# 9 Xenon Handling and Cryogenics

#### 9.1 Overview

The Xe handling and cryogenics strategy of LZ derives strongly from the successful LUX program and takes maximum advantage of key technologies demonstrated there: chromatographic krypton removal, high-flow online purification, high-efficiency two-phase heat exchange, sensitive in situ monitoring of Xe purity, and thermosyphon cryogenics. In many cases, the primary technical challenge of the LZ implementation is how to best scale up from LUX.

Two classes of impurities are of concern: electronegatives such as O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O that suppress charge and light transport in the TPC, and radioactive noble gases such as <sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>39</sup>Ar, and <sup>222</sup>Rn that create backgrounds that are not amenable to self-shielding. Both types of impurities may be found in the vendor-supplied Xe, and both may also be introduced into the detector during operations via outgassing and emanation. The purity goals are summarized in Table 9.1.1.

We have three mitigation techniques to control these impurities. First, electronegatives are suppressed during operations by continuous circulation of the Xe in gaseous form through a hot (450 °C) zirconium getter. Gettering in the gas phase is made practical by a two-phase heat exchanger. A metal-diaphragm gas pump drives the circulation and the system is capable of purifying the entire Xe stockpile in 2.3 days. Second, the problematic radioactive species, <sup>85</sup>Kr and <sup>39</sup>Ar, which have relatively long half-lives (10.8 years and 269 years, respectively) and no supporting parents, can be removed from the vendor-supplied Xe prior to the start of operations. LZ will perform this removal with a high-throughput chromatography system closely modeled on the Case Western system that was successfully employed by LUX. Due to underground space considerations and other resource constraints, the online Xe purification system will not have noble-gas removal capability, so it is necessary to exercise careful control of the Xe after the chromatographic processing so that <sup>85</sup>Kr and <sup>39</sup>Ar are not subsequently re-introduced.

Third, a careful program of screening and monitoring is integrated into the LZ design. We rely primarily on an improved version of the coldtrap/mass-spectrometry monitoring system that has been successfully applied to LUX [1,2]. Radon and krypton emanation screening is also employed, as described in Sections 12.4.1 and 9.7, respectively.

The outline of this chapter is as follows: We describe the chromatographic krypton removal system in Section 9.2. The online purification system is described in Section 9.3. The recovery system, including emergency recovery, is described in Section 9.4. Xenon storage and transportation is described in Section 9.5. Xenon sampling and assay is described in Section 9.6. Section 9.7 describes the outgassing model that informs our purification strategy. Cryogenics infrastructure is described in Section 9.8, and Xe procurement is discussed in Section 9.9.

Quantity	Specification
Natural krypton concentration	< 0.015 ppt (g/g) (live-time averaged)
Air content (krypton equivalent)	< 40 standard cc
<sup>222</sup> Rn decay rate in 7 tonnes active volume	< 0.67 mBq
Charge attenuation length	> 1.5 meters
Equivalent e lifetime at 2 mm/µs	> 750 µs
Equivalent O <sub>2</sub> concentration	< 0.4 ppb (g/g)

Table 9.1.1. LZ Xe purity goals.

### 9.2 Krypton Removal via Chromatography

Commercially available research-grade Xe typically contains trace krypton at a concentration of ~(10–100) ppb<sup>1</sup> (parts per billion). <sup>85</sup>Kr is a  $\beta$  emitter with an isotopic abundance of ~2 × 10<sup>-11</sup>, an endpoint energy of 687 keV, and a half-life of 10.8 years. To reduce the rate of <sup>85</sup>Kr ER backgrounds to 10% of the *pp* solar neutrino ER rate, we require that the total concentration of natural krypton be no more than 0.015 ppt (parts per trillion), a factor of ~(0.7-7) × 10<sup>6</sup> below that of research-grade Xe. Since the krypton is dissolved throughout the Xe, <sup>85</sup>Kr decays cannot be rejected via self-shielding, nor does the getter remove krypton during in situ purification due to its inert nature. Gas vendors have indicated that they could supply Xe with a krypton concentration as small as 1 ppb, however this would still far exceed the LZ background goal.

Trace argon is also a concern due to the presence of the  $\beta$  emitter <sup>39</sup>Ar (endpoint energy of 565 keV and half-life of 269 years), and we require that the background rate due to <sup>39</sup>Ar be no more than 10% of that of <sup>85</sup>Kr. Due to the low isotopic abundance of <sup>39</sup>Ar (8 × 10<sup>-16</sup>) [3], this implies an argon concentration requirement of 4.5 × 10<sup>-10</sup> (g/g), substantially less demanding than the krypton requirement. Furthermore, because argon is amenable to the same removal techniques as krypton, no special measures are required to satisfy the argon goal.

Two methods exist to separate krypton and xenon: distillation [4-6] and chromatography [7]. Both are technically challenging owing to the similar physical and chemical properties of these atomic species. During the XENON10 and LUX programs, the Case Western group developed a gas chromatographic method based on a charcoal column and a helium carrier gas [7]. Using this method, the Case group processed the 400 kg of LUX Xe at a rate of 50 kg/week down to a krypton concentration of 4 ppt, exceeding the LUX goal of 5 ppt and rendering this background subdominant to the leading background by a factor of 10 during the 2013 LUX WIMP search [8]. This is the lowest krypton concentration achieved by any LXe TPC to date. In addition, one 50 kg batch was processed twice for LUX, resulting in an upper limit on the concentration of <0.2 ppt, merely a factor of 13 short of the ultimate LZ goal. As described in Section 9.6, greater screening sensitivity is being developed to determine the ultimate krypton-removal limit; however, we note that a concentration as high as 0.2 ppt would result in only a modest relaxation of the LZ WIMP sensitivity (equaling the rate of *pp* solar neutrino ER events).

Chromatographic separation relies on the weaker van der Waals binding of krypton to carbon relative to Xe, which causes krypton atoms to flow through the charcoal column more rapidly than Xe. This property

	LZ Requirement	Unit
Nominal Kr concentration of vendor-supplied Xe	~(0.1-1) × 10 <sup>-7</sup>	g/g
Allowed Kr concentration	$1.5 \times 10^{-14}$	g/g
Required Kr rejection factor of removal system	~(0.7-7) × 10 <sup>6</sup>	
Processing rate of removal system	200	kg/day
Allowed Kr mass in 10 tonnes Xe	$1.5 \times 10^{-7}$	g
Air allowed in 10 tonnes of Xe (from Kr spec.)	40	std. cc
Allowed <sup>85</sup> Kr decay rate in 6 tonnes fiducial Xe mass	0.026	mBq
<sup>85</sup> Kr decays in 1,000 days in 6 tonnes fiducial Xe mass	2283	events
After energy cut ( $\Delta E = 5 \text{ keVee}$ )	26	events
After ER discrimination (99.5%)	0.13	events

Table 9.2.1. LZ krypton removal goals. The  $^{85}$ Kr isotopic abundance is assumed to be 2 × 10<sup>-11</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All concentrations are quoted in grams of natural Kr per gram of Xe.

allows for a separation cycle by feeding Xe (with trace krypton) into the column at a fixed rate and duration under the influence of a fixed flow of helium carrier gas. The rates and durations are tuned so that the last of the krypton exits the column prior to the earliest Xe. This permits the krypton to be purged by directing the krypton-helium stream to a coldtrap that captures the krypton. This feed-purge cycle is followed by the Xe recovery cycle, in which pumping parameters are altered to accelerate the rate at which the Xe exits the column. Figure 9.2.1 illustrates this process by showing the time profiles for the two species as they exit the column. As the purified xenon-helium stream exits the column, the Xe is separated from the helium using a cryogenic condenser. After a number of feed-purge-recovery cycles, the helium is pumped away, the condenser is warmed, and the Xe is transferred to a storage cylinder. The purity of the Xe is measured using the coldtrap/mass-spectrometry technique developed by the Maryland group [2] and described in Section 9.6.

In addition to purity requirements, the processing rate and the Xe acquisition schedule influence the krypton-removal system. Owing to the forecast for the market price of Xe (See Section 9.9), we expect to purchase the bulk of the Xe at the latest possible date, requiring a rapid removal of the krypton to begin experimental operations on time. Therefore in Figure 9.2.2, we show an expanded version of the LUX system capable of processing 200 kg of Xe per day, or 10 tonnes in 60 days at 85% uptime. This design has better isolation between the chromatography loop and the recovery loop than did the LUX design, which achieved  $3 \times 10^4$  reduction per pass and was likely limited by cross-contamination. While we may expect some improvement of the krypton rejection factor as the system is tuned during initial operations, we conservatively plan for two complete passes of the Xe (120 days), which is more than sufficient to reduce the krypton concentration in the raw stock by a factor of  $10^7$  to ~0.01 ppt. Fast processing also provides schedule insurance in the event of an unintentional contamination event. We note that the introduction of just 40 cm<sup>3</sup> of air at standard temperature and pressure (STP) corresponds to 0.015 ppt of krypton in 10 tonnes of Xe. A single pass through the chromatographic system could easily correct an error as large as hundreds of liters. (The corresponding requirement for argon is less demanding [270 cm<sup>3</sup> of air at STP].)

As illustrated in Figure 9.2.2, the three principal processing stages are: (1) chromatography to separate Xe and krypton, and capture the krypton in a cooled charcoal trap; (2) recovery of the Xe from the column into a cooled condenser to strip the Xe from the carrier gas; and (3) storage and analytical sampling of the purified Xe into DOT-rated cylinders suitable for transport to the experiment. The system architecture follows two general principles. First, we minimize the components exposed to both the raw and purified Xe streams to minimize crosscontamination, which leads to the two mostly separate loops in the figure (green and blue). Second, the arrangement matches the feedpurge cycle time to the Xe recovery time, so that by alternating between



Figure 9.2.1. Test data taken with the LUX Kr removal system illustrating the time profiles for krypton and xenon as they exit the column for a sample of Xe spiked with approximately 1% krypton. Data are acquired with a sampling RGA. During production, the ~100 ppb krypton in the raw Xe is below the RGA sensitivity, so spiked samples are used to tune the chromatography parameters.



Figure 9.2.2. The main components and flow paths of the LZ krypton-removal system. Colored lines indicate the path for a given process. The green line shows the left-hand charcoal column in the chromatography feed-purge separation cycle with krypton being collected in the right trap; a second trap is valved off for cleaning (dashed green line). The blue line shows the subsequent part of the cycle in which Xe is being recovered from the column and collected in the condenser. The red line shows Xe from the condenser being stored and analyzed. Key pressures and flow rates are indicated. The light-blue background indicates components that are cooled by the LN thermosyphon system.

the two columns, we achieve twice the duty cycle of single-column operation. Two condensers are also used so that one is always available in the recovery path when the other is in the storage phase. The double-swing system with two columns and two condensers gives a factor-of-4 increase in production rate relative to a single-column-single-condenser system at modest additional cost and complexity.

The overall processing rate is governed by the differential transit time of the krypton and Xe in the column, whereas all other components are sized to match this rate. In the LUX system, each feed cycle consisted of a 2 kg slug of Xe into a column of 60 kg of charcoal at 50 slpm (standard liters per minute) helium flow rate. The krypton purge was completed 120 minutes after the start of the feed, at which time recovery commenced. The ultimate purification rate is limited either by cross-contamination or by the exponential tail of residual krypton remaining in the column. Straightforward scaling to reach 200 kg per day calls for a 16-kg slug every two hours, which in turn requires an approximately eightfold scaling in charcoal mass and flow rate. Some further tuning will be required based on further studies of chromatography and cross-contamination using the LUX system. The remaining parameters, as shown in Figure 9.2.2, are appropriately scaled from the existing system.

The system architecture uses computer-controlled pneumatic valves for changing all of the process states between the various cycles. Pumps, mass flow controllers, heaters, and diagnostic sensors (pressure, temperature, etc.) are controlled by this system as well. We are investigating the use of programmable logic controllers (PLCs) for process control, as they would reduce latency and improve reliability compared with the proprietary LUX software used previously.



Figure 9.2.3. A preliminary layout of the LZ krypton-removal system. The main floor, which is the middle level in the figure, is approximately 1,000 square feet and is adjoined below by a 300-square-foot "pit" and above by a high-bay area at grade level with external doors to the building's driveway. The main floor holds the primary control panels, pumps and compressors, the thermosyphon cooling system, traps, and auxiliary equipment. The pit contains the condensers, since they must be gravity-fed by the thermosyphon cooling loops.

The krypton-removal system has three cryogenic elements that are cooled by a liquid nitrogen (LN) thermosyphon system, which is now a well-established technology that allows for automated temperature control. These components are highlighted by a blue background in Figure 9.2.2 and consist of the condensers, the krypton traps, and the sampling trap. All three cycles are fully automated, as well as krypton trap cleaning and analytic sampling, minimizing the chance of operator error.

During processing, several hundred kilograms of Xe will be in various parts of the system. In the event of an unexpected loss of cooling power, we rely upon a gas compressor backed up by a diesel generator to ensure that no Xe is lost due to warming. The control systems also have redundant power.

High-flow-rate pumps for circulating the carrier gas during chromatography are being investigated. The most likely solution is an all-metal diaphragm compressor manufactured by Fluitron. This technology is of interest because models at the lower flow rate of the series (100 slpm) are well suited for testing in the LUX system and/or LZ test platform, and models at higher flow rates are well suited to the production system. The same technology could also be utilized for the LZ online purification system as described in Section 9.3, with accordant benefits of working with a single vendor that can also meet our purity requirements. A 100-slpm unit has been received and is being prepared for use in the LZ test platform as well as for use in krypton removal to ensure that purity is not compromised.

In Figure 9.2.3, we show a physical layout of the major system components, including 10 tonnes of Xe storage. The system will be installed in Building IR2 at SLAC, where sufficient overhead space is available. We are investigating the possibility of storing the Xe stockpile at modest overburden during Kr removal to limit the cosmogenic production of <sup>127</sup>Xe, which decays via electron capture with a half-life of 36 days and is expected to be present at a level of 0.25 mBq/kg in secular equilibrium at sea level.

# 9.3 Online Xenon Purification System

This section describes the LZ online Xe purification system, which is intended to control the concentration of electronegative impurities such as  $O_2$  and  $H_2O$  through continuous purification. Such species must be suppressed below 0.4 ppb  $O_2$  equivalent to allow for adequate charge and scintillation transport in the TPC (see Table 9.1.1).

The centerpiece of the LZ online purification system is a hot zirconium getter, a purifier technology capable of achieving >99% one-pass removal efficiency for virtually all non-inert impurities of interest, including hard-to-remove species such as N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> [9]. Impurities chemically bond to the surface of zirconium pellets, irreversibly removing them from the Xe. The getter operates at elevated temperature (450 °C) to allow the captured impurities to diffuse into the bulk of the getter pellets, leaving the surface free for additional gettering. The getter material must be replaced when it becomes saturated with impurities. Besides being highly effective purifiers, zirconium getters are also notable for not emanating substantial quantities of radon, a basic requirement for any detector component that is continuously exposed to the LZ Xe.

The Xe circulates through the purification system at a rate of 500 slpm (3 kg/min), a value that was chosen based upon previous experience with the LUX system and based on economic and space constraints. At this flow rate, the 10 tonnes of Xe can be purified in 2.3 days, comparable to the 1.7-day turnover time of the LUX recirculation system. As described in Section 9.7, the recirculation rate and the charge attenuation goal constraints the allowed outgassing rate of the detector, and this drives the outgassing plan of the detector. Prior experience with LUX and other liquid noble detectors indicates that the scintillation absorption length goal (>15 meters) is less demanding than the charge attenuation length goal and will be satisfied by the same requirements.



Figure 9.3.1. The LXe tower (left), PMT standpipe (middle), and the cryogenic transfer lines (lower center) and their relationship to the detector (right).



Figure 9.3.2. LXe flow block diagram. At right is the LZ TPC, with its angled HV conduit and its weir drainpipe controlling the liquid level in the TPC. The dashed box surrounding the TPC indicates the water shield. Liquid xenon is supplied to the TPC and collected from the weir drainpipe through the horizontal transfer lines at the bottom of the detector. Cables from the lower array of the TPC and other instrumentation are delivered to a room-temperature feedthrough at the top of the PMT standpipe in the middle of the figure. At left is the LXe tower, containing the weir reservoir, the two-phase heat exchanger, the gas-gas heat exchanger, and the subcooler / two-phase separator. Liquid xenon returns to the detector through four circuits, each of which is regulated by a remotely operated valve. Above the liquid system is the gas pump and zirconium getter. Purge-gas lines also feed into the gas pump from each of the conduits.

Because the hot getter operates on gaseous Xe only, the purification system must vaporize and recondense the liquid to create a continuous purification circuit. This process is made thermally efficient by a two-phase heat exchanger developed for LUX [10]. In LZ, the two-phase heat exchanger is located outside the TPC in a separate cryostat known as the LXe tower, as shown in Figure 9.3.1. This choice simplifies the TPC design and relaxes the space constraints and radioactivity requirements on the heat exchanger and its associated support hardware. It also permits separate checkout and commissioning prior to its installation underground. The Xe is transferred in liquid form between the tower and the detector through vacuum-insulated transfer lines that penetrate through the wall of the water shield and connect to the bottom of the TPC vessel.

The primary purification loop is shown schematically in Figure 9.3.2 and operates as follows: Xenon is removed from the detector at 500 slpm (3 kg/min) primarily as a -95 °C saturated liquid at about 1.0 l/min from the weir trough near the top of the TPC (see Chapter 6). The collected liquid exits the cryostat, flows to the LXe tower through the transfer lines, and is evaporated in the two-phase heat exchanger by absorbing heat from the warm Xe gas returning from the getter. An additional gas-gas heat exchanger warms the gas to room temperature. These two heat exchangers substantially reduce the large thermal load that would otherwise be required to vaporize and recondense the Xe ( $2 \times 4.7$  kW watts at 500 slpm for the phase change alone).

The Xe gas exiting the tower enters an all-metal triple-diaphragm compressor that drives the flow through the system. This compressor uses an electric motor to drive a single-piston oil pump that pressurizes the bottom diaphragm, transmitting force to the top diaphragm to compress the gas. A middle diaphragm with grooves creates a space that is monitored for leakage of either oil or Xe. The all-metal design (including a metal seal) minimizes the ingress of krypton and radon into the Xe. The compressed gas is preheated to ~300 °C before passing into the heated getter (model PS5-MGT150 from SAES). The preheating of the gas ensures that it does not substantially cool the getter. The Xe gas entering and exiting the getter passes through an additional gas-gas heat exchanger for thermal efficiency. The purified gas returns to the LXe tower, where it recondenses in the two-phase heat exchanger. The gas plumbing for the circulation is precleaned stainless steel (SS) tubing connected with orbital welds wherever possible. VCR fittings with metal seals will be used where necessary to open a connection. Valves will be of the bellows-seal type to keep the system hermetic.

The temperature difference between the counterflowing vaporizing Xe and condensing Xe streams drives the heat transfer.  $LN_2$  thermosyphon cooling makes up the difference in heat-exchanger efficiency and the various internal and external heat loads. By returning the LXe in a subcooled state 1 °C above the freezing point to ensure no disruptive bubbles or boiling, we need 270 W of net cooling to the cryostat at 500 slpm.

In addition to the LXe circulation loops described above, two secondary gas-only recirculation loops act on the conduits that house the cables for the PMTs and instrumentation cables (from Xe vessel top and the PMT standpipe). These cables are immersed in LXe on one end, but they penetrate the liquid surface and terminate at room-temperature feedthroughs filled with Xe gas at the other end. The nonmetal components of these cable bundles are a potential source of problematic outgassing, particularly the warm ends where the diffusion constants of the insulating plastics are the largest. We manage this outgassing by purging these conduits with a continuous flow of Xe gas away from the TPC at a modest flow rate. This purge gas flow merges at the gas pump inlet with the primary circulation flow exiting the LXe tower and returns back to these conduits, bypassing the LXe tower. A gas-gas heat exchanger minimizes the required heat input and output for this gas circuit.

The purge-gas flow rate required to ensure that back-diffusion is negligible is characterized by the unitless Peclet number, P = VL/D, where V is the linear velocity of the gas in the conduit, L is the diffusion distance of interest, and D is the diffusion constant of the impurity species. For a 4-inch-diameter conduit, a gas flow rate of 0.5 slpm per conduit, a diffusion distance of 10 cm, and a diffusion constant of 0.086 cm<sup>2</sup>/sec (valid for O<sub>2</sub> diffusion in Xe gas at room temperature), the Peclet number is 8.2, indicating that back diffusion is negligible. We plan for a total of 10 slpm of flow across all conduits to provide for additional safety margin.

The circulation system must also accommodate detector calibration and purity assays. As discussed in Chapter 10, radioactive sources such as <sup>83m</sup>Kr and tritiated methane will be introduced into the Xe to calibrate the central regions of the TPC, and the online purification system has a valve and port system to allow for this. Due to its inert nature, <sup>83m</sup>Kr may be injected into the circulation stream upstream of the getter, while tritiated methane must be injected downstream. There are also valves to allow for the sampling of the LXe in the tower and gaseous Xe before and after the getter, as described in Section 9.6.

The underground installation of the purification system is shown in Figure 9.3.3.

The LXe tower is shown in detail in Figure 9.3.4 and contains the following major components: a weir reservoir for collecting the liquid returning from the detector and preparing it for evaporation; a two-phase tube-in-shell heat exchanger for evaporating the liquid and liquefying the gas; a single-phase gas-gas heat exchanger to bring the exiting Xe gas to room temperature and pre-cool the returning gas; a subcooler/phase separator for cooling the return liquid and removing gas bubbles; two thermosyphon heads; liquid sampling ports; and five cold valves with actuators. Components are hung with high-strength metal rods, stainless or Inconel 718.



Figure 9.3.3. Underground installation of the online purification system, showing the TPC, water shield, and LXe tower (center); getter and gas pump (left); and recovery compressor (right, underneath stairs).

Liquid flow from the subcooler passes through four cold control valves. Two of these are ½-inch pipe size and can partition the flow between the TPC volume and skin volume. This is very useful for normal operation and also vessel cooldown. The other two ¼-inch pipe size valves route flow to the PMT standpipe and to the HV feedthrough. Return flow from these two volumes is back into the bottom of the Xe vessel, thus eliminating contamination buildup problems. Approximately 90% of the LXe goes directly to the Xe vessel from the large cold valves. Each of these four flow streams has an electric heater and an associated thermometer for cooldown and warmup control. A fifth cold control valve is placed between the weir reservoir and the shell side of the two-phase heat exchanger. This valve works in conjunction with the compressor suction control to establish the height of the boiling LXe. It will also provide a small amount of Joule-Thomson cooling by using the energy supplied from the compressor. All five of these cold control valves are bellows sealed and designed for use in helium liquefiers.

The weir reservoir is a simple vessel that acts as a volume buffer between the weir drainpipe and the twophase heat exchanger. Besides establishing the liquid level in the weir drainpipe in the detector, it also provides a location to collect nonvolatile impurity species that may be present in the LXe. Such species, if they exist, would become harmlessly and irreversibly trapped in the weir reservoir, since the only mechanism to leave is through a phase change.

Liquid flows from the bottom of the weir reservoir into the bottom (shell) of the two-phase heat exchanger where it is vaporized, while Xe from the getter is condensed. This is a delicate balancing act. The bottom of the weir reservoir is placed at the same height as the bottom of the two-phase heat exchanger. Liquid is drawn up into the shell by the lower pressure of the suction side of the gas pump. Xe boils from the condensing heat load and, to a lesser extent, from cavitation caused by compressor suction pressure lower than saturation pressure. The two-phase heat exchanger condensing tubes are internally finned copper. Scaling from the LUX experience, approximately seventy ½-inch-diameter × 0.75-meterlong tubes are needed for the LZ heat exchanger. A careful study of condensation in the two-phase heat exchanger is under way using ANSYS CFX and will be benchmarked by the experience with LUX, as well as LZ test platform data.

Xenon is transferred in liquid form between the tower and the detector through a vacuum-insulated transfer line that penetrates the wall of the water shield and connects to the bottom of the TPC vessel. The PMT standpipe that contains cabling from the bottom of the detector is part of this transfer line. All bottom cabling is routed from the vessel in a 4-inch-diameter LXe-filled tube up into the PMT standpipe, where a free surface height is established by equilibrating pressure with the Xe vessel vapor phase pressure. Two 5/8-inch tubes transport subcooled LXe to the skin and TPC volumes of the vessel. One 1-inch tube returns the weir overflow. Two 3/8inch tubes carry LXe to the top of the PMT standpipe and the HV feedthrough. There is a vacuum break in this transfer line at the PMT standpipe junction tee. Figure 9.3.4 shows the LXe tower and transfer line details.

The subcooler is the last major component in the LXe tower. It is made with perforated copper plates attached to a central copper rod that forms a  $LN_2$ thermosyphon head at the top. Copper mesh or similar will be placed between the copper plates (depending upon analysis) to ensure a more than adequate



Figure 9.3.4. LXe tower and PMT cable standpipe. The two-phase heat exchanger is shown infilled with liquid (dark blue), while the weir reservoir is shown as light blue. The purple valves control the return of the LXe to the detector through four control loops.

heat transfer area. The thermosyphon will be able to take the liquid down to close to the freezing point 161.5 K. With a detector operating point of 175 K and 1.73 bara, this will give 13 K of subcooling. Returning the LXe in a subcooled state 1 K above the freezing point, we provide 270 W of net cryocooler cooling at 500 slpm. The subcooler also has a vapor vent line at the top in case it is ever needed.

The LZ circulation and purification system described here is similar to the LUX system currently in operation. A thermodynamic model of the LUX system has been built and verified against data taken with LUX to understand and measure heat-exchanger performance. The thermodynamic model was then altered to reflect the larger LZ system and to predict performance. The compressor for LZ is a different technology than LUX, using hydraulic oil to drive the diaphragm instead of a direct coupling between a piston and the diaphragm. A Fluitron compressor has been purchased for the LZ system test and will be used to verify the choice of compressor technology. Operation of the compressor for the system test (see

Section 6.7) will exercise it over a large range of operating conditions and test the control strategy. The getter system planned for LZ is also a larger version of the turnkey SAES getter used for LUX.

The LUX purification program provides relevant experience and some unresolved questions. For example, in considering the performance of the purification system, we usually assume that the getter removes impurities with an exponential time constant that is characteristic of the volume-exchange time of the TPC. This assumption is reasonable if the Xe is well-mixed in the detector. In the case of LUX, the nominal flow rate is 25 slpm, and with a total Xe mass of 370 kg, the LUX volume-exchange time is 42 hours. On multiple occasions, a small quantity of methane was injected into LUX, in both natural and tritiated form, and these injections gave the opportunity to measure the behavior of the purification system. Exponential removal was indeed observed, both with the Xe gas-sampling system (natural methane injections) and via the activity in the detector (tritiated methane injection). Surprisingly, the removal time constant was found to be a mere six hours — seven times faster than expected. Although this result is not fully understood, it seems likely that the LUX purge-gas purification loop may be playing a strong role in increasing the removal speed beyond naïve expectation. Nevertheless if this phenomenon could be fully understood and exploited, it would lead to better-than-baseline performance of the LZ purification system.

#### 9.4 Xenon Recovery

The Xe recovery system removes the Xe from the detector and returns it to the storage cylinder packs. Recovery may be initiated during normal operations, but it may also be manually or automatically triggered in the event of an emergency, such as a lack of cooling power, where Xe pressure threatens to approach the cryostat pressure rating. Xenon may also be removed from the detector in a controlled way to optimize operating conditions or to allow repair and maintenance of the detector.

The online purification system (Section 9.3) has redundant circulation compressors that each receive Xe gas at 1.6 atma (atmospheres absolute) and compress it to 4 atma at a rate of 500 slpm (3 kg/min). The same compressors can output gas at 5 atma (74 psia [pounds per square inch absolute]) at a flow rate of 400 slpm with an input pressure of 1.3 atma. These compressors could be used to pressurize the empty storage packs. This could store about 132 kg of Xe without operation of the recovery compressor. This could be used for operational adjustment of Xe levels. A vacuum pump is incorporated into the system to allow emptying the packs fully back into the detector.

A high-purity 270 slpm (1.5 kg/min) recovery compressor can compress Xe to 1200 psia and restore all the Xe from the detector into the packs. This compressor will most likely be a two-stage triple-diaphragm compressor with an interstage pressure of about 230 psia. During normal recovery at 1.5 kg/min, it would take five days to transfer the Xe from the detector to the packs. Once the Xe level in the detector drops below the weir, liquid can only be extracted by drawing liquid from a dedicated return line in the PMT cable standpipe. To vaporize Xe at this rate, 2.5 kW of heat is applied to the LXe in this line with electric heating coils. Xenon can be removed at a slower rate by bypassing part of the output from the recovery compressors would continue to operate normally to keep the input to the recovery compressors, the recovery compressor, and the heat input. Pressure, temperature, and flow sensors in the detector and Xe circulation system as well as pressure sensors and scales on the packs provide additional monitoring information.

We consider an emergency to be any unplanned event in which the pressure may rise in the cryostat. For example, if there is no cooling of the experiment and the vacuum insulation is good, Xe gas production would be less than 100 slpm. The cryostat is rated for a pressure of 4 atma at the bottom of the LXe, so during a cooling emergency the Xe must be vented before the gas pressure exceeds 3.4 atma. The recovery compressor receives gas from the circulation system after the circulation-system compressor

(with an intake pressure of 3 atma) and compresses the Xe sufficiently to fit back into the packs. During emergency recovery, the circulation compressors can be on or off, as gas will flow through the valves if the pressure on the suction side is greater than that on the output side. For operational simplicity and power conservation, the circulation compressors would be turned off if the recovery compressor were operating in emergency mode. The recovery compressor is activated if the gas pressure in the detector reaches 2.4 atma.

A more serious emergency would be an air leak to the vacuum space between the inner and outer cryostat. Air in this space would increase the heat transfer to the inner detector sufficiently to vaporize Xe at 270 slpm (1.6 kg/min). This is a conservative number that was calculated assuming the air in the former vacuum space stays at 25 °C and ignores frost buildup. If the cryo cooler is still working, it partially compensates for the increased heat transfer, but the recovery compressor is sized to handle the flow rate if there is an air leak and cooling failure at the same time.

The worst-case accident is a breach of the outer vacuum jacket (VJ), resulting in water from the water shield spilling into the cryostat-insulating vacuum and causing a large heat load directly into the inner titanium vessel. If the water wets the entire outer surface of the inner titanium vessel, the instantaneous heat transfer is 3 MW, but then drops rapidly as ice forms next to the vessel and provides some insulation. A foam layer 10 mm thick on the vertical walls and 20 mm thick on the bottom head of the inner titanium vessel is incorporated into its design to limit heat transfer in this scenario. With this foam in place, the maximum heat-transfer rate drops to 3.5 kW, equivalent to vaporizing 450 slpm of Xe. The foam also provides a mechanical cushion if ice does form, to reduce compressive loads on the cryostat. There is no point in the system where Xe can leak directly into water with one containment failure. This flow rate is above the flow rate of the recovery compressor. A backup piston-recovery compressor can accommodate this higher flow rate, but it is not as clean. The Xe recovered with the backup recovery compressor will



Figure 9.4.1. Schematic diagram of Xe recovery.

probably need to be repurified (via krypton removal) before it can be used again in LZ. Of course, in the case of a water breach in the VJ, significant downtime will be needed to disassemble, repair, and reassemble the experiment.

SLAC possesses an underutilized helium compressor that may be used in LZ as the backup recovery compressor. The backup recovery compressor will be isolated from the system by a burst disk. If at any time the pressure in the detector exceeds 2.9 atma, this burst disk will rupture and a pressure switch will turn on the backup recovery compressor. There is also a burst disk to the mine exhaust at 3.4 atma if in an emergency all compressors should fail.

In the event of an emergency, the Emergency Safety System controls the safe recovery of the Xe to the storage facility. This includes cases in which neither underground access nor remote human operation is possible. We are considering several architectures to implement this system. In the first model, it is implemented as part of the normal circulation-control system, which is based upon a programmable logic controller (PLC). PLCs are typically used in industrial control applications and are renowned for their reliability. The PLC software will monitor the detector as well as AC power and LN storage to determine the most appropriate mode of operation. The emergency system will be integrated with the slow control so that in the standby mode, it will be possible to operate the recovery hardware remotely, using standard slow-control tools. In recovery modes, the emergency system will operate autonomously, though it still will be possible to return it to standby mode (for a limited period of time) by a command from slow control. A redundant pressure switch hard-wired to the recovery compressor and activated at a pressure of 2.5 atma could provide an additional layer of backup capability if the PLC is inoperable. We are also considering implementing the Emergency Safety System on a second standalone PLC independent of the circulation-control system, or as a hardware-only system composed of relay logic.

The recovery compressor requires up to 5 kW and the backup recovery compressor requires up to 7.5 kW. These compressors must be capable of running at all times. A 30 kW generator provides backup power to the experiment. The primary load for this generator is the 11 kW needed by the detector cryocooler. If emergency recovery is initiated when the experiment is running on backup power, the compressors will have priority and the cryocooler may be disabled.

Normal detector operating pressure is about 1.6 atma. If the pressure exceeds 2.2 atma, an alarm sounds and the operator is notified. The operator could manually initiate Xe recovery to keep pressure under control. If the pressure exceeds 2.4 atma, the emergency safety system will automatically initiate emergency recovery with the recovery compressor. If this fails, the redundant pressure switch will open the valve and start the recovery compressor at 2.5 atma. If the pressure continues to rise, the burst disk to the backup recovery compressor will burst at 2.9 atma and a pressure switch will start the backup recovery compressor. Finally, if the pressure is still rising, Xe will be vented to mine exhaust at 3.4 atma by a burst disk.

### 9.5 Xenon Transportation, Storage, and Transfer

The LZ Xe will be sourced from multiple gas suppliers (Section 9.9), shipped to SLAC for krypton removal (Section 9.2), shipped to SURF to be moved underground, and finally liquefied into the LZ detector. The Xe storage, transport, and transfer must be done without losses due to its high cost, and also without introducing more than 40 standard cc of air once the krypton has been removed. The storage system must be compatible with filling systems of the gas suppliers and safe to transport on U.S. roads. DOT-rated high-pressure cylinders are a standard solution for transporting gas.

The critical point for Xe is near-room temperature (289.73 K), so the density as a function of pressure is very nonlinear, as seen in Figure 9.5.1. As a consequence, the storage capacity of a cylinder of fixed volume increases by almost a factor of 3 between 800 to 1000 psig and then increases slowly above that pressure. Limiting the storage pressure to approximately 1000 psig helps control compressor cost without significantly increasing storage capacity requirements.



Xenon | Isothermal Data for T=20C | DENSITY

Figure 9.5.1. Xenon gas density as a function of pressure at 20 °C.

A variety of standard DOT-rated compressed gas cylinders have working pressures of 2400 psig. A common and economical size is 9.28-inch-diameter × 55.5-inch-tall with a volume of 49.1 liters, such as a Norris 8BC300 cylinder. One of these cylinders filled to 1120 psig at room temperature holds 83 kg of Xe and has a total weight of 144 kg. For LZ, groups of 12 cylinders are housed in a pre-engineered freestanding pack that can be handled with a pallet jack, forklift, crane, or rolled by hand. The packs are rated for transportation with valves and manifolds installed. One such 12-pack holds 996 kg of Xe at 1120 psig and has a total mass of about 2000 kg. Ten packs are required to store the full quantity of Xe for LZ.

Each cylinder is sealed with a Ceodeux D304 tied diaphragm valve with combined soft and metal seal, marketed to the semiconductor industry for ultra-high-purity gas applications. The valves have a burst disk as an overpressure safety device. The rated helium leak rate for the valve is  $10^{-8}$  mbar-l/s, equivalent to  $1.5 \times 10^{-10}$  grams of krypton per year from the air, or 0.002 ppt krypton contamination per year for a 49-liter cylinder. The allowable time-averaged contamination rate for the experiment is 0.004 ppt krypton per year.

The connection between the valve and cylinder is a high-quality <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch 14 NGT. We have explored the possibility of making a seal weld between the SS valve and the steel cylinder after valve installation, but this would invalidate the DOT rating of the cylinder and risk damage to the valve. Valve and cylinder vendors indicate that a properly installed valve will have sufficient leak tightness to meet our requirements. We are also exploring the possibility of coating the threads of the valve with indium before



Figure 9.5.2. Xenon storage and supply system.

installation to act as a lubricant and sealant. Indium can be applied to SS with an ultrasonic soldering process or electroplating. The ZEPLIN-III experiment used indium on cylinder valve threads successfully but did not quantify the leak rate achieved.

Figure 9.5.2 shows a schematic of the Xe storage and supply system plumbing. The cylinder outlet valve connection is DISS 718, a VCR-like fitting that makes an all-metal seal, including a metal gasket. The cylinders in the pack are connected with an all-welded SS manifold that mates to the DISS fittings. The



Approximate Weight with Xe = 2000 kg

Figure 9.5.3. A single cylinder pack containing 12 Xe cylinders.

manifold has a supply-side pressure transducer, evacuation port, purge port, and a manifold valve. As a secondary precaution against air contamination, the cylinder packs are housed in a sealed sheet-metal box that can be purged with boil-off nitrogen. Figure 9.5.3 shows a model of the pack with the purge covering. This purge system reduces the krypton contamination rate of the Xe from diffusion and permeation through the seals and from small leaks in the plumbing. The purge system is intended for use during long-term storage, but not during transport.

The manifold valve is connected to a pressure regulator with an output pressure transducer and a mass flow controller when Xe is delivered from the pack. This delivery system has controlled electrical heating to prevent Xe from liquefying in the regulator outlet due to Joule-Thomson cooling during expansion. The pack rests on a scale to monitor the quantity of Xe in the pack and provide an accurate measure of Xe delivered.

The cylinders are tested to 4000 psig, and they also have a 4000 psig burst disk. This pressure would be reached if a cylinder filled with 83 kg of Xe were heated to 96 °C. If the pressure relief failed and remained closed, the cylinder minimum burst pressure of 5760 psig would be reached at 142 °C. The temperature of the cylinders must be monitored and controlled during storage and transport. A sprinkler system in the storage location at SURF and any other long-term storage location protects the Xe from damage in the event of a fire. A temperature-controlled truck could be used for transport.

The cylinders, valves, manifolds, and pack are purchased separately from the manufacturers. We intend to have the cylinder manufacturer clean the cylinders, install the valves, and perform a preliminary leak check of the assembly. The pack, cylinders, and manifolds are integrated at the University of Wisconsin's Physical Sciences Laboratory (UW-PSL). Depending on the results of the indium coating experiments the

valves may also be installed at UW-PSL. A final helium leak test is done on each pack before shipping to the Xe supplier for filling. The pack is shipped evacuated and ready to receive Xe.

The filled pack will be shipped to the krypton-removal facility at SLAC. During krypton removal, a single pack delivers the vendor-supplied research-grade Xe to the chromatography loop at a controlled rate and a second pack receives dekryptonated Xe from a compressor connected to the Xe condenser. The facility will have secure storage with adequate emergency ventilation, temperature monitoring, and fire protection for Xe packs.



Figure 9.5.4. Underground storage of cylinder 12-packs at SURF.

Packs filled with processed Xe are shipped by truck to SURF and delivered to underground storage. The packs will be loaded on a small rail car in the Yates headhouse, loaded into the cage, lowered to the Davis Campus level, and rolled into the Davis Campus on the small rail car. Part of the Davis Campus infrastructure work (see Chapter 13) is to prepare a storage space for the Xe using an existing excavation in the LN storage room access drift, as shown in Figure 9.5.4. A monorail will be installed to allow lifting the pack off the small rail cart and into place. The Xe storage space will have a boil-off nitrogen supply to purge the enclosed packs, a sprinkler system to control temperature during a fire, and an emergency ventilation and oxygen monitoring system in case of an accident. Supply and return plumbing from the Xe storage area will connect the packs to the LZ detector plumbing.

After the LZ detector is assembled and plumbed to the Xe purification system and vacuum system, it is evacuated and held at vacuum for two months to remove residual gases in the plastic components (see Section 9.7). Once the residual outgassing has reached an acceptable level as monitored by the Xe sampling system (see Section 9.6), the cryostat is cooled by the thermosyphons (Section 9.8) until it is just above the Xe condensation temperature. The outgassing rate at the cold temperature is also monitored and confirmed to be acceptable. Then Xe is delivered from the packs to an input port in the online purification circuit (described in Section 9.3). Xenon passes through the getter system on the way into the detector. With the circulation loop operating, the temperature is lowered until the Xe starts to condense. The mass of delivered Xe is monitored with the pack scales and the liquid level in the detector is monitored with internal liquid level sensors. At the end of the fill, all the residual Xe is transferred into one pack and the other packs are stored under full vacuum.

### 9.6 Xenon Sampling and Assay

Sensitive purity monitoring is integrated into the LZ Xe handling plan. The basic monitoring technology that we employ is the coldtrap/mass-spectrometry method developed at Maryland for LUX and EXO-200 [1,2,11]. Here we describe our experience with the method as applied to LUX and our plans for its implementation in LZ.

The simplified schematic shown in Figure 9.6.1 (left) illustrates the basic concept of the sampling technique. A gaseous Xe sample of interest flows through a precision vacuum leak valve to an RGA, where the partial pressures of its impurity species are measured. The observed partial pressures are



Figure 9.6.1. Left: Schematic diagram of the Xe sampling system. Right: Trending plot of the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in LUX during the 2013 physics run. Four sampling locations are shown. The decreasing concentration over time is due to the action of the online purification system and indicates that the sources of the O<sub>2</sub> outgassing were mostly exhausted over this period.

proportional to the flow rate, so good sensitivity is achieved by flowing the gas as fast as possible. In practice, the flow rate is limited by the large pressure of the bulk Xe gas, which causes the RGA to trip at pressures much greater than  $\sim 10^{-5}$  torr. Much larger flow rates can be achieved by selectively removing most of the Xe from the sample between the leak valve and the RGA. This is accomplished by means of an LN coldtrap that holds the pressure of the Xe at its output at a constant value of  $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$  torr (the vapor pressure of Xe ice at 77 K). A section of low-impedance plumbing further reduces this pressure to  $< 10^{-5}$  torr at the location of the RGA. The virtue of the coldtrap is that it allows most impurity species of interest, including N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, Kr, Ar, He, and CH<sub>4</sub> to pass through in proportion to the flow rate, while holding the Xe pressure at a constant and modest level independent of the flow. The method has a demonstrated sensitivity of ~0.1 ppb for N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>. For krypton, which has a unique high-mass signature at 84, 86, and 82 atomic mass units, the sensitivity has been shown to be 0.2 ppt [2]. Further sensitivity improvements are discussed below.

The usefulness of the technique is illustrated Figure 9.6.1 (right), where LUX  $O_2$  concentrations measured during the 2013 physics run are shown. Besides confirming the effectiveness of the LUX purification system, this monitoring data also confirms that its Xe plumbing remains leak-tight. Furthermore, certain classes of purity problems, if they occur, can be identified by their unique characteristics. For example, an air leak gives a characteristic ratio of  $O_2$  and  $N_2$ , and a constant rate of increase of argon. Residual outgassing of detector components, on the other hand, slowly decreases over time and favors the species of purge gas that was used during the detector checkouts (usually  $N_2$ ). Also, the presence of a very large and out-of-balance  $N_2$  concentration could indicate that the getter is beginning to saturate. (The getter has a certain capacity for each impurity species, and  $N_2$  is often the first impurity for which the getter fails.)

The LUX system is almost fully automated, requiring human intervention only to fill the coldtrap LN dewar. For LZ, the system will be completely automated, allowing programmatic and semicontinuous monitoring data to be collected. The LN coldtrap will be replaced with a thermosyphon head to facilitate this automation.

The LZ sampling program begins when the first empty Xe storage pack is assembled. To confirm that the pack is suitable for use in LZ, we will perform a long-term test of the storage pack in which it will be partially charged with a quantity of Xe (~100 kg), the purity of which will be monitored periodically over the course of a year or more. The construction, commissioning, and operation of the krypton-removal system will also be guided by an integrated and highly sensitive analytical system. For example, the chromatographic processing parameters may be tuned to maximize the krypton rejection factor, and

problematic elements of the system can be located, modified, or removed. During bulk processing of the Xe, frequent sampling allows the purified Xe to be certified for use in LZ.

Ultimately, the analytical system will be installed underground in the Davis Cavern and integrated into the online purification system. There it monitors the outgassing of the TPC component parts, measuring the rate at which krypton and other impurities accumulate while clean Xe gas circulates at room temperature or elevated temperature. This monitoring program will continue as the detector is cooled for the first time. Finally, the Xe can be transferred into the detector and liquefied once the outgassing rate observed at the cold temperature is acceptable. Automated and frequent monitoring continue throughout the physics run to confirm that no problematic leaks or outgassing have occurred. Sampling locations within the Xe handling system include the liquid return stream, the purge-gas flow, and before and after the getter.

Compared with LUX, LZ requires that the sensitivity of the cold/mass-spectrometry technique be improved from the current-best ~0.3 ppt to ~0.015 ppt. An additional factor of 10 in sensitivity beyond this would be ideal, allowing krypton impurities to be identified well before they reach the problematic level. We are currently pursuing multiple routes to gain this additional sensitivity, including higher flow rates, lower electronic noise, and more sophisticated statistical analysis of the data. We are also pursuing trapping methods based upon chromatography and other techniques to integrate the small krypton signal over a longer time period. The development of the screening technique proceeds in concert with the krypton removal, since highly purified Xe is required to demonstrate good sensitivity, while good sensitivity is required to develop the krypton removal capability.

## 9.7 TPC Impurity Burden and Outgassing Model

LZ detector materials are chosen primarily based upon the radioactivity and light-collection goals of the experiment as well as the engineering constraints. Here we consider the effect of those detector materials on the purity of the Xe with respect to electronegatives and krypton. Ingress of krypton into the Xe during operations is a particular concern because it cannot be removed by the online gas-purification system.

All nonmetal detector components are laden with trace quantities of atmospheric gases, including krypton and oxygen. Outgassing begins when LZ is first evacuated and will continue as the detector is filled with gaseous Xe and then LXe. The initial impurity burden of each detector component is determined by the solubility of each impurity species in the material, by the component volume, and by the impurity's abundance in air. The outgassing rate is determined by the diffusion constant, by the geometry and dimensions of the part, and by the pumping impedance. As shown in Table 9.7.1, we have measured the solubility and diffusion constant for important impurities species in key LZ detector materials.

Using the solubilities shown in Table 9.7.1, we can approximately account for the total impurity load of the detector materials prior to outgassing by summing the burdens from the TPC materials (the PTFE and polyethylene parts) and the cathode HV cable. The result is shown in Table 9.7.2, for both LZ and LUX (for comparison).

We find that prior to outgassing, the  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  burdens of the LZ detector materials compared with the mass of Xe are on the order of 1 ppm (part per million) and are smaller than that of LUX. This reflects the fact that the plastics scale as the surface area of the detector, whereas the Xe scales as the volume. Similarly, the  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  burdens are small compared with the capacity of the getter, implying that the getter will not need to be replaced during operations as long as the system remains leak-tight.

The krypton burden of the LZ detector materials, also shown in Table 9.7.2, is a larger concern because the absolute quantity of krypton exceeds the LZ goal by a factor of  $\sim$ 2,050 and because krypton cannot be removed during operations. We have two strategies to mitigate the risk that this krypton will contaminate the Xe during operations: (1) reduce the krypton burden by outgassing the detector components prior to

	Teflon (LUX sample)		eflon (LUX Polyethylene (LUX sample) sample)		Viton		LZ HV cable (Polyethylene)	
	K (%)	D (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm²/s)	К(%)	D (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	K(%)	D (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> /s)	К(%)	D (10 <sup>-8</sup> cm²/s)
N <sub>2</sub>	5.2±0.3	15.1±0.2	1.96±0.25	16.2±0.8	48±27	2.2±1.0		
O <sub>2</sub>	10.9±0.7	31.4±0.4	1.82±0.56	39.0±1.9	8.3±0.5	6.776±0.061		
Kr	29.1±2.5	5.56±0.08	10.7±1.1	6.44±0.31	12.5±1.1	1.248±0.015	7±1	11.2
Xe	78.3±6.9	0.80±0.01	56.8±5.8	2.03±0.31	15.0±1.6	1.70±0.10		
Ar	3.2±0.3	16.85±0.24	3.42±1.07	20.1±1.0	6.0±1.9	4.00±0.11		
He	2.8±0.2	1,268±18	0.35±0.04	435±21	5.0±0.4	435.89±3.88		

Table 9.7.1. Diffusion constants (D) and solubilities (K) of several impurity species and materials of interest for LZ. The measurements have been done as part of the LZ R&D program.

Table 9.7.2. An approximate accounting of the impurity burdens of the LZ (and LUX) detector components prior to outgassing. The burdens are calculated from the volume of the parts, the concentrations of the species in air, and the solubilities shown in Table 9.7.1. Three basic components are included: the PTFE and PE components of the TPC structure and PMT arrays, and the PE HV cable. Component volumes for LZ are from the engineering model; component volumes for LUX are estimated based upon approximate dimensions.

operations, and (2) freeze the krypton in place by cooling the detector components to LXe temperature, thereby dramatically reducing the diffusion constant.

First, we may outgas the plastic components prior to cooldown using a vacuum pump or by circulating and discarding a small quantity of purified Xe gas. This may be done at room temperature or at a slightly elevated temperature (consistent with the temperature specifications of the TPC and cryostat) to promote krypton diffusion. This reduces the krypton content of the detector components prior to their exposure to the LZ Xe.

<b>TPC plastics</b>	LZ	LUX	Units	
PTFE volume	156	11	liters	
PE volume	4	48	liters	
Kr	3.1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	g	
O <sub>2</sub>	9.4	0.92	g	
N <sub>2</sub>	15	2.0	g	
Cathode HV cable				
PE volume	3.5	1.4	liters	
Kr	1.3x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.2x10 <sup>-7</sup>	g	
O <sub>2</sub>	0.017	0.007	g	
N <sub>2</sub>	0.066	0.027	g	
Total				
Kr	3.1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	g	
0 <sub>2</sub>	9.4	0.93	g	
N <sub>2</sub>	15	2.0	g	
Kr/Xe	31	103	ppt (g/g)	
Kr/(Kr goal)	2,048	21		
O <sub>2</sub> /Xe	943	2,512	ppb (g/g)	
N <sub>2</sub> /Xe	1,491	5,443	ppb (g/g)	
O <sub>2</sub> /getter capacity	0.17%	0.77%		
N <sub>2</sub> /getter capacity	2.40%	8.06%		

Applying the diffusion constant measurements shown in Table 9.7.1, we calculate the remaining impurity load for  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and krypton as a function of outgassing time by solving the diffusion equation. For this calculation, a 2-cm-thick cylindrical shell with a diameter and height of 1.5 meters represents the PTFE



Figure 9.7.1. Left: Impurity burden of the LZ detector components as a function of outgassing time while pumping at room temperature. The quantity of impurities remaining in the plastic components is compared to the total LZ Xe mass stockpile (10 tonnes). The 2-cm-thick PTFE reflectors and the polyethylene HV cable are included in this calculation. Solid lines: summed impurity burden of both components. Dashed lines: impurities in the HV cable alone. Right: Kr burden of the detector materials as a function of outgassing time at several elevated temperatures.

reflectors, while a 4-meter-long solid polyethylene cylinder with a diameter of 3.4 cm represents the HV cable. Figure 9.7.1 shows the impurity burden remaining in the detector components as a function of outgassing time. The exponential time constants associated with the outgassing are listed in Table 9.7.3.

This calculation indicates that even at room temperature, the  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  burdens of the materials will drop rapidly due to their substantial diffusion constants. The total amount

Table 9.7.3. Outgassing time constants of two importantplastic components of the LZ TPC.

	PTFE reflectors (2 cm thick)	Polyethylene HV cable (1.3-in diameter)
	τ (days)	τ (days)
N <sub>2</sub>	31.1	33.5
02	15.0	14.0
Kr	84.4	48.6

of  $O_2$  present in the detector material is expected to approach a mere 1 ppb compared with the total Xe mass (10 tonnes) in 100 days of pumping, indicating that the recirculation system should have no difficulty reducing the equilibrium value in the Xe far below the goal of 0.4 ppb. These expectations will be tested during the initial pump-out of the detector by monitoring the  $O_2$  level with the Xe gas-sampling system.

The krypton burden, however, is difficult to reduce significantly by outgassing alone due to its low diffusion constant in PTFE at room temperature  $(5.6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}, \text{ compared with } 31 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$  for O<sub>2</sub>) and because of the substantial thickness of the PTFE components (2 cm for the TPC reflector rings). Figure 9.7.1 (left) indicates that 100 days of room-temperature pumping reduces the dissolved krypton to ~9 ppt when compared to the Xe mass, still ~600 times higher than the krypton concentration goal of LZ. At this point, the krypton outgassing rate of the entire detector is expected to be about 35 ppt/year, dominated by the 2-cm-thick detector PTFE parts. We neglect the thin PTFE liner on the inner surface of the polyethylene HV cable will be reduced in this time to ~0.01 ppt compared to the total Xe mass, effectively eliminating it as a long-term concern. Elevating the outgassing temperature to 45 °C is expected to reduce the PTFE content to ~2.6 ppt in 100 days, a modest improvement, as shown in Figure 9.7.1 (right).

Fortunately, for those components such as the PTFE reflectors that will be immersed in the cold LXe, the krypton diffusion constant will be dramatically lower during physics running because it obeys an

Arrhenius equation:  $D(T) = D_0 \exp(-E_a/k_B T)$ , where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $E_a$  is a characteristic activation energy, and  $D_0$  is the diffusion constant at infinite temperature. This will largely immobilize the krypton inside the plastic components at LXe temperature.

Specifically, to maintain our krypton goal throughout the physics run we require the total krypton outgassing rate to be < -0.005 ppt/year, implying a PTFE diffusion constant suppression factor of about  $10^4$  compared to room temperature. With a  $\Delta T$  of -120 K between 298 K and -178 K, this implies  $E_a > 0.17$  eV. (Typical values for  $E_a$  are in the few eV range). We are working to measure  $E_a$  in a representative sample of PTFE so that this effect can be fully quantified. Other components of the detector that remain partially at room temperature, such as the HV cable and the PMT and instrumentation, must be fully outgassed prior to filling the detector with Xe so that their krypton burden does not impact the experiment.

We note that the toy impurity model discussed here is useful for guiding the design and implementation of the purification system, however it is not comprehensive. It assumes that the pumping geometry is ideal, that the component shapes are simple, and that the only relevant impurities are krypton,  $O_2$ , and  $N_2$ . In fact, there is evidence from the field dependence of the electron lifetime in LUX that the dominant impurity species is not  $O_2$ . We are working to benchmark the model to the LUX experience to gain further insight into the reliability of the model. Ultimately, the outgassing of the LZ TPC will be carefully monitored via the Xe gas-sampling system beginning when the cryostat is first sealed and evacuated. The bulk of the LZ Xe stockpile will not be introduced into the detector until the krypton outgassing is properly characterized *in situ* and deemed acceptable both at room temperature and at LXe temperature.

### 9.8 Cryogenics

Cooling power to maintain Xe in the liquid phase is provided by a cryogenic system that distributes nitrogen in gas and liquid phases. By utilizing the approximate 100 K temperature difference between the nitrogen and Xe evaporation temperatures, sufficient gradient exists to provide for thermal control and temperature modulation. Existing infrastructure from LUX, including 450-liter LN storage tanks; VJ pipe; and miscellaneous valves, sensors, and fittings are modified and re-utilized to provide a front end to the primary cooling equipment for the experiment while providing supplemental LN storage. During operations, distribution of LN is from a VJ central 1,000-liter distribution tank that is co-located with a Stirling cycle cryocooler. The cryocooler liquefies cold evaporated nitrogen gas in a closed-loop cycle operating at atmospheric pressure. Multiple thermosyphon heat pipes are connected to the LN in the storage tank that is used as a heat sink to provide for heat removal at four locations within the Davis Cavern. Those four locations are the detector, HV feedthrough, LXe tower, and Xe sampling system. The underground installation is shown in Figure 9.8.1.

Exterior to the Davis Cavern is an LN storage room that contains four 450-liter LN storage tanks mounted on mass scales for monitoring LN consumption. A commercially purchased VJ piping system that has a complete implementation of control valves, relief valves, and pressure-monitoring equipment connects the tanks to equipment in the Davis Cavern. A separate small-diameter tube furnishes boil-off nitrogen that is utilized to purge the freeboard of the water tank and the water-purification system vacuum pump. The current four storage tanks are required to provide an initial liquid volume for startup of the cryocooler and to provide backup to the cryocooler until a second cryocooler is procured at a future date. Alterations to the VJ piping system are required to allow for rerouting of LN to new destinations in the Cavern that will differ from the LUX LN use locations. The rail-mounted 1,100-liter LN storage tank utilized by LUX will provide for the resupply of LN from the surface during brief interruptions in the operation of the underground cryocoolers.

A cryocooler based on the Stirling thermal cycle is selected for cooling of LZ. It is depicted schematically in Figure 9.8.2. Included in the cryocooler design is a cryogenerator, a work platform sized for two cryogenerators, a 1,000-liter LN storage tank to provide a distribution reservoir, and a complete





monitoring and control system. The design of the cryocooler is closed cycle. Nitrogen inside the storage tank is reliquefied rather than being vented to the cavern as was done during LUX operations. The cryocooler is capable of 1000 W of cooling power at the 77 K boiling temperature of LN at atmospheric pressure. Preliminary estimates of total heat load on the cryogenic system are between 700 and 900 W. The 1000 W configuration provides a margin against unanticipated heat load.

Cryocoolers based on the Stirling cycle have additional advantages: quick startup, low energy consumption (each unit draws 11 kW of electricity), and variable-drive motors that allow adjustable cooling levels below 1000 W. These units have been deployed at many institutions worldwide, including installations at SNOLAB; Gran Sasso (Icarus); and multiple university laboratories in the United States,



Figure 9.8.2. Cryocooler schematic. A cryogenerator removes heat, Q, from a closed LN storage reservoir. Other devices requiring heat removal are thermally connected to that reservoir. All of the systems are closedloop.

Russia, and Asia. Maintenance of the cryocooler is required after 6,000 hours of continuous use, so the system is designed for the future addition of a second cryocooler (likely purchased as a component of operations). Transport of LN from the surface to the Davis Campus can sustain operations during short-term maintenance.

LUX receives deliveries of LN two to three times per week. Even though LZ is better insulated, scaling indicates that LZ requires one LN delivery every 24 to 36 hours to sustain operation. For long-term operations, that would increase the inherent transport risk and manpower requirements related to LN transfer. Therefore, the addition of a second cryogenerator at some point would significantly reduce the risk to long-term operations.

Distribution of cooling power from the cryocooler to the Xe-containing experimental systems is accomplished by use of thermosyphons. A thermosyphon is a type of heat pipe. Thermosyphon technology was successfully applied to LUX following development at Case Western Reserve University [12]. Thermosyphons comprise condenser and evaporator heads connected by small-diameter tubing wrapped with multilayer insulation (MLI) and charged with nitrogen gas at modest pressure. In this application, the condenser is immersed in an LN bath, causing the nitrogen gas in the thermosyphon to liquefy. The liquid flows in the small-diameter tubing by gravity to the evaporator that is physically attached to the device that requires cooling. Heat from the evaporator causes the LN pooled in the evaporator to change phase, removing heat via the nitrogen latent heat of vaporization. The warmed nitrogen gas then returns to the condenser by buoyancy effect.

Cooling of the Xe relies upon the temperature difference between the LN and Xe vaporization temperatures as well as the adjustable cooling power of the thermosyphon. Changing the mass of circulating nitrogen can modulate the thermosyphon cooling power. As the mass is increased, pressure in the condenser rises, effectively increasing the boiling temperature in the thermosyphon and inducing an increased heat flux to the LN bath that remains at atmospheric pressure and 77 K.

Thermosyphon cooling is completely passive, with a fixed amount of nitrogen in the secondary cooling loop. Therefore no pumps are required and there is no direct path for large quantities of LN (typically stored in dewars) to get into the Xe via a leak. As the thermosyphon transport tubing may be 9.5 mm or 12.7 mm outer diameter (OD), a minimal amount of nitrogen can be placed into the tubes, on the order of 15 grams, to reach 10 atma. This mass gradually increases as the nitrogen condenses but the mass remains modest. Overpressure protection is by relief valves and burst disks. The thermosyphons are charged with nitrogen via high-pressure cylinders. Control of the gas mass (and cooling power) is accomplished with pneumatic valves, mass flow controllers, sensors, and processing devices connected to slow control via Ethernet. Thermosyphons deployed in LUX were easily capable of delivering more than 200 W cooling for each deployed evaporator head. The single largest device needing cooling is the LXe tower, in which two-phase and gas-gas heat exchangers are anticipated to require 300-400 W of cooling to recondense Xe returning to the detector. Two thermosyphon evaporators are able to provide that energy removal.

Vacuum-pumping systems take advantage of tubing in place to route thermosyphons and Xe transfer lines. Packages of combined scroll pumps and turbomolecular pumps are deployed on the decking of upper Davis Cavern and near the Xe heat exchanger tower in lower Davis Cavern. These pump combinations evacuate: the annulus between the inner and outer vessels, detector internals, Xe heatexchanger tower, Xe gas-sampling system, and the entire Xe gas system. Vacuum pumps remaining from LUX operations are utilized. Two vacuum leak-check carts are provided so that there is a minimum of one cart available at any time in the Surface Assembly Laboratory and the underground Davis Campus. A variety of gauges are deployed, including manual gauges (that can show vacuum in power outage), thermocouple gauges, and ion gauges.

PMT and signal cables from both the inner and outer cryostats must be routed to locations where they can transition from either a pure Xe or high-vacuum environment to atmospheric conditions in the Davis Cavern. PMT and signal cables from the inner cryostat are routed through VJ conduits. Conduits exit the

cryostat from both the top and bottom and are routed to a SURF-installed mezzanine level on the north side of the water tank. The inner tube of the VJ is sized to allow pulling of all cables during installation. The outer jacket has "tee" connections to the vacuum-pumping system. Breakout boxes are installed on the conduits at the mezzanine for installation of hermetic feedthroughs at the point where cables must be terminated in order to exit the Xe space. Breakout boxes and inner conduits are compatible with the 3.4-atma maximum Xe pressure. Signal cables from the vacuum space are similarly routed through a vacuum conduit to another breakout box, with hermetic feedthroughs to keep the vacuum space sealed.

Control of temperature, pressure, and liquid levels within the cryogen system is needed both for the correct operation of detector systems and for safe operation. Control of these parameters is via connection to the slow control system described in Chapter 11. Sensor deployment is estimated largely based on a scale-up of LUX use. Each thermosyphon utilizes multiple thermometers, pressure transducers, a vacuum gauge, a mass flow controller, and controller boxes to digitize signals and transmit via Ethernet. Similarly, the LN storage room, VJ distribution piping, cryocooler, and vacuum pumping system need active monitoring and control of temperature and pressure.

#### 9.9 Xenon Procurement

Approximately 10 tonnes  $(1.8 \times 10^6 \text{ gas liters})$  of Xe must be procured for the LZ detector. This assumes that the current Xe inventory in LUX (about 370 kg) and from other sources (primarily at Case Western Reserve and SLAC, about 150 kg) will be used. In our baseline plan, the South Dakota Science and Technology Authority (SDSTA) will procure most of the Xe. About 30% of the Xe would be an in-kind contribution from collaborators in China and Russia. Significant funding from the U.S. funding agencies would be reserved as contingency to augment the funding from SDSTA (see Chapter 17). We note that there is substantial flexibility with regard to timing and procurement arrangements through SDSTA.

The historical price of Xe has fluctuated by roughly a factor of 5. The SDSTA commissioned a private study of the Xe market and pricing by an experienced consultant. This 50-page report was delivered in October 2013 and documented the sources of Xe, the current usage, and predicted future usage. An updated was issued in October 2014. The current production of Xe is about  $10 \times 10^6$  liters per. The demand from the lighting industry is anticipated to decline (as LED lighting becomes more prevalent, supplanting Xe lighting) and a modest growth is foreseen in the supply side as new capabilities come online.

The procurement in the United States would be dictated by availability and pricing, since the time needed for Kr removal for the full 10 tonnes is less than six months. We have engaged the services of the experienced consultant referenced above to facilitate interactions with the Xe vendors, including sources in Russia and Ukraine. Russian collaborators own a modest stock of Xe for current experiments. This, together with some additional purchases, makes up the roughly 1 tonne of the Russian in-kind contribution, which includes the 0.3 tonnes already in hand. Similarly we are in discussions with potential collaborators in China to join LZ. They currently have about 1.2 tonnes of Xe and there is significant availability of Xe in China.

We have reached out to some other potential scientific users of large amounts of Xe. This includes a NASA proposal for an asteroid mission that would use Xe as a propellant and the nEXO collaboration. A joint statement of need has been created and is being used in discussions with potential vendors.

#### **Chapter 9 References**

- [1] D. S. Leonard, A. Dobi, C. Hall, L. Kaufman, T. Langford, S. Slutsky, and Y.-R. Yen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A621, 678 (2010), arXiv:1002.2742 [physics.ins-det].
- [2] A. Dobi, C. G. Davis, C. Hall, T. Langford, S. Slutsky, and Y.-R. Yen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A665, 1 (2011), arXiv:1103.2714 [astro-ph].
- [3] H. H. Loosli, B. E. Lehmann, and W. M. Smethie Jr., in *Environmental Tracers in Subsurface Hydrology*, edited by P. G. Cook and A. L. Herczeg (Springer US, 2000) pp. 379–396, *Noble Gas Radioisotopes: <sup>37</sup>Ar*, <sup>85</sup>Kr, <sup>39</sup>Ar, <sup>81</sup>Kr, ISBN 978-1-4613-7057-4.
- [4] K. Abe et al. (XMASS-I), Astropart. Phys. 31, 290 (2009), arXiv:0809.4413 [physics.ins-det].
- [5] K. Abe et al. (XMASS-I), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A716, 78 (2013), arXiv:1301.2815 [physics.ins-det].
- [6] Z. Wang, L. Bao, X. Hao, and Y. Ju, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 85, 015116 (2014).
- [7] A. I. Bolozdynya, P. P. Brusov, T. Shutt, C. E. Dahl, and J. Kwong, in *Proceedings of the 11th Symposium on Radiation Measurements and Applications Ann Arbor, MI, USA 23–26 May 2006*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth., Vol. A579 (2007) pp. 50–53, A chromatographic system for removal of radioactive <sup>85</sup>Kr from xenon.
- [8] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 091303 (2014), arXiv:1310.8214 [astro-ph].
- [9] A. Dobi, D. S. Leonard, C. Hall, L. Kaufman, T. Langford, S. Slutsky, and Y. R. Yen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A620, 594 (2010), arXiv:1002.2791 [physics.ins-det].
- [10] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A709, 29 (2013), arXiv:1207.3665 [physics.ins-det].
- [11] A. Dobi et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A675, 40 (2012), arXiv:1109.1046 [physics.ins-det].
- [12] A. Bolozdynya, A. Bradley, S. Bryan, K. Clark, C. Dahl, J. Kwong, J. Mock, P. Phelps, T. Shutt, and M. Usowicz, IEEE T. Nucl. Sci. 56, 2309 (2009).