

Poisson Distribution

1 Derivation

Consider an event that occurs at random times. We want $p(N|\mu)$ where N is the number of occurrences, and μ is the average number of occurrences in a give time interval t .

λ = probability per unit time of event occurring

$\Delta p = \lambda \Delta t$ = probability of occurrence in small time Δt .

Let $t = M \Delta t$. Since t is finite and eventually we'll take $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, M is large and eventually we'll take $M \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, M is an integer.

Let $p_0(t)$ be the probability that no event occurs in t .

$$p_0(t) = (1 - \lambda \Delta t)^M = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda t}{M}\right)^M$$

$$p_0(t) = 1 + M \left(-\frac{\lambda t}{M}\right) + \frac{M(M-1)}{2!} \left(-\frac{\lambda t}{M}\right)^2 + \frac{M(M-1)(M-2)}{3!} \left(-\frac{\lambda t}{M}\right)^3 + \dots$$

but

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} M(M-1) = M^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} M(M-1)(M-2) = M^3 \quad \text{etc.}$$

therefore

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} p_0(t) = 1 + (-\lambda t) + \frac{(-\lambda t)^2}{2!} + \frac{(-\lambda t)^3}{3!} + \dots = e^{-\lambda t}$$

$$\boxed{p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}}$$

Let $p_1(t)$ be the probability that one event happens between $t = 0$ and t . Then $p_1(t + dt)$ is the probability that no event happens before t multiplied by the probability that it happens in dt plus the probability that one event happens before t multiplied by the probability that no other event happens in dt . This can be written as

$$p_1(t + dt) = p_0(t)\lambda dt + p_1(t)(1 - \lambda dt) = e^{-\lambda t} \lambda dt + p_1(t)(1 - \lambda dt)$$

$$\frac{dp_1(t)}{dt} = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} - \lambda p_1(t)$$

The solution of this simple differential equation is

$$\boxed{p_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}}$$

Next we will show by induction that $p_N(t) = (\lambda t)^N e^{-\lambda t} / N!$. This works for $N = 0$ and $N = 1$. Let's assume that it works for N and show that it also works for $N + 1$.

Using the same reasoning that led us to a differential equation for p_1 in terms of p_0 , we can write

$$\frac{dp_{N+1}(t)}{dt} = x - \lambda p_{N+1}(t) + \lambda p_N(t)$$

Let's see if our assumed solution works. With the assumed solution we have

$$\frac{dp_{N+1}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\lambda(\lambda t)^{N+1} e^{-\lambda t}}{(N+1)!} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda t)^N e^{-\lambda t}}{(N)!} = -\lambda p_{N+1}(t) + \lambda p_N(t)$$

Yes, it works. Identifying $\mu = \lambda t$ we have

$$\boxed{p(N|\mu) = \frac{\mu^N e^{-\mu}}{N!}}$$

2 Mean

Clearly $\langle N \rangle = \mu$. It is easy to verify it:

$$\langle N \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} n p(n|\mu) = e^{-\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \frac{n \mu^n}{n!} = \mu e^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{\mu^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} = \mu e^{-\mu} e^{\mu} = \mu$$

3 Variance

$$\langle N^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} n^2 p(n|\mu) = \mu e^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{n \mu^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\langle N^2 \rangle = \mu e^{-\mu} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{(n-1) \mu^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{\mu^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right)$$

$$\langle N^2 \rangle = \mu e^{-\mu} \left(\mu \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} \frac{\mu^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} \frac{\mu^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \right) = \mu e^{-\mu} (\mu e^{\mu} + e^{\mu}) = \mu^2 + \mu$$

Since $\sigma^2 = \langle N^2 \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2$ we get

$$\boxed{\sigma^2 = \mu}$$

4 Sum of Poisson variables

Let a and b be independent Poisson random variables with $\langle a \rangle = a_0$ and $\langle b \rangle = b_0$. The random variable $c = a + b$ is also a Poisson variable with $c_0 \equiv \langle c \rangle = a_0 + b_0$. Proof:

$$p(c = k) = \sum_{i=0}^k p(b = k - i)p(a = i) = e^{-(a_0+b_0)} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{b_0^{k-i} a_0^i}{(k-i)! i!}$$

Multiply top and bottom by $k!$:

$$p(c = k) = \frac{e^{-(a_0+b_0)}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} b_0^{k-i} a_0^i = \frac{e^{-(a_0+b_0)}}{k!} (a_0 + b_0)^k = \frac{c_0^k e^{-c_0}}{k!}$$

5 Limit of large N

For large N use Stirling formula $N! \approx \sqrt{2\pi N} e^{-N} N^N$. Then

$$p(N|\mu) = \frac{\mu^N e^{-\mu}}{N!} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi N}} \left(\frac{\mu}{N}\right)^N e^{N-\mu}$$

Write $N = \mu(1 + \delta)$ with $\mu \gg 1$ and $\delta \ll 1$, i.e., large N and large μ and the two are not too different from each other. Then $\mu/N = 1/(1 + \delta)$, $N - \mu = \delta\mu$ and $\sqrt{N} = \sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{1 + \delta}$. Substituting we get

$$p(N|\mu) \approx \frac{e^{\delta\mu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}} (1 + \delta)^{-\mu(1+\delta)-0.5} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{e^{\delta\mu}}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}}$$

Where $\alpha = (1 + \delta)^{\mu(1+\delta)+0.5}$.

$$\log \alpha = \left(\mu(1 + \delta) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(1 + \delta)$$

Since δ is small, expand $\log(1 + \delta) \approx \delta - \delta^2/2$. Then to order $\mu\delta^2$

$$\log \alpha \approx \mu\delta + \frac{1}{2}\mu\delta^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} \approx e^{-\delta\mu} e^{-\delta^2\mu/2}$$

Plugging $1/\alpha$ back in the equation for $p(N|\mu)$:

$$p(N|\mu) \approx \frac{\exp(-\delta^2\mu)}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}}$$

But since $N = \mu(1 + \delta)$, $\delta^2\mu = (N - \mu)^2/\mu$. So, finally

$$p(N|\mu) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}} e^{-(N-\mu)^2/(2\mu)}$$

A gaussian of mean μ and standard deviation $\sqrt{\mu}$.

6 Weighted events

In HEP we often have to deal with samples of weighted events, e.g., when we make a histogram where we add two or more Monte Carlo components with different weights. The prescription is that the uncertainty in each bin is $\sigma^2 = \sum w_i^2$ where the sum is over all events and w_i is the weight of event i . This prescription can be implemented using the “Sumw2” prescription in ROOT histograms.

Lets justify this for two samples N_1 and N_2 with weights w_1 and w_2 and means μ_1 and μ_2 . The argument easily generalizes to more samples, even to a sample where every weight is different, as can happen in some event generators that output weighted events.

Since we are interested in $N = w_1N_1 + w_2N_2$ the estimate of the mean of N is $w_1\mu_1 + w_2\mu_2 = w_1N_1 + w_2N_2$.

Let's now estimate the variance of N . The variance of w_1N_1 is

$$\text{Var}(w_1N_1) = \langle (w_1N_1)^2 \rangle - \langle w_1N_1 \rangle^2 = w_1^2 \langle N_1^2 \rangle - w_1^2 \langle N_1 \rangle^2$$

$$\text{Var}(w_1N_1) = w_1^2(\langle N_1^2 \rangle - \langle N_1 \rangle^2) = w_1^2 \text{Var}(N_1) = w_1^2 N_1 = \sum_i w_i^2$$

where $w_i = w_1$ is the weight of the i -th event in the 1st sample. The variance $\text{Var}(w_2N_2)$ is given by a similar sum over the 2nd sample. Then since N_1 and N_2 are independent $\text{Var}(N) = \text{Var}(w_1N_1) + \text{Var}(w_2N_2)$, $\text{Var}(N) = \sum w_i^2$ where now the sum is over all events.

Note that the pdf for N is not a Poisson anymore. In the limit of large number of events in each sample it is approximately Gaussian.