Srednicki Chapter 60 QFT Problems & Solutions

A. George

September 7, 2013

Srednicki 60.1. (a) Show that

$$p\cdotarepsilon_+(k;q)=rac{\langle qp
angle[pk]}{\sqrt{2}\langle qk
angle}$$

$$p \cdot arepsilon_-(k;q) = rac{[qp]\langle pk
angle}{\sqrt{2}[qk]}$$

Use this result to show that

$$k \cdot arepsilon_{\pm}(k;q) = 0$$

which is required by gauge invariance, and also that

$$q \cdot arepsilon_{\pm}(k;q) = 0$$

We begin by using (60.7) and (60.8):

$$p \cdot \varepsilon_{+} = \frac{\langle q | (-p) | k]}{\sqrt{2} \langle q k \rangle}$$

$$p\cdot\varepsilon_{-}=\frac{[q|(-p\!\!\!/)|k\rangle}{\sqrt{2}[qk]}$$

Next we use (60.6):

$$p \cdot \varepsilon_{+} = \frac{\langle q | (|p\rangle[p| + |p]\langle p|) | k]}{\sqrt{2} \langle qk \rangle}$$

$$p \cdot \varepsilon_{-} = \frac{[q] \left(|p\rangle [p| + |p] \langle p| \right) |k\rangle}{\sqrt{2} [qk]}$$

And now for (60.2):

$$p \cdot \varepsilon_{+} = \frac{\langle qp \rangle [pk]}{\sqrt{2} \langle qk \rangle} \tag{60.1.1}$$

$$p \cdot \varepsilon_{-} = \frac{[qp]\langle pk \rangle}{\sqrt{2}[qk]} \tag{60.1.2}$$

Now we substitute $p \to k$:

$$k \cdot \varepsilon_{+} = \frac{\langle qk \rangle [kk]}{\sqrt{2} \langle qk \rangle}$$
$$k \cdot \varepsilon_{-} = \frac{[qk] \langle kk \rangle}{\sqrt{2} [qk]}$$

Both of these vanish by 60.3. Obviously, repeating this procedure with the substitution $p \to q$ in (60.1.1) and (60.1.2) will lead to terms which vanish for the same reason. This completes our proof.

(b) Show that

$$egin{aligned} arepsilon_+(k;q) \cdot arepsilon_-(k';q') &= rac{\langle qq'
angle[kk']}{\langle qk
angle\langle q'k'
angle} \ arepsilon_-(k;q) \cdot arepsilon_-(k';q') &= rac{[qq']\langle kk'
angle}{[qk][q'k']} \ arepsilon_+(k;q) \cdot arepsilon_+(k';q') &= rac{\langle qk'
angle[kq']}{\langle qk
angle[q'k']} \end{aligned}$$

We use equations (60.7) and (60.8):

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{\langle q|\gamma^{\mu}|k][q'|\gamma_{\mu}|k'\rangle}{2\langle qk\rangle[q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{-}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[q|\gamma^{\mu}|k\rangle[q'|\gamma_{\mu}|k'\rangle}{2[qk][q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{+}(k';q') = \frac{\langle q|\gamma^{\mu}|k]\langle q'|\gamma_{\mu}|k']}{2\langle qk\rangle\langle q'k'\rangle}$$

Now we use (50.38) in the second and third equations:

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[q'|\gamma_{\mu}|k'\rangle\langle q|\gamma^{\mu}|k]}{2\langle qk\rangle[q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{-}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[q|\gamma^{\mu}|k\rangle\langle k'|\gamma_{\mu}|q']}{2[qk][q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{+}(k';q') = \frac{[k|\gamma^{\mu}|q\rangle\langle q'|\gamma_{\mu}|k']}{2\langle qk\rangle\langle q'k'\rangle}$$

We use (50.45):

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[q'k]\langle k'q\rangle}{\langle qk\rangle[q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{-}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[qq']\langle kk'\rangle}{[qk][q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{+}(k';q') = \frac{[kk']\langle qq'\rangle}{\langle qk\rangle\langle q'k'\rangle}$$

Using equation (60.3):

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[kq']\langle qk'\rangle}{\langle qk\rangle[q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{-}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{-}(k';q') = \frac{[qq']\langle kk'\rangle}{[qk][q'k']}$$

$$\varepsilon_{+}(k;q) \cdot \varepsilon_{+}(k';q') = \frac{[kk']\langle qq'\rangle}{\langle qk\rangle\langle q'k'\rangle}$$

as expected.

Srednicki 60.2. (a) For a process with n external particles, and all momenta treated as outgoing show that:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \langle ij
angle [jk] = 0 \;\; ext{and}\;\;\; \sum_{j=1}^n [ij] \langle jk
angle = 0$$

We note that:

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \ldots + p_n = 0$$

Slashing this:

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \ldots + p_n = 0$$

Using 60.6:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[-|j\rangle[j|-|j]\langle j| \right] = 0$$

Bracketing and then "angling", and multiplying through by -1:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [ij\rangle[j] + [ij]\langle j| = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle ij \rangle [j] + \langle ij] \langle j| = 0$$

Two of these terms vanish, by (60.2):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [ij]\langle j| = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle ij \rangle [j] = 0$$

Bracketing or "angling" – choosing the one that doesn't vanish – we have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [ij]\langle jk \rangle = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle ij \rangle [jk] = 0$$

as expected.

(b) For n = 4, show that $[31]\langle 12 \rangle = -[34]\langle 42 \rangle$

We have, using the result from part (a):

$$[31]\langle 12\rangle = -[32]\langle 22\rangle - [33]\langle 32\rangle - [34]\langle 42\rangle$$

The first two terms vanish by anti-symmetry; the third gives:

$$[31]\langle 12\rangle = -[34]\langle 42\rangle$$

Srednicki 60.3. Use various identities to show that equation 60.31 can also be written as

$${\cal T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2rac{[13]^2}{[14][24]}$$

We multiply the denominator and numerator of equation (60.3) by [24][24]:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle [24][24]}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [24][24]}$$

Equation (60.22) gives:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{s_{24}^2}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [24][24]}$$

Stated in the middle "paragraph" of page 367, we have $s_{13}=s_{24}$. Then:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{s_{13}^2}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [24][24]}$$

Use (60.22) again:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle [13][13]}{\langle 13 \rangle \langle 23 \rangle [24][24]}$$

Cancelling:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 13 \rangle [13][13]}{\langle 23 \rangle [24][24]}$$

Using antisymmetry:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2 \frac{\langle 13 \rangle [13][13]}{[42]\langle 23 \rangle [24]}$$

Now we use the result of 60.2(b):

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 13 \rangle [13][13]}{[41]\langle 13 \rangle [24]}$$

Cancelling:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{[13][13]}{[41][24]}$$

Using antisymmetry:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2 \frac{[13]^2}{[14][24]}$$

as expected.

Srednicki 60.4. (a) Show explicitly that you would get the same result as equation 60.31 if you set $q_4 = p_1$ in equation 60.29.

Equation 60.29 is:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -e^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{[q_4 4]} \langle 24 \rangle [q_4 | (\not p_1 + \not k_3) | 2 \rangle [31] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\langle 23 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{13}}$$

Taking $q_4 = p_1$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -e^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{[14]} \langle 24 \rangle [1|(\not p_1 + \not k_3)|2 \rangle [31] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\langle 23 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{13}}$$

Using equation 60.6:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = \frac{2e^2\langle 24\rangle[31]}{[14]\langle 23\rangle s_{13}} \left([1|(|1\rangle[1|+|1]\langle 1|)|2\rangle + [1|(|3\rangle[3|+|3]\langle 3|)|2\rangle \right)$$

This gives:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = \frac{2e^2\langle 24\rangle[31][13]\langle 32\rangle}{[14]\langle 23\rangle[13]\langle 31\rangle}$$

Using the result from equation 60.2(b):

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -\frac{2e^2\langle 24\rangle[31][14]\langle 42\rangle}{[14]\langle 23\rangle[13]\langle 31\rangle}$$

Using antisymmetry, and cancelling common factors:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = \frac{2e^2\langle 24\rangle^2}{\langle 13\rangle\langle 23\rangle}$$

as expected.

(b) Show explicitly that you would get the same result as equation 60.31 if you set $q_4 = p_1$ in equation 60.29.

Equation 60.29 is:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -e^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{[q_4 4]} \langle 24 \rangle [q_4 | (p_1 + k_3) | 2 \rangle [31] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\langle 23 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{13}}$$

Taking $q_4 = p_2$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -e^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{[24]} \langle 24 \rangle [2|(\not p_1 + \not k_3)|2 \rangle [31] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\langle 23 \rangle} \frac{1}{s_{13}}$$

This is:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle \left([2|\not p_1|2\rangle + [2|\not k_3|2\rangle \right) [31]}{[24]\langle 23\rangle \langle 13\rangle [31]}$$

Using equation 60.6:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle ([21] \langle 12 \rangle + [23] \langle 32 \rangle) [31]}{[24] \langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle [31]}$$

This is:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle (s_{12} + s_{23})[31]}{[24]\langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle [31]}$$

Since the Mandelstam variables have a zero sum, and are symmetric $(s_{12} = \langle 12 \rangle [21] = (-1)^2 \langle 21 \rangle [12] = s_{21})$, we have:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle (s_{24})[31]}{[24]\langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle [31]}$$

This gives:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = -2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle [24] \langle 42 \rangle [31]}{[24] \langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle [31]}$$

Using antisymmetry and cancelling like terms:

$$\mathcal{T}_{+-+-} = 2e^2 \frac{\langle 24 \rangle \langle 24 \rangle}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 13 \rangle}$$

as expected.