# Physics at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

Claudio Campagnari UC Santa Barbara June 2, 2009

## Outline

What and Why?
The LHC
A detector (CMS), how we do physics at the LHC
How to look for "New Physics"
Status



## Standard Model (SM) works very well and has been tested to E~O(100 GeV)~10<sup>-18</sup>m

Several open questions
Why 3 generations
Why matter-antimatter asymmetry
Dark matter?
Dark energy?
How does the ElectroWeak interaction break into EM and Weak interaction at low energies (electroweak symmetry breaking)

## ElectroWeak Symmetry Breaking

Occurs at E ~ 1000 GeV (1 TeV)
 In the SM realized through interaction with Higgs field
 Results in a yet-to-be-discovered Higgs particle



## Do not know if the Higgs mechanism is the right answer

But, if it is not the answer, something else must be operational at the TeV scale
The LHC is the machine that will allow us to explore the TeV scale

Find the Higgs (if it's there) and study its properties
 And/Or see if there is New Physics

## Outline

What and Why?
The LHC
A detector (CMS), how we do physics at the LHC
How to look for "New Physics"
Status

## Large Hadron Collider (LHC)

- A 14 TeV proton-proton collider
  - $-1 \text{ TeV} = 10^{12} \text{ eV}$
  - A factor of 7 more energy than the Fermilab Tevatron

27 Km long tunnel, 100 m below ground
 9300 superconducting magnets (1232 dipoles)
 60 tons of liquid helium
 11,000 tons of liquid nitrogen
 Energy stored in magnets = 10 GJ

Each of the 1232 dipoles.... is 15 m long carries 11.8 kA of current provides a field of 8.3 T There are 2808 "bunches" of protons in each beam 10<sup>11</sup> protons per bunch 11,245 turn When brought into collision the transverse size of the bunches is of order 10 µm



## **Picture of the tunnel**









## Outline

What and Why?
The LHC
A detector (CMS), how we do physics at the LHC
How to look for "New Physics"
Status



#### Coil insertion. 4T superconducting solenoid



Magnet is 12,000 tons. Stores enough energy to melt 18 tons of gold. 18





#### Insertion of HCAL



#### Insertion of ECAL super modules



#### Insertion of tracker



#### closing of detector for magnet test



## What does the detector do?

- The detector tries to measure the 4-momenta of all particles in a pp collisions
- 3-momenta of charged particles are inferred by reconstructing tracks as it bends in a 4T magnetic field
- For neutrals (γ, neutrons), energy is measured by size of "shower" in instrumented material (calorimeter)
- The interactions patterns of particles with the detector elements allows to "identify" the particle species
  - e.g., electron vs muon vs proton



Neutrinos (or dark matter particles) They do not interact Their presence is inferred by conservation of  $\sum ec{P}_{
u} = -\sum ec{P}_{ ext{visible}}$ momentum In practice this can only be done in the plane transverse to the beam direction, since particles escaping down the beampipe are not measured Missing Transverse Momentum, or Missing **Transverse Energy** 



## Gluons and quarks $\rightarrow$ jets

They do not directly show up in the detector Quarks and antiquarks are pulled from the vacuum and bound states are formed (hadrons, eg, pions, protons, etc) If the original gluon or quark is energetic enough, the result is a spray of hadrons (jet) that preserves the direction and energy of the original gluon or quark (more or less)

## A two jet event from D0 (di-jet)



# What happens when two protons collide?

Most of the times: not very much
 The protons might break up with most daughter particles going down the beampipe. A few (~50-100) particles with small transverse momentum (P<sub>T</sub>) show up in the detector



Physics 105B: Billiard ball scattering:  $\sigma = 4 \pi R^2$  $R_{proton} \sim 10^{-15} \text{ m} \rightarrow \sigma(pp) \sim 10^{-29} \text{ m}^2 = 100 \text{ mb}$ 

32

The garden-variety collisions are not interesting (to most people)
The interesting collisions are the "violent" collisions where a lot of transverse momentum is exchanged
Here we can think of collisions between the components of the proton (quarks, antiquarks, and gluons, aka partons)



Think of the LHC as a parton-parton collider
 <u>Broadband</u> collider. Partons in the proton can take any fraction of the proton momentum.
 In a probabilistic way, that we cannot calculate from first principles → measure it



 f<sub>i</sub>(x) = prob of parton i having momentum x\*P<sub>proton</sub>
 Parton Distribution Function (pdf)

Note that there are many many many gluons.
 LHC = gluon collider 34

## Moving on to harder scatters



Note: TeV-scale SUSY,  $\sigma \sim 10^{-9}$ mb

Jets have large crosssections because they are strong processes, eg,  $gg \rightarrow gg$ To look for "New Physics" require presence of  $\gamma$  or leptons (e,  $\mu$ ,  $\tau$ ) or missing energy

- Backgronds are electroweak processes
- There are exceptions

## Outline

What and Why?
The LHC
A detector (CMS), how we do physics at the LHC
How to look for "New Physics"
Status
#### What Physics should I do at the LHC?

To Higgs or not to Higgs?
 Should I look for the Standard Model Higgs, or for "New Physics"?

Interestingly enough all of us at UCSB have answered "not to Higgs"

Remainder of the talk on searches for New Physics

# 3+1 Ingredients for New Physics Discoveries at the LHC

- 0. Machine/detector: if they dont work, forget it
- 1. <u>Trigger:</u> if you did not trigger on it, it never happened
- 2. <u>Backgrounds:</u> It's the background, stupid
  - Need to understand instrumental and Standard Model physics backgrounds

**3.** <u>Searches:</u> If you look for something, you may not find it. But if you don't look, you will never find it

# What is the "trigger"? σ(pp) ~ 100 mb Gives an "event rate" of order 100 MHz Each event is ~ 250 kb 250 kb x 100 MHz = 25 Tbytes/second



Trigger is the system that selects the ~ 200 events/second that are saved for further study Most of the events are thrown away!!!!

# Trigger (2)

The decision on what to trigger on has enormous impact on the physics that we can do

- Trigger selects objects (e, μ, jets..) or combinations thereof
- All kinematical distributions fall steeply with P<sub>T</sub> → trigger selects objects above a threshold



- It is always a compromise A balance between competing priorities
- A source of great debate in the collaboration
- If you, as a theorist have a great idea for NP.....
- 1. Check that your events have been triggered on
- 2. If not, try to convince people to devote bandwidth to your theory

And your argument better be good...

40

# CMS "Trigger Menu"

Trigger	Threshold (GeV or GeV/c)	Rate (Hz)	Cumulative Rate (Hz)
Inclusive electron	29	33	33
Di-electrons	17	1	34
Inclusive photons	80	4	38
Di-photons	40, 25	5	43
Inclusive muon	19	25	68
Di-muons	7	4	72
Inclusive $ au$ -jets	86	3	75
Di-τ-jets	59	1	76
1-jet * $E_T^{miss}$	180 * 123	5	81
1-jet OR 3-jets OR 4-jets	657, 247, 113	9	89
Electron * Jet	19 * 45	2	90
Inclusive <i>b</i> -jets	237	5	95
Calibration and other events (10%)		10	105
TOTAL			105

From the Technical Design Report. Obsolete. There are now close to 100 different triggers in the menu.....

#### New Physics discoveries @ LHC **Broadly speaking, three types** Self Calibrating 1. A signal that stands out, where you do not really need to understand the background very well Counting experiments 2. The number of observed events of some type is $\bigcirc$ >> than the SM prediction Distributions 3. The distribution of some kinematical quantity is $\bigcirc$ inconsistent with the SM prediction 42

# Self Calibrating Signals (SCS) A NP signal that obviously stands out where you do not need to know the SM BG very precisely

 For example:
 A mass peak
 X→AB, measure the 4-momenta of A & B, then, (P<sub>A</sub>+P<sub>B</sub>)<sup>2</sup>=M<sub>X</sub><sup>2</sup>
 A huge distortion to some kinematical distribution

## Drell-Yan





44

SM Drell-Yan Di-jet background WW background



#### Another SCS example: di-jet mass distribution

Distorts angular distributions
 More scatters at high angles

- More jets at high P<sub>T</sub>
- More di-jets at high mass

do/ dM or  $d\sigma/dP_T$ 

QCD Background

QCD + Signal

Dijet Mass or jet P<sub>T</sub>



If the "edge" is low enough, this could be a relatively easy discovery (<u>Self-calibrating</u> variety)

# Di-jet mass distribution distortion Ratio of events at high-low η is a sensitive variable that eliminates many syst



Left-Handed	$\Lambda^+$ for	$\Lambda^+$ for	$\Lambda^+$ for
Quark Contact	100 pb <sup>-1</sup>	1 fb <sup>-1</sup>	10 fb <sup>-1</sup>
Interaction	(TeV)	(TeV)	(TeV)
95% CL Exclusion	5.2	104	14.8
50 Discovery	4.7	7.8	12.0

# What was just described is like Rutherford Scattering, but with quarks!











3+1 Ingredients for New Physics Discoveries at the LHC

- 0. <u>Machine/detector:</u> if they dont work, forget it
- 1. <u>Trigger:</u> if you did not trigger on it, it never happened
- <u>Backgrounds:</u> It's the background, stupid
   Need to understand instrumental and Standard
  - Model physics backgrounds
- 3. <u>Searches:</u> If you look for something, you may not find it. But if you don't look, you will never find it

#### Less dramatic signals

 Not all NP signals are as dramatic as a mass peak
 Particularly for signal with dark matter particles, eg, SUSY

 Because dark matter particles are not detected, only inferred from Missing Transverse Energy

And most often you have two per events

### $ec{P}_T(\mathsf{Dark1}) + ec{P}_T(\mathsf{Dark2}) = -\sum ec{P}_T(\mathsf{visible})$

#### Signal can be

"number of events passing selection" > SM expectation

"kinematical distributions" inconsistent with SM



Example: pp  $\rightarrow$  b'-'  $\rightarrow$  tW  $\Rightarrow$ W. HT=sum of P<sub>T</sub> of all objects

Understanding the SM background is key This is were most of the work goes Difficult because background is usually an "unlikely" SM event In the tail of distributions Methods that try to estimate the background from the data itself using clever tricks are the most robust

## Example

• pp  $\rightarrow$  jets + MET is a generic signature of SUSY



pp → Z + jets, with Z → vv gives similar signature
Crucial to have an estimate of it

But SM theory is uncertain to factors O(2) or more

54

#### Clever data driven idea

# pp $\rightarrow$ Z + jets and pp $\rightarrow \gamma$ + jets have similar production properties



Use pp  $\rightarrow \gamma$  + jets <u>data</u>, delete  $\gamma$  from the event, apply some well understood corrections, predict pp  $\rightarrow Z(vv)$  + jets

### Test this idea on simulated data



(J. Incandela et al)

Important to come up with clever tricks

 Lots of work to validate theoretical tools when clever tricks do not quite work

#### 4 Conclusions

Advanced MC tools for the description of the SM, and for the isolation of possible new physics at the LHC, are becoming mature. Validation and tuning efforts are underway at the Tevatron, and show that a solid level of understanding of even the most complex manifestations of the SM are well under control. The extrapolation of these tools to the energy regime of the LHC is expected to be reliable, at least in the domain of expected discoveries, where the energies of individual objects (leptons, jets, missing energy) are of order 100 GeV and more. However, the consequences of interpreting possible discrepancies as new physics are too important for us to blindly rely on our faith in the goodness of the available tools. An extensive and coherent campaign of MC testing, validation and tuning at the LHC will therefore be required. Its precise definition will probably happen only once the data are available, and the first comparisons will give us an idea of how far off we are and which areas require closer scrutiny.

M. Mangano, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A23:3833-3848,2008

3+1 Ingredients for New Physics Discoveries at the LHC

- 0. <u>Machine/detector:</u> if they dont work, forget it
- 1. <u>Triccer:</u> if you did not trigger on it, it never happened
- 2. <u>Backgrounds:</u> It's the background, stupid
  - Need to understand instrumental and Standard Model physics backgrounds
- 3. <u>Searches:</u> If you look for something, you may not find it. But if you don't look, you will never find it

Model dependent vs. model independent searches Can search for generic NP signatures  $\blacksquare$  e.g., the e +  $\gamma$  + MET Or, for very specific, complicated signatures • e.g., pp $\rightarrow$ TT, T $\rightarrow$ tZ T $\rightarrow$ bW, t $\rightarrow$ evb Z $\rightarrow$ µµ, W $\rightarrow$ µv Because we do not know what the NP is, generic searches are very powerful But in a generic search worry about missing complicated signature that nobody thought about.....



Palano (Bari) D<sub>sJ</sub>(2317) →D<sub>S</sub> π<sup>0</sup> PRL90 242001 (2003)

cö meson. Was expected to have mass ~ 2.5 GeV, decay strongly to DK, and therefore have broad width <u>So: noone bothered to look!</u>

This huge signal had been in various data sets for many years!!

# Outline

What and Why?
The LHC
A detector (CMS), how we do physics at the LHC
How to look for "New Physics"
Status

# Where are we now

The New Hork Times						Se	earch All	l NYTimes.com	
Science									
WORLD U.S. N.Y./REGION E	USINESS TECHNOLOGY S	SCIENCE HEALTH	SPORTS OPINIO	N ARTS	STYLE	TRAVEL	JOBS	REAL ESTATE	AUTO
		ENVIRONMENT S	SPACE & COSMOS						
		A	Designed > Learn What Si Efficient Wast	for M emens Is ewater Te	othe Doing W echnolog	r Eart <sup>(ith</sup> J <sup>ies</sup>	h Siemen	IS	
Protons and Ch Collider Is Revv	ampagne Mix ed Up	as New Pa	article	More Polit	Articles	s in Scien Mail	ice »		
3V DENNIS OVERBYE					Keep u	p with the 21	008 pres	idential election	with the
Published: September 10, 2008		daily Politics e-mail newsletter. See Sample goodmanr1@cox.net Sign Up Change E-mail Address I Privacy Policy							
BATAVIA, Ill. — Science rode a beam of subatomic particles and a river of Champagne into the future on Wednesday.						🖾 E-MAIL			
and a river of Champagn		eunesuay.			A			200	
and a river of Champagn	After 14 years of lab	or, scientists at	PRINT     SINGLE PAGE						
the second a fiver of Champagn ⊕, Enlarge This Image	After 14 years of laborator	or, scientists at y outside	PRINT     SINGLE PAGE     REPRINTS						
R Enlarge This Image	After 14 years of labe the <u>CERN</u> laborator Geneva successfully	or, scientists at y outside activated the	PRINT SINGLE PAGE REPRINTS SAVE						
R Enlarge This Image	After 14 years of lab the <u>CERN</u> laborator Geneva successfully <u>Large Hadron Collid</u>	or, scientists at y outside activated the <u>ler</u> , the world's	PRINT  SINGLE PAGE  REPRINTS  SAVE  SHARE						
هر Enlarge This Image هر Enlarge This Image مرابع المرابع Anja Niedringhaus/Associated Press	After 14 years of lab the <u>CERN</u> laborator Geneva successfully <u>Large Hadron Collid</u> largest, most powerf collider and, at \$8 b most expensive scier	or, scientists at y outside activated the <u>ter</u> , the world's ful particle villion, the ntific	PRINT SINGLE PAGE SINGLE PAGE REPRINTS SAVE SAVE SHARE ARTICLE TOOLS SPONSORED BY THE SECRET LIFE OF BEES						
R Enlarge This Image Anja Niedringhaus/Associated Press The entrance to the CERN laboratory near Geneva. After 14	After 14 years of lab- the <u>CERN</u> laborator Geneva successfully <u>Large Hadron Collic</u> largest, most powerf collider and, at \$8 b most expensive scier experiment to date.	or, scientists at y outside activated the <u>der</u> , the world's ful particle villion, the ntific	PRINT SINGLE PAGE SINGLE PAGE REPRINTS SAVE SAVE SHARE ARTICLE TOOLS SPONSORED BY THE SECRET LIFE OF BEES		.OST 1	HERE,	, FELI	T HERE.	







#### The Top 10 Everything of 2008

See All Lists »

#### **Top 10 Scientific Discoveries**

By JEFFREY KLUGER

1. Large Hadron Collider

Good news! The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) — the massive particle accelerator straddling the Swiss-French border — didn't destroy the world! The bad news: The contraption didn't really work either. In Good news! The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) — the massive particle accelerator straddling the Swiss-French border — didn't destroy the world! The bad news: The contraption didn't really work either. In September, the 17-mile collider was switched on for the first time, putting to rest the febrile webchatter that the machine would create an artificial black hole capable of swallowing the planet or at least a sizeable piece of Europe — a bad day no matter what. No lucid observer ever thought that would really happen, but what they did expect was

> Refinance \$400,000 for \$2,117/month FIXED Refinance \$300,000 for \$1,588/month FIXED Refinance \$200,000 for \$1,059/month FIXED

LendingTree Terms & conditions apply

65

**LendingTree®** 

The Top 10

ARTICLE TOOLS

#### Current Schedule

After extensive repairs the machine is scheduled to come on line this Fall
Energy of 10 TeV (design is 14)
Low intensity to commission

First results in 2010

In the meantime the experiments are getting ready for data. Using cosmic rays to debug detector, align components, etc



### Conclusions

The LHC era is (finally) about to begin

We are looking forward to finding out what nature has in store for us

# The End

#### Interim Summary Report on the analysis of the 19th September 2008 incident at the LHC

#### Incident during powering

The magnet circuits in the seven other sectors of the LHC had been fully commissioned to their nominal currents (corresponding to beam energy of 5.5 TeV) before the first beam injection on 10 September 2008. For the main dipole circuit, this meant a powering in stages up to a current of 9.3 kA. The dipole circuit of sector 3-4, the last one to be commissioned, had only been powered to 7 kA prior to 10 September 2008. After the successful injection and circulation of the first beams at 0.45 TeV, commissioning of this sector up to the 5.5 TeV beam energy level was resumed as planned and according to established procedures.

On 19 September 2008 morning, the current was being ramped up to 9.3 kA in the main dipole circuit at the nominal rate of 10 A/s, when at a value of 8.7 kA, a resistive zone developed in the electrical bus in the region between dipole C24 and quadrupole Q24. The first evidence was the appearance of a voltage of 300 mV detected in the circuit above the noise level: the time was 11:18:36 CEST. No resistive voltage appeared on the dipoles of the circuit, individually equipped with quench detectors with a detection sensitivity of 100 mV each, so that the quench of any magnet can be excluded as initial event. After 0.39 s, the resistive voltage had grown to 1 V and the power converter, unable to maintain the current ramp, tripped off at 0.46 s (slow discharge mode). The current started to decrease in the circuit and at 0.86 s, the energy discharge switch opened, inserting dump resistors in the circuit to produce a fast power abort. In this sequence of events, the quench detection, power converter and energy discharge systems behaved as expected.

#### Interim Summary Report on the analysis of the 19th September 2008 incident at the LHC

#### Sequence of events and consequences

Within the first second, an electrical arc developed and punctured the helium enclosure, leading to release of helium into the insulation vacuum of the cryostat.

The spring-loaded relief discs on the vacuum enclosure opened when the pressure exceeded atmospheric, thus relieving the helium to the tunnel. They were however unable to contain the pressure rise below the nominal 0.15 MPa absolute in the vacuum enclosures of subsector 23-25, thus resulting in large pressure forces acting on the vacuum barriers separating neighboring subsectors, which most probably damaged them. These forces displaced dipoles in the subsectors affected from their cold internal supports, and knocked the Short Straight Section cryostats housing the quadrupoles and vacuum barriers from their external support jacks at positions Q23, Q27 and Q31, in some locations breaking their anchors in the concrete floor of the tunnel. The displacement of the Short Straight Section cryostats also damaged the "jumper" connections to the cryogenic distribution line, but without rupture of the transverse vacuum barriers equipping these jumper connections, so that the insulation vacuum in the cryogenic line did not degrade.

#### **Busbar splice**








