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• What is the Higgs boson
• What did we know about the Higgs boson 2 years ago?
• What did we know last Christmas?
• It has now been found!
• Conclusions and prospects

Technical Details kept to a minimum...this is not a talk for experts in particle physics
ELEMENTARY PARTICLES

Quarks
- up
- charm
- top
- down
- strange
- bottom

Leptons
- electron neutrino
- muon neutrino
- tau neutrino
- electron
- muon
- tau

Force Carriers
- photon
- gluon
- Z boson
- W boson

Three Generations of Matter
- I
- II
- III
What is the Higgs Boson?
The Higgs Boson and Particle Physics

• We have a beautiful theory of the strong and electromagnetic interaction based on “gauge” interactions. The Standard Model (SM).

• It works great. But it breaks down miserably if we put the masses of lepton, quarks, force carriers, into the theory by hand.

• The Higgs mechanism is a way around this.

• It predicts the existence of a neutral, spin=0, fundamental particle: the Higgs Boson.
Higgs boson: what Margaret Thatcher and the 'God Particle' have in common

The former prime minister Margaret Thatcher is playing an intriguing role in helping to understand the great scientific mystery of the Higgs boson.

By Iain Hollingshead
7:48PM GMT 13 Dec 2011

Historians – or at least those like me, who spent three happy years...
Cern. Yesterday, it was reported that researchers in Geneva may have glimpsed the Higgs boson, some sort of subatomic particle proton thingy which has something to do with mass (or is it density...?).

You see the problem? Perhaps you’re a physics genius who bandies around phrases such as “Standard Model theory” and “Compact Muon Solenoid” at drinks parties. But what about the rest of us who gave up

I have more joy with Roger Highfield, Telegraph columnist and former editor of New Scientist. In 1993, he points out, William Waldegrave, then science minister, challenged physicists to produce a one-page answer to the question: “What is the Higgs boson, and why do we want to find it?” The winning entry, which Highfield says the director-general of Cern still uses, compared the universe to a cocktail party of political workers attended by Margaret Thatcher. Her popularity (among Tories in 1993) means that as she moves around the room she has more mass than everyone else; once she is moving, she is hard to stop, and once she has stopped she is hard to get moving again. That, in essence, is the Higgs mechanism. Now imagine a political rumour passing through the same room. It would travel in clusters, giving those carrying the rumour extra mass in a similar way to the former PM’s. That, in essence, is the Higgs boson.
• Elementary particles acquire mass through their interactions with Higgs field
• The stronger the interaction, the larger the mass of the particle

• This has an important consequence:

**The Higgs boson likes to decay to the heaviest particles that it can**
The Higgs Boson and the Standard Model

• It is the least tested feature of the SM
• The implementation of the Higgs Mechanism in the SM is the most “economical”, but it could be more complicated, eg “two Higgs Doublet Models” (2HDM) result in 5 physical particles. (SUSY is a 2HDM)
• There are higgs-less alternatives (technicolor, etc)
• There are issues with fundamental scalars (S=0) in the theory (the “fine tuning” issue – SUSY fixes that, sort of)
What did we know about the Higgs boson ~ 1.5 years ago
Where does our knowledge come from

1. Theory
   - In the SM the couplings are fully specified
     • We know how it decays (“branching fractions”) and how it can be produced (“cross-sections”)
     • This is extremely important in a search
   - **But the mass is a free parameter**

2. Experiment
   - We have been searching for the Higgs boson. We have not found it. **This results in ruling out certain mass ranges**

3. Experiment + Theory
   - The mass of the Higgs enters in SM calculations of higher order corrections to quantities that we can measure.
   - Results in *indirect* constraints on the Higgs mass
Experimental Searches

• LEP was a CERN $e^+e^-$ collider that run until ~ 2002 at a center-of-mass energy up to ~ 210 GeV
  – Established a limit $M_H > 114$ GeV
  – It saw a hint of a signal at $M_H \sim 116$ GeV

• The Fermilab ppbar experiments at a center-of-mass-energy of 2 TeV excluded $156 < M_H < 173$ GeV
Indirect Constraints, an example

\[ M_W^2 = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{G_F S_W^2} (1 + \Delta r) \]

- Mass of the W known at the $3 \times 10^{-4}$ level
- Fermi Constant known at the $10^{-5}$ level
- Higher order terms

\[ \Delta r_{\text{top}} \approx 0.03 \cdot \left( \frac{M_{\text{top}}}{175 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \]

- Quadratic dependence on top mass

\[ \Delta r_H \approx 0.003 \cdot \left( \log \frac{M_H^2}{c_W^2 M_Z^2} - \frac{5}{6} \right) \]

- Logarithmic dependence on Higgs mass

Mass of the top known to $\sim 1\%$
Relationship between $W$, top, Higgs masses

$\Delta \alpha$

LEP1 and SLD

LEP2 and Tevatron

$68\%$ CL

July 2011

$80.3$ $114$

$80.4$ $300$

$80.5$ $1000$

$155$ $80\%$ CL

$175$ $195$

$168$ $m_t$ $[\text{GeV}]$

$168$ $m_W$ $[\text{GeV}]$

$168$ $m_H$ $[\text{GeV}]$
All “precision” measurements are thrown into a big statistical fit.

\[ M_H = 89^{+35}_{-26} \text{ GeV} \]

Assumes SM and nothing else.
Executive Summary, as of ~ 1.5 year ago

• The SM tells us everything about the Higgs except for its mass.

• Direct searches excluded $M_H<114 \text{ GeV}$ (LEP) and a small interval around 160 GeV (Tevatron)

• There was a hint of a signal near 116 GeV at LEP

• Indirect evidence points to a light SM Higgs
About the Results That I Present Today

• There are two “big” detectors at the LHC, Atlas and CMS

• For simplicity, I (mostly) show CMS results
  – Because I am on CMS

• Results from Atlas are comparable
• Results are based on data collected in 2011 and until end of June 2012
• The *integrated luminosity* was \(~ 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}\) for each run period
• It corresponds to \(~ 10^{15} \) proton-proton interactions
General considerations: how can you find (or exclude) the Higgs?

1. Produced in pp interactions, look for its decay signature
There are a few different production mechanisms

As $M_H$ increases, the cross-section decreases

It is a rare process

In $\sim 10^{15}$ pp collision, $\sim 180$ K (9 K) higgses were produced for $M_H=120$ (500) GeV
General considerations: how can you find (or exclude) the Higgs?

1. Produced in pp interactions, look for its decay signature

2. Concentrate on different decay modes depending on $M_H$. For a given decay mode often perform several searches fine tuned for different $M_H$
• Not all decay modes are accessible because of backgrounds
  – For example: $H \rightarrow gg$ is hopeless
  – $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ can only be seen if the Higgs is produced in association with a $W$ or $Z$
    • This looses a factor of $O(100)$ in rate

• Many of the final state particles also decay
  – Some of their decay modes are also swamped by backgrounds.
  – For example $H \rightarrow WW$ final state must require both $W$ decay as $W \rightarrow e\nu$ or $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$
    • Lose factor of $\sim 20$ in rate
Bottom line decay modes

• Many decay modes are looked at
  – The “drops in the bucket approach”

• The most important ones are
  – pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \text{ at low mass (BR } \sim 10^{-3})
  – pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\nu e\nu/\mu\nu \mu\nu/ev \mu\nu \mu\nu at intermediate mass (BR } \sim 5\%
  – pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee \nu\nu/\mu\mu \nu\nu at high mass (BR } \sim 6\%
  – pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow ee \mu\mu/ee \mu\mu \nu\nu at all masses (BR } \sim 0.5\%)
General considerations: how can you find (or exclude) the Higgs?

1. Produced in pp interactions, look for its decay signature

2. Concentrate on different decay modes depending on $M_H$. For a given decay mode often perform several searches fine tuned for different $M_H$

3. Fundamental difference between decay modes with and without neutrinos
Modes with and without neutrinos

• For example: in $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ measure energy and direction of the two photons.
  – Can reconstruct invariant mass of $\gamma\gamma$ pair.
  – Signal is clear: a peak in mass($\gamma\gamma$)
  – You can measure $M_H$ precisely (to better than 1 GeV)

• OTOH: in $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ cannot measure momentum of the two neutrinos
  – Cannot reconstruct invariant mass of WW pair
  – Signal is an excess of “$e\mu +$ missing momentum” events with characteristics consistent with Higgs on top of all possible contributions from other sources
  – You can get only coarse information on $M_H$ (to ~ 20 GeV or so)
General considerations: how can you find (or exclude) the Higgs?

1. Produced in pp interactions, look for its decay signature

2. Concentrate on different decay modes depending on $M_H$. For a given decay mode often perform several searches fine-tuned for different $M_H$

3. Fundamental difference between decay modes with and without neutrinos

4. If you do not see a signal, how can you exclude a mass range?
Excluding a mass range

• If you do not see a signal in a given mode, your result is
  \[ \sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \times \text{BR(your mode)} < xx \text{ at } 95\% \text{ CL} \]

• Since we know from theory what \( \sigma \) and \( \text{BR} \) should be as a function of \( M_H \), we can exclude any \( M_H \) that results in \( \sigma \times \text{BR} > xx \)

(Is this obvious?)
The “brazilian flag plot”

CMS preliminary
H → WW (BDT based)
L = 4.6 fb⁻¹

95% CL limit on \( \sigma / \sigma_{SM} \)

- Dashed line: median expected
- Green: expected ± 1σ
- Yellow: expected ± 2σ

Higgs mass [GeV/c²]
• If the observed limit is above (ie: worse) than the expected limit it means that there is an excess over what you expect from background-only
  – Statistical fluctuation of background
    Or
  – You are starting to see a signal
    Or
  – You messed up your background analysis

• A $1\sigma$ ($2\sigma$) excess of events results in limit $1\sigma$ ($2\sigma$) worse-than-expected limit

• If the expected limit is $n$-times the SM cross-section, then a SM Higgs, if it exist will lead, on average, to a limit $\sim (2/n)\sigma$ worse-than-expected
The 2011 results

Excluded almost the full mass range...except at the low end.
Both experiments had a 2-3σ excess near 125 GeV
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

CMS preliminary
$\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV $L = 4.76$ fb$^{-1}$

All Categories Combined

Data
Bkg Model
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CMS preliminary
\(\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV} \text{ L} = 4.76\text{ fb}^{-1}\)

All Categories Combined

\[H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\]
$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
• 13 events observed with \( M_{4l} < 160 \) GeV.
• \( 9.5 \pm 1.3 \) expected from BG
• Small cluster near 119 GeV
First 2012 results unveiled in CERN seminars on July 4th
2012 (July 4th) vs 2011

• Double the luminosity by adding 2012 data to 2011 data
• 8 TeV (2012) vs. 7 TeV (2011) → gain ~ 30% in Higgs production cross-section
• Many little analysis improvements that add up
• Have to fight harsher ambient background conditions: ~ 16 pp interactions per beam crossing in 2012 vs. ~ 7 in 2011
H$\rightarrow$WW$\rightarrow$lvlv Signature

Signature:
- 2 high $p_T$ leptons
- Large missing $E_T$
- No mass peak

Many backgrounds:
- WW, top, W+jet, Z/$\gamma^*$, WZ, ZZ, Wγ
H→WW→lνlν

- Exploit kinematical differences between signal and background
- Need to painstakingly understand all sources of dileptons and missing energy (from neutrinos)
H→WW→lνlν

There is an overall excess of events at the level of ∼ 1.5-2σ in the low mass region. The excess is consistent with Higgs expectations.
Signature:
A narrow invariant mass peak on top of a huge continuum background
To gain statistical power, define 6 different categories with different signal-to-background
The bump at 125 GeV has a (local) stat significance of 4.1σ
$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4 \text{ leptons}$

**Signature:**
A narrow, low statistics, invariant mass peak on top of a small continuum background.

- $\mu^+(Z_1) p_T : 43 \text{ GeV}$
- $e^-(Z_2) p_T : 10 \text{ GeV}$
- $e^+(Z_2) p_T : 21 \text{ GeV}$
- $\mu^-(Z_1) p_T : 24 \text{ GeV}$
- 4-lepton Mass : 126.9 GeV
H$\rightarrow$ZZ$^*$→4 leptons, mass spectrum

Some accumulation of events here
H$\rightarrow$ZZ$^*$ $\rightarrow$ 4 leptons, beyond the mass spectrum

Leptons from H$\rightarrow$ZZ$^*$ have distinct angular decay distributions

The cluster of events has Higgs-like kinematical properties. Stat significance $3.2\sigma$
Putting it all together (CMS)

- A combined local significance of 5σ
- Cross-sections and branching ratios in agreement with SM (but low stats)
- Mass = 125.3 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.5 (syst) GeV
What about Atlas?
Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC

ATLAS Collaboration

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our ATLAS colleagues who did not live to see the full impact and significance of their contributions to the experiment.

ABSTRACT

A search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC is presented. The datasets used correspond to integrated luminosities of approximately 4.8 fb$^{-1}$ collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in 2011 and 5.8 fb$^{-1}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in 2012. Individual searches in the channels $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4 \ell$, $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$, and $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$ are performed. The search for $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$ improves the sensitivity to the production of a neutral boson with a mass $m_H$. The observation, which has a significant fluctuation probability of $1.7 \times 10^{-5}$, is consistent with the Standard Model Higgs boson.

Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC

CMS Collaboration

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleagues who worked on CMS but have since passed away, in recognition of their many contributions to the achievement of this observation.

ABSTRACT

Results are presented from searches for the standard model Higgs boson in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in the Compact Muon Solenoid experiment at the LHC, using data samples corresponding to integrated luminosities of up to 5.1 fb$^{-1}$ at 7 TeV and 5.3 fb$^{-1}$ at 8 TeV. The search is performed in five decay modes: $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$, $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$, $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$, and $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$. An excess of events is observed above the expected background, with a local significance of 5.0 standard deviations, at a mass near 125 GeV, signaling the production of a new particle. The expected significance for a standard model Higgs boson of that mass is 3.8 standard deviations. The excess is most significant in the two decay modes with the best mass resolution, $\gamma\gamma$ and $ZZ^{(*)}$. A fit to these signals gives a mass of $125.3 \pm 0.4$ (stat.) $\pm 0.5$ (syst.) GeV. The decay to two photons indicates that the new particle is a boson with spin different from one.
What’s next for the Higgs?

• Only 2012 data until end of June fully analyzed (~ 5 fb\(^{-1}\))
• By end of the year we will have 25-30 fb\(^{-1}\)
• The key question now: is this \textbf{the} SM Higgs Boson?
Improve branching ratio measurements

Begin to separate production mechanisms

Is the spin 0 or 2?
What is the parity?
Are there other Higgses?
Measurement of the production cross-section of two W bosons from seven-trillion-electronvolt center-of-mass-energy proton-proton collisions
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The End