

Srednicki Chapter 55

QFT Problems & Solutions

A. George

August 13, 2013

Srednicki 55.1. Use equations 55.13-55.20 and $[A_i, A_j] = [\Pi_i, \Pi_j] = 0$ (at equal times) to verify equations 55.21-55.23.

This is our third time solving this tedious problem, so let's note from the outset that all polarization vectors \vec{k} have the same magnitude, and therefore $\omega = \omega'$.

Anyway, we begin by using equation 55.16:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = \left[i\varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k}) \int d^3x e^{-ikx} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x), i\varepsilon_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x' e^{-ik'x'} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x') \right]$$

This is:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -\varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k})\varepsilon_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left[e^{-ikx} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x), e^{-ik'x'} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x') \right]$$

We take the derivative as indicated at the bottom of page 341. We also use equation 55.18. Then:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -\varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k})\varepsilon_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left[e^{-ikx} \vec{A}(x) - i\omega e^{-ikx} \vec{\Pi}(x), e^{-ik'x'} \vec{A}(x') - i\omega e^{-ik'x'} \vec{\Pi}(x') \right]$$

Only the cross terms survive. This gives:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = i\omega \varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left\{ e^{-i(kx+k'x')} [\vec{\Pi}(x), \vec{A}(x')] - e^{-i(kx+k'x')} [\vec{\Pi}(x'), \vec{A}(x)] \right\}$$

Now we take this second term and swap the two dummy variables:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = i\omega \varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left\{ e^{-i(kx+k'x')} - e^{-i(kx'+k'x)} \right\} [\vec{\Pi}(x), \vec{A}(x')]$$

We now use equation 55.20. The delta function sets $x = x'$, which causes the term in braces to vanish. Thus:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 0$$

We can take the Hermitian conjugate of this to find:

$$[a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = 0$$

The other commutator is:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = - \int d^3x d^3x' \left[\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot e^{-ikx} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x), \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') \cdot e^{ik'x'} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \vec{A}(x') \right]$$

We write this dot product in index notation:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -\varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left[e^{-ikx} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 A^i(x), e^{ik'x'} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 A^j(x') \right]$$

Now we take the derivative, and recall that $\dot{A} = -\Pi$. Then:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -\varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \left[e^{-ikx} \Pi^i(x) + i\omega e^{-ikx} A^i(x), e^{ik'x'} \Pi^j(x') - i\omega e^{ik'x'} A^j(x') \right]$$

Next we recall $[A^i(x), A^j(x')] = -[\Pi^i(x), \Pi^j(x')] = -0$.

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -i\omega \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' e^{i(k'x' - kx)} \left\{ -[\Pi^i(x), A^j(x')] + [A^i(x), \Pi^j(x')] \right\}$$

Now we have:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = -i\omega \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' e^{i(k'x' - kx)} \left\{ [A^j(x'), \Pi^i(x)] + [A^i(x), \Pi^j(x')] \right\}$$

Now we use equation 55.20:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] &= \omega \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{d^3k''}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(k'x' - kx)} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k}'' \cdot (\vec{x}' - \vec{x})} \left(\delta_{ji} - \frac{k''_j k''_i}{k''^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{i\vec{k}'' \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k''_i k''_j}{k''^2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can change the integral $\vec{k}'' \rightarrow -\vec{k}''$. Thus:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{d^3k''}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(k'x' - kx)} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k}'' \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{k''_i k''_j}{k''^2} \right) \right\}$$

Now in the second term, one of the dot products is $\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{k}''$, where \vec{k}'' is integrated over all possible values. The result, therefore, is zero: for every component of \vec{k}'' in the same direction as $\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k})$, the $-\vec{k}''$ will have the component in the opposite direction, resulting in a cancellation. Thus, the second term vanishes.

Note that this has nothing to do with equation 55.13, as Srednicki claims in his solutions. Vectors \vec{k} , \vec{k}' , and \vec{k}'' are all distinct.

This gives:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*j}(\vec{k}') \int d^3x d^3x' \frac{d^3k''}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(k'x' - kx)} e^{i\vec{k}'' \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')} \delta_{ij}$$

This dot product gives:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega \varepsilon_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') \int d^3x \, d^3x' \frac{d^3k''}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(k'x' - kx)} e^{i\vec{k}'' \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')}$$

Now we can use 3.27 and 55.14:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \int d^3x \, d^3x' e^{i(k'x' - kx)} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$$

Doing the x' integral:

$$[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}^3 \int d^3x e^{i(k' - k)x}$$

The temporal components of the exponential vanish, since $\omega' = \omega$ as discussed previously. Now we use 3.27 again on the spatial parts, which gives:

$$\boxed{[a_\lambda(\vec{k}), a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}')] = 2\omega (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta^3(k' - k)}$$

Srednicki 55.2. Use equations 55.11, 55.14, 55.19, and 55.21-55.23 to verify equation 55.24.

We begin with equation 55.19:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_i \Pi_i + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j A_i \nabla_j A_i - J_i A_i + H_{coul}$$

Now we have equation 55.11, and recall that $\Pi = \dot{A}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \frac{(i\omega)^2}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk}' \left[-\varepsilon_\lambda^{*i}(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) e^{ikx} + \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-ikx}(i\omega) \right] \left[-\varepsilon_{\lambda'}^{*i}(\vec{k}') a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') e^{ik'x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^i(\vec{k}') a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') e^{-ik'x}(i\omega) \right] + \frac{(i)^2}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk}' \left[\varepsilon_\lambda^{*i}(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k})(k_j) e^{ikx} + \varepsilon_\lambda^i(\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k})(-k_j) e^{-ikx} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\varepsilon_\lambda^{*i}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}')(k'_j) e^{ik'x} + \varepsilon_{\lambda'}^i(\vec{k}') a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}')(k_j) e^{-ik'x} \right] - \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + \mathcal{H}_{coul} \end{aligned}$$

Now we do some multiplication and factor terms from the first line with terms from the second and third lines. The result is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk}' \left\{ \bar{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') e^{i(k+k')x} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') \right. \\ &\quad + \bar{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') e^{-i(k+k')x} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') + \bar{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') e^{i(k'-k)x} (-\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' - \omega^2) \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') e^{i(k-k')x} (-\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' - \omega^2) \right\} - \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + \mathcal{H}_{coul} \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to move from the Hamiltonian density to the Hamiltonian, by integrating both sides.

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk}' d^3x \left\{ \bar{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \bar{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') e^{i(k+k')x} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') \right.$$

$$+ \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') e^{-i(k+k')x} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') e^{i(k'-k)x} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' + \omega^2) \\ - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') e^{i(k-k')x} (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' + \omega^2) \Big\} - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

Now the last two terms look good! In the first term, we use 3.27 to do the x-integral:

$$H = -\frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk'} \left\{ \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \delta^3(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') e^{-2i\omega t} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') \right. \\ + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') \delta^3(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') e^{2i\omega t} (\omega^2 + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}') - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}') a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') \delta^3(\vec{k}' - \vec{k}) (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' + \omega^2) \\ \left. - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}') a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}') \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{k}' + \omega^2) \right\} - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

Now we can use the delta functions to perform the k' integral:

$$H = -\frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} \left\{ \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(-\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(-\vec{k}) e^{-2i\omega t} (\omega^2 - |\vec{k}|^2) \right. \\ + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(-\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(-\vec{k}) e^{2i\omega t} (\omega^2 - |\vec{k}|^2) - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}) (|\vec{k}|^2 + \omega^2) \\ \left. - \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}) (|\vec{k}|^2 + \omega^2) \right\} - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

Now we recall $\omega^2 = |\vec{k}|^2$, so the first two terms vanish, and we can third and fourth terms:

$$H = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}^*(\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}) \omega + \vec{\varepsilon}_\lambda^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\varepsilon}_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \omega \right] \\ - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

Now we use equation 55.14:

$$H = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \sum_{\lambda'=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\delta_{\lambda\lambda'} a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{k}) \omega + \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda'}^\dagger(\vec{k}) \omega \right] \\ - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

We can do the sum over λ' :

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega \left[a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) + a_\lambda(\vec{k}) a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) \right] - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

We combine these first two terms with 55.23. Then:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega \left[2a_\lambda^\dagger(\vec{k}) a_\lambda(\vec{k}) + (2\pi)^3 2\omega \delta^3(0) \right] - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

which is:

$$H = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) + \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} (2\pi)^3 \omega^2 \delta^3(0) - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

Using 3.18:

$$H = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) + \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega} (2\pi)^3 \omega^2 \delta^3(0) - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

We simplify, and take the sum:

$$H = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) + \int d^3k \omega \delta^3(0) - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

This gives:

$$H = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) + 2\mathcal{E}_0 (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(0) - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

As in chapter 3, we interpret $V = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(0)$:

$$H = \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \int \widetilde{dk} \omega a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{k}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{k}) + 2\mathcal{E}_0 V - \int d^3x \vec{J}(x) \cdot \vec{A}(x) + H_{\text{coul}}$$

which is equation 55.24.