

Unit 5: The LSZ Reduction Formula

Overview

- If we start off with situation a, what are the odds that we end up with situation b? This (the S-matrix) can be calculated by the LSZ Reduction Formula, which we derive here.
- Our answer will be in terms of correlation functions, which we'll learn how to evaluate later.
- We'll also see for the first time:
 - Renormalization
 - □ States with more than one particle

Multi-Particle States

• A well-localized particle is given by:

$$a_1^{\dagger} = \int d^3k \ e^{\frac{-(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k_1})^2}{4\sigma^2}} a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$$

- Time-evolving this, it spreads out; localized far from origin at t = ±∞.
- Now we wish to have an initial state with more than one particle. The following is an ingenious guess:

$$i\rangle = \lim_{t \to -\infty} a_1^{\dagger}(t) a_2^{\dagger}(t) |0\rangle$$

□ The interactions and time-dependence might cause problems, but we avoid that by working at $t = \pm \infty$ when it is very spread out.

The (unrefined) LSZ Formula

Putting our results together, we have: $\langle f|i\rangle = \langle 0|a_{2'}(\infty)a_{1'}(\infty)a_1^{\dagger}(-\infty)a_2^{\dagger}(-\infty)|0\rangle$

- If we normalize both states properly (such that $\langle i|i\rangle = 1$ etc., then this shows the odds that two particles come in, interact, then leave.
 - Not necessarily one since particles could be created or destroyed.
 - Could add more operators to initial/final states to calculate probability of other outcomes.

The (refined) LSZ Formula

Next we simplify. The result is:

 $\langle f|i\rangle = i^{n+n'} \int d^4x_1 e^{ik_1x_1} (-\partial_1^2 + m^2) \dots d^4x' e^{-ik'_1x'_1} (-\partial_{1'}^2 + m^2) \dots \langle 0|T\phi(x_1)\dots\phi(x'_1)\dots|0\rangle$

(I won't go through the math, since something similar is done in problem 5.1)

- Objection: won't th∈(-∂² + m²) term vanish?
 Answer:
 - □ Only in the free theory. In an interacting theory, this (acting on ϕ) will equal the interacting terms.
 - Further, there is no φ (yet) for these operators to act on (though perhaps there will be once the correlation function is worked out).

Check our Assumptions!

We' re done!

- We don't yet know what to do with the bra-ket at the end (the correlation function), but that's a subject for another day.
- But, did we make any suspect assumptions?

 The "hidden" math is fine, see problem 5.1.
 - The potential problem is our supposition that the creation operators for an interacting theory work the same as the creation operators for an free theory.

Bound States

- To look at this problem, we note that an interacting theory would have bound states:
 - □ Ground state with no particles (assumed to be unique).
 - □ Excited state with one particle, $E^2 = m^2 + k^2$
 - □ Excited state with n particles, $E2 \ge nm^2 + k_1^2 + ... + k_n^2$; can be anything due to relative momentum.
 - We disallow bound states with lower energy than the free states (at least for the next few chapters), since they are complicated.
- We can use this to check whether the interacting theory gives the same behavior (with respect to the creation/ annihilation operators) as the free theory

Renormalization: Ground State

Consider:

$$\langle 0|\phi(x)|0\rangle = \langle 0|e^{-iPx}\phi(0)e^{iPx}|0\rangle$$

- Time-evolving the vacuum doesn't do much: $\langle 0|\phi(x)|0
 angle = \langle 0|\phi(0)|0
 angle$
- We don't know what this is all we know is that it's a Lorentz-Invariant number.
- But we want it to be zero. If it's not zero, then a₁⁺ creates some linear combination of the ground state, which is bad.
 - □ This is because the mode expansion of φ now is analogous to that of the free field, with a \rightarrow a₁.
- To enforce this condition, we'll just make the substitution:

$$\phi(x) \to \phi(x) + v$$

Renormalization: 1-Particle States

Now consider the same thing for a 1-particle state:

 $\langle p|\phi(x)|0\rangle = \langle p|e^{-iPx}\phi(0)e^{iPx}|0\rangle$

Time-evolving the bras and kets gives: $\langle p | \phi(x) | 0 \rangle = e^{-ipx} \langle p | \phi(0) | 0 \rangle$

- This is a Lorentz-invariant function of p. The only Lorentz-invariant function of p is p², which is constant (–m²). Hence, this is a constant.
- We want this to be one, just like in free-theory.
 To enforce this condition, we'll re-normalize φ.

Renormalization: 2-Particle States

Now consider the same thing for a multi-particle state:

$$\langle p, n | \phi(x) | 0 \rangle = e^{-ipx} \langle p, n | \phi(0) | 0 \rangle$$

- The math here is complicated (see Srednicki 40-41), but the key points are that:
 - □ We want this to go to zero, since we don't want a₁⁺ to create multi-particle states from the vacuum.
 - This goes to zero of its own accord, so no further action is required.
 - The Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma is helpful here: as sine waves oscillate more and more rapidly, their integral gets closer and closer to zero.

Summary

The LSZ Formula is:
$$\langle f|i\rangle = i^{n+n'} \int d^4x_1 e^{ik_1x_1} (-\partial_1^2 + m^2) \dots d^4x' e^{-ik'_1x'_1} (-\partial_{1'}^2 + m^2) \dots \langle 0|T\phi(x_1)\dots\phi(x'_1)\dots|0\rangle$$

The LSZ Formula is valid provided that the a₁[†] operators work similarly to the a[†] operators.

$$\langle p, n | \phi(x) | 0 \rangle = e^{-ipx} \langle p, n | \phi(0) | 0 \rangle$$

$$\langle p | \phi(x) | 0 \rangle = e^{-ipx} \langle p | \phi(0) | 0 \rangle$$

Example

Say that our Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2} + \frac{1}{6}g\phi^{3}$$

After shifting and rescaling, we have:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} Z_{\phi} \partial^{\mu} \phi \partial_{\mu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} Z_m m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{6} Z_g g \phi^3 + Y \phi$$

These four constants are fixed by:

- □ The LSZ conditions (2)
- $\Box Z_m = 1$ so that the true mass is enforced
- Z_g = 1 so that the true "g" (as measured in crosssections) is enforced

What's next?

- Next four sections are about these correlation functions.
 - Turns out there's some interesting physics in here, including proto-Feynman diagrams

Then, we'll use LSZ to calculate some scattering amplitudes, cross-sections, etc.