reduce the precision of the measurement. Will evolve rapidly w/more data.

All hadronic exclusive Jets + MET

CMS PAS SUS-09-001

 $\alpha_T \equiv E_T^{j_2} / M_T(j_1, j_2)$ 

L. Randall and D. Tucker-Smith, "Dijet Searches for Supersymmetry at the LHC," Phys. Rev. Lett. **101 (2008) 221803.** 

• Dijet analysis

• N=3-6 jets: form two pseudo-jets • minimize  $\Delta HT = E_T^{pj1} - E_T^{pj2}$ 





# Estimated Sensitivity of Inclusive All-Hadronic SUSY Search: tanβ=10



Separate tight selections for 100 pb<sup>-1</sup> and 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>.



# Search for Stopped Gluinos

 $m_{\rm S}$ 

TeV

CMS PAS EXO-09-001

See N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, arXiv:hep-th/0405159v2

- In Split SUSY, the masses of the scalars are at a very high SUSY breaking scale, while the gluino can be vastly lighter
  - $\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{q}^* \overline{q}; \quad \tilde{q}^* \rightarrow q \chi_1^0$  (3 body decay)
- Motivates search for long-lived particles (gluinos) that stop in the detector and decay well after the pp collision time.
- Gluinos fragment into R-hadrons, which  $\swarrow g_{\chi_1}^{0}$ could stop in the detector and decay  $\chi_1^{0}$ seconds, days, or weeks later!  $\Delta_{\tilde{g}}^{++} \rightarrow \tilde{g}u(uu) \rightarrow g\chi_1^{0}u(uu)$



CMS PAS EXO-09-001

#### Where do R-hadrons stop?

#### R-hadron stopping efficiency



- Offline analysis based on hadronic calorimeter (HCAL) energy deposit, shower shape, and pulse shape.
- Trigger efficiency: 31.8%. Efficiency after all cuts: 16.4% of stopped gluinos.  $\sqrt{s} = 10 \text{ TeV}, m(\tilde{g}) = 300 \text{ GeV}, m(\chi_1^0) = 50 \text{ GeV}$

# Search for Stopped Gluinos: Method (II)

CMS PAS EXO-09-001



- Trigger: calorimeter (HCAL) energy + out of LHC collision times (beam gaps+interfill periods). Use coincidence of beam pick-up monitors upstream of CMS to veto pp.
- Dominant background: cosmic rays+instrumental noise (both studied during extensive CMS cosmic ray running in 2008-2009). R<sub>background</sub>≈4×10<sup>-4</sup> Hz.

# Search for Stopped Gluinos: Sensitivity

# Significance vs. running time for various gluino lifetimes

CMS PAS EXO-09-001 scaled from 10 TeV  $\rightarrow$ 7 TeV

#### Significance vs. gluino mass



Beamgap exp't:  $\tau \approx 1 \mu s \rightarrow hours$ ; interfill exp't:  $\tau \approx hours \rightarrow weeks$ 

# $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} + X$ in the dilepton channel

- Key SM benchmark process
- In channels with leptons, have substantial p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup>.
- Topology is SUSY-like: multiple jets + p<sub>T</sub><sup>miss</sup> + leptons.
- Is a key background in nearly all SUSY searches, even like-sign dileptons.  $V_{\ell}$





Background level & composition is very sensitive to Njets required and to whether the lepton flavors are the same.

### pp→tt+X dileptons: jet multiplicities



Signal purity highly dependent on N<sub>iets</sub>; so far no MET requirement.

### pp → tt+X dileptons: apply MET cuts



MET requirement suppresses Drell Yan, bkgnd is small for Njets≥2



# pp→ tt+X dileptons: expectations

- Drell-Yan estimated from Z control sample (MET)+ +Monte Carlo R(Zoff/Zon).
- S/B=9/1 in emu mode, which dominates precision.
   10 pb<sup>-1</sup>→15% stat error all modes





# SUSY in Opposite-Sign Dileptons

• Traditional approach: search for opp. sign, same flavor leptons from correlated SUSY production:

$$ilde{\chi}^0_2 
ightarrow \ell^+ ilde{\ell}^-; \quad ilde{\ell}^- 
ightarrow \ell^- ilde{\chi}^0_1$$

slepton on-shell: seq. 2-body decays slepton off-shell: 3-body decay

• Background estimations from eµ control sample.



#### **Opposite Sign Dileptons: Kinematics**



$$m_{\ell^+\ell^-,\max} = m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} - m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$$

 $\sqrt{s} = 10 \text{ TeV} 200 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ LM0 MC signal}$ 



Not easy to distinguish between 2- and 3-body spectra w/this size data sample.



- Classic SUSY signature; very low SM background.
- Reliable data-driven background estimate is critical.
- Basic cuts ee/eµ/µµ with pT>10, pT>20 GeV; Z veto;
   ≥3 jets ET>30; SumET(jets)>200 GeV, ETmiss>80 GeV
- Key issues: fake leptons & electron charge misID
- Largest background: ttbar





## Estimated Sensitivity of Like-Sign Dilepton Search



#### Is the `Alpe d'Huez' structure understood?





## **Higgs Production and Decays**

- Best sensitivity for *H* at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV is from  $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$
- Dominant production via  $gg \rightarrow H$
- Low mass Higgs via  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  requires O(10 fb<sup>-1</sup>) at 14 TeV





# Higgs boson sensitivity: $H \rightarrow W^+ W^-$

 Andrey Korytov
 http://www.ippp.dur.ac.uk/export/sites/IPPP/Workshops/10/Th-Exp-LHC/Andrey\_Korytov.pdf

 Guillelmo Gomez-Ceballos
 http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=2&sessionId=0&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=86819

- Estimate sensitivities by rescaling previous results from 14 TeV
- Gluon-gluon fusion (NNLO), vector-boson fusion (NLO), *WH* and *ZH* contributions at NLO Discovery level sensitivity





# Dilepton Resonances (Example Z')

- Predicted in many SM extensions (Extra Dimensions, Technicolour, Little Higgs)
- Low, well understood background dominated by DY
- 95% CL exclusion O(100/pb) at 1 TeV
- Sensitivity beyond the Tevatron (1 TeV SSM Z') with ~100 pb<sup>-1</sup>



## From Steve Myers LHC Status Report 5 May 2010

http://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=6&resId=1&materialId=slides&confId=92525

Strategy for Increasing the Beam Intensity

- The magic number for 2010/11 is 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>. To achieve this, the LHC must run flat out at 1-2x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> in 2011,
  - Correspond to 8e10 ppb, 700 bunches, with a stored energy of 35 MJ (with β\*=2 m and nominal emittance).



## Conclusions

- The LHC physics program has finally begun.
- The run at 7 TeV will provide sensitivity to new physics beyond current Tevatron limits.
- ATLAS and CMS are working extremely well.
- The physics program will advance through many different eras due to large successive increases in the luminosity.
- The range of cross sections relevant for new physics is enormous—each era will allow us to address new questions.

# Backup Slides



## **Higgs Sensitivity**



#### CDF Jet-MET search (2 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/exotic/r2a/20080214.squark\_gluino/cdf9229\_squark\_gluino\_2fb.ps (public document) CDF, PRL, 102, 121801 (2009) http://arXiv.org/pdf/0811.2512

