# 12 Assay, Screening, and Cleanliness

# **12.1 Introduction**

For LZ to realize a sensitivity to a WIMP-nucleon cross section above  $2 \times 10^{-48}$  cm<sup>2</sup> at a 50 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> WIMP mass from an approximate 5.6-tonne fiducial mass within three years of WIMP data taking, the maximum tolerable ER background in the WIMP search energy region  $(1.5 - 6.5 \text{ keV}_{ee})$  from non-astrophysical sources should be approximately  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  events/day/kg/keV (dru) before discrimination through S2/S1 and vetoes from the LXe skin and OD are applied. Although this represents an unprecedented low background rate for dark-matter detectors, it can be achieved by employing a number of proven low-background and assaying techniques that have been successfully employed in recent rare-event searches for dark matter, as well as in neutrinoless double-beta decay and neutrino experiments [1-9]. These techniques include:

- A comprehensive material-screening campaign to select components that satisfy stringent radioactivity constraints such that the single scatter background particle interaction rate within the fiducial volume and WIMP search energy range is reduced below 1  $\mu$  dru
- Removal of radioactive elements such as Kr, Ar, or Rn from the LXe to limit their single scatter background in the WIMP search energy range to below 2  $\mu$  dru
- Adherence to cleanliness protocols for control of airborne radioactivity and particulates, both during parts manufacture and during final assembly and integration

The material-screening campaign is the primary route to controlling the ER and NR backgrounds resulting from radioactivity in and on detector materials in the experiment. These are primarily the gamma-ray-emitting isotopes  ${}^{40}$ K,  ${}^{137}$ Cs, and  ${}^{60}$ Co, as well as  ${}^{238}$ U,  ${}^{235}$ U,  ${}^{232}$ Th, and their progeny. The U and Th chains are also responsible for neutron production following spontaneous fission and ( $\alpha$ ,n) reactions, and therefore represent the most serious source of background. Kr, Ar, and Rn outgassing from

Table 12.1.1. Primary material radioassay techniques, indicating isotopic sensitivity and detection limits, as well
as typical throughput or single-sample measurement duration.

Technique	Isotopic Sensitivity	Typical Sensitivity Limits	Sample Mass	Destructive/ Nondestructive	Sampling Duration	Notes
HPGe	<sup>238</sup> U, <sup>235</sup> U, <sup>232</sup> Th chains, <sup>40</sup> K, <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>137</sup> Cs (any gamma emitter)	50 ppt U, 100 ppt Th	kg	Nondestructive	Up to 2 weeks	Very versatile, not as sensitive as other techniques, large samples
NAA	<sup>238</sup> U, <sup>235</sup> U, and <sup>232</sup> Th, K (top of chain)	10 <sup>-12</sup> to 10 <sup>-14</sup> g/g	g	Destructive	Days to weeks	Sensitive to some contaminations
ICP-MS	<sup>238</sup> U, <sup>235</sup> U, and <sup>232</sup> Th (top of chain)	10 <sup>-12</sup> g/g	mg to g	Destructive	Days	Requires sample digestion; preparation critical
GD-MS	<sup>238</sup> U, <sup>235</sup> U, and <sup>232</sup> Th (top of chain)	10 <sup>-10</sup> g/g	mg to g	Destructive	Days	Minimal matrix effects, can analyze ceramics and other insulators
ICP-OES	<sup>238</sup> U, <sup>235</sup> U, and <sup>232</sup> Th (top of chain)	10 <sup>-9</sup> g/g	g	Destructive	Days	Requires sample digestion; preparation critical
Rn emanation	<sup>222</sup> Rn, <sup>220</sup> Rn	0.1 mBq	kg	Nondestructive	Days to weeks	Large samples, limited by size of emanation chamber

materials into the Xe also results in ER backgrounds, and  $\alpha$ -emitting Rn daughters can contribute to neutron backgrounds. Generally, contaminants in massive components or those closest to the central active volume of Xe present more stringent cleanliness and radiopurity requirements for the experiment. These are the PMTs; PMT bases and cables; the TPC components, including the PTFE sheets and support structures; the Xe target itself; and the cryostat. Our screening campaign includes several mature techniques for the identification and characterization of radioactive species within these bulk detector materials, namely gamma-ray spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, neutron activation, and measurement of Rn emanation from components before their integration into LZ. These complementary techniques collectively produce a complete picture of the radiological contaminants. Table 12.1.1 provides a summary of the techniques. A detailed description and list of facilities available to LZ appears in Section 12.3.

Gamma-ray spectroscopy with ultralow-background high-purity germanium (HPGe) detectors can typically measure U and Th decay chain species with sensitivities down to  $\sim 20$  ppt (g/g). HPGe can assay  ${}^{60}$ Co,  ${}^{40}$ K, and other radioactive species emitting gamma rays. This technique is nondestructive and, in addition to sample screening, finished components can be assayed prior to installation. Under the assumption of secular equilibrium, with all isotopic decays remaining within the same volume, the activity and concentration for any particular isotope in the chain may be inferred from the measured U and Th content, assuming natural terrestrial abundance ratios. Unfortunately, it is relatively simple to break secular equilibrium through removal of radioactive daughter isotopes during chemical processing or through emanation and outgassing. HPGe is less sensitive to measures of the progenitor isotopes or the low-energy or low-probability gamma-ray emission from the early-chain decays of <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th, but readily identifies the concentrations of isotopes from mid- to late-chain isotopes, particularly those with energies in excess of several hundred keV [10]. The early chain of <sup>238</sup>U refers to measurements of isotopes down to <sup>226</sup>Ra, and late chain beyond this point. The early chain of <sup>232</sup>Th refers to measures of <sup>228</sup>Ra and <sup>228</sup>Ac, and late chain to <sup>228</sup>Th and beyond. Since radioactivity from different parts of the <sup>238</sup>U chain can vary considerably, and since the ratio of early- to late-chain <sup>232</sup>Th content may change with time, it is important to measure full chain activity, and do so periodically.

Neutron activation analysis (NAA) increases the sensitivity to U and Th by neutron-activating samples of material and, with the shortened induced half-lives, achieves sensitivities up to ~1,000 times better than direct counting. Samples must be specially prepared and compatible with neutron irradiation in a reactor. As with inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), this is a destructive technique, requiring small sample masses; additionally, assumptions of secular equilibrium need to be made since this technique measures the top of the U and Th chains.

ICP-MS assays U and Th contamination in small samples. Sensitivities for U and Th can be a factor of ~100 more sensitive than HPGe gamma-ray counting. The samples are atomized and measured with ultrasensitive mass spectroscopic techniques. Care must be taken to avoid contamination of solvents and reactants. ICP-MS directly assays the <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U, and <sup>232</sup>Th content for progenitor activity informing the contribution to neutron flux from ( $\alpha$ ,n) in low-Z materials, but also the contribution from spontaneous fission, which in specific materials can dominate. However, it is limited in identifying particular daughter isotopes that are better probed by HPGe and typically contribute the bulk of the alpha and gamma-ray emission through the U and Th decay series.

Most of the facilities for the assaying measurements are operated directly by LZ groups or exist at LZ institutes, allowing us to maintain full control of sample preparation, measurements, analysis, and interpretation of data necessary to ensure sufficient sensitivity with reliable reproducibility and control of systematics. Commercial facilities that can provide ICP-MS, glow-discharge mass spectrometry (GD-MS), and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) are available to the collaboration, and may be exploited for additional throughput. However commercial service providers are

typically limited in sensitivity due to regular exposure of their instruments and sample preparation infrastructure to materials with high concentrations of contaminants.

Detector components will be matched with the appropriate assay technique depending on the material and requisite sensitivity, defined by Monte Carlo simulations described in Section 12.2. In some cases, the final detector material or components are assayed. When manufacturing or processes are complex, raw components as well as final components will be assayed to assist in maintaining purity through the manufacturing process and to assist in selecting those processes that do not introduce additional contamination.

Stringent constraints are also applied to "intrinsic" contamination of the Xe by radon and krypton. The LZ Xe purification program will remove <sup>85</sup>Kr from the Xe down to the level of 0.015 ppt (g/g) using chromatographic techniques (see Chapter 9). All components that come into contact with any Xe in the experiment, whether within the primary instrument or in the gas storage, circulation, or recovery pipework, are screened for fixed contaminants, Rn emanation, and Kr outgassing to ensure that the intrinsic background remains within defined limits. These emanation and outgassing measurements are performed in dedicated chambers built and operated by LZ institutions. Similarly, techniques to measure bulk contamination of materials with radon progeny that emit alpha and beta particles that are not readily identified using HPGe, ICP-MS, or NAA are being developed by the collaboration. This is particularly important for large amounts of plastic such as the PTFE reflector panels within the TPC. Prolonged exposure to radon-contaminated air during manufacture will result in the presence of alpha-emitting <sup>210</sup>Pb. The high cross section for ( $\alpha$ ,n) reactions on the fluorine in the PTFE will result in neutron emission. A program to measure <sup>210</sup>Pb forms part of the ongoing R&D efforts (described in Section 12.6).

The results of screening and analytics measurements are entered into an LZ materials database, building on the existing LUX screening campaign, described in Ref [11]. The database collates assay results from materials selected for use and identifies the components that contain them. These are referenced to results from Monte Carlo simulations that detail the background from the components in LZ. The contributions from several other sources in addition to bulk contamination of the materials and components will be included in the database. The first is the contribution from radon-daughter plate-out on components, especially during component transport, storage, and assembly. This is controlled through use of dedicated clean rooms available to the project at SURF (Chapter 13); active monitoring of the environment for radon; and following established cleanliness, handling, and storage procedures. Selected lightweight plastics and rubbers with low radon-diffusion coefficients are used to enclose materials in transit and during temporary storage. The second contribution comes from cosmogenic activation of components before they are moved underground, such as <sup>46</sup>Sc production from Ti activation emitting 889 and 1120 keV gamma rays with a half-life of 84 days. While contribution to the background from potential activation products are assessed with a number of simulation toolkits, data from the LUX experiment in particular is able to provide considerable input to reduce the systematic uncertainty for such calculations and accurately assess the time-varying impact to the background radiation budget of the LZ experiment.

While LZ's sensitivity goals require unparalleled low background contamination control for dark-matter experiments and consequent severe constraints on material contamination, the screening campaign outlined here builds on the demonstrated substantial experience of the collaboration and established procedures or techniques employed by rare-event searches for background mitigation to meet these challenges with confidence. In the following subsections we detail the screening program, beginning with expected background rates derived from Monte Carlo simulations and requirements on material-screening sensitivity.

### **12.2 Monte Carlo Simulations and Background Rates**

The LZSim Monte Carlo simulation package has been constructed to model the experiment and inform the design, determine optimal performance parameters, and define tolerable rates from background

sources. Developed using the GEANT4 toolkit [12], the framework inherits from, and closely follows, the successful implementation of the LUX model [13], with evolving design of all parts of the experiment, including the inner detector, the cryostat, and the veto outer detector (OD), reflected in appropriate changes to the model geometry.

Simulations are performed to assess the background contribution from all expected background sources, including astrophysical neutrinos, intrinsic radioactivity in the Xe, and emission from every component in the experiment. This extends to subcomponents, with the model accurately representing the physical distribution of contaminants, particularly since ( $\alpha$ ,n) neutron yields can vary by many orders of magnitude, depending on the primary constituents of the materials containing the alpha-emitting uranium and thorium and decay products. Similarly, the physical distribution of gamma-ray, alpha, and beta particle emitters are modeled, as electrons created by these may produce detectable photons through Cherenkov and Bremsstrahlung processes, particularly in quartz or plastics close to or in contact with Xe.

Energy depositions from interactions in the Xe and outer detector are recorded in LZSim. Where necessary, optical tracking is performed following scintillation and ionization generation implemented using NEST [14]. LZSim models photon hit patterns and timing to mimic S1 and S2 signal generation in LZ, and allows for accurate studies of rare mechanisms that might produce backgrounds such as MSSI (multiple-scintillation single-ionization) events or background pile-up. Such detailed characterization and quantification of all background sources and their impacts are necessary to assign confidence to expected background event rates, their spectra, and their physical distribution in the detector. As a discovery instrument, the expected background in LZ must be well understood and quantified before any significance can be ascribed to observation of any potential signal and WIMP discovery.

With the exception of astrophysical neutrinos, the major sources of background in LZ will be radioactivity from construction materials surrounding the central fiducial volume, and radon and krypton distributed throughout the xenon. The goal for the maximum unvetoed differential single-scatter ER rate from each of these non-astrophysical sources after cuts in the WIMP search energy range has been set to 1 µdru. This is approximately 10% of that expected from irreducible pp solar neutrinos deduced from our Monte Carlo simulations of the detector (see Table 12.2.2). Similarly, an upper limit of 0.4 unvetoed WIMP-like single-scatter NRs due to neutron emission from material radioactivity is the goal for a 1,000-day exposure, reduced to 0.2 after a 50% NR efficiency is applied. This level of background allows LZ to achieve its sensitivity goal within 3 years of live-time (Chapter 4).

Acceptance of screened materials for use in LZ depends on the Monte Carlo simulations and the overall radioactive background budget. When a component is identified as required in LZ, it is incorporated into the LZSim model and a preliminary estimate of maximum tolerable activity from that component is calculated. This requirement necessarily depends on activity from other components and the overall budget, and initial inputs to LZSim for detector-related backgrounds are based on measured values, or from screening results from previous experiments. The maximum tolerable activity for the new component, including contingency for dominant materials such as the PMTs, is then translated to a required screening sensitivity for radioassaying a material sample. This in turn informs the screening technique and facility that will be employed for the assay. Screening results are then fed back into LZSim to produce an accurate assessment of electron and NR background and overall impact. The acceptance of the component depends on whether it meets requirements, or if it can be accommodated given related constraints and achieved radiopurity in other components and materials. In some cases, and as is justified by our assay experience, we may employ sampling of complete components. As the materials are assayed, this screening provides "as-built" input for the LZ background model.

Figure 12.2.1 shows the flow diagram, from identification of a component requirement, to determining assay requirements through Monte Carlo simulations, to performing screening and iterating on the simulation results, determining impact on background, and finally deciding whether the component is

acceptable for use in the experiment. Where materials or components exceed tolerable limits, alternatives are sourced.

In building the background model for LZ and determining maximum tolerable rates, the initial inputs to LZSim for detector-related backgrounds come from our screening results, results from previous experiments, or literature values. Given the experience with the ZEPLIN detectors, LUX, XENON10, and other similar LXe TPCs such as EXO, as well as the materials and components defined in the design of



Figure 12.2.1. Flow diagram depicting the process of component identification, screening, iterative Monte Carlo simulations and impact studies, determination of impact, and finally decision on accepting or resourcing material.

LZ at present, contributors to background are identified and listed in Table 12.2.2, along with their calculated impact on background rates. These values are updated as the LZSim detector model is modified and as screening results become available.

The total activity as determined through Monte Carlo simulations with LZSim for the dominant material components and non-astrophysical sources satisfies the LZ sensitivity requirements, with 0.33 NR events in 1,000 live-days with a fiducial volume of 5.6 tonnes of Xe, and a WIMP search energy regime defined by 1.5 - 6.5 keV<sub>ee</sub> from U and Th contamination, and 67 ER events (approximately 2.4  $\mu$  dru) before discrimination or NR efficiency is applied. The following list presents the justification for the assumed values of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>60</sup>Co, and <sup>40</sup>K content used as initial input to LZSim for the major components. In Table 12.2.1, we present the full list of materials and references for the assayed values of radioactivity. LZ's assay campaign has made good progress in measuring the main contributors to LZ's ER and NR backgrounds. We anticipate completing assays for all these items with LZ-specified materials and assembling a detailed background model prior to the start of integration and assembly. The impact of these backgrounds is presented in Table 12.2.2.

- The activity for early production models of the 3-inch R11410 PMTs has been measured by the LZ collaboration [15]. Multiple batches of PMTs (25 total) were fully counted. In addition, all individual component materials used in PMT fabrication were counted. Measurements of components and finished assemblies will continue as PMT fabrication takes place, as described in subsequent subsections. The XENON1T collaboration [16] recently published extensive assays of the same 3-inch PMT, including assays of the components used in its fabrication as well as a large sample of the finished product. The LZ assays are consistent with the XENON1T assays. Recent LZ assays of the assembled PMT with the Chaloner and Maeve detectors produced limits on the early-U content, useful in understanding the spontaneous fission neutron backgrounds, with some modeling of the PMT required. Assays of the production materials for LZ's PMTs are currently under way, with all components to be assayed and accepted prior to the production of the PMTs.
- The two cryostat vessels will include flanges and CP-1 grade titanium with activities recently measured by LZ. The assayed U and Th levels are substantially lower than those recorded by the LUX collaboration. These assays are being confirmed by ICP-MS and with additional direct counting assays with other LZ detectors at Boulby.
- The contamination assumed in the PTFE arises from measurements by the EXO collaboration. The EXO-200 double-beta decay experiment used ultrapure Teflon, manufactured by DuPont; employed sintering techniques developed with Applied Plastics Technology Inc. (APT) in Bristol, Rhode Island; and assayed with NAA. Similar DuPont TE-6472 or equivalent raw material, sintered at APT, may be used in LZ. LZ is advancing its NAA program with the PTFE as well as assays of the <sup>210</sup>Pb content of the bulk materials used to fabricate the PTFE.
- The field-shaping rings are constructed from 260 kg of Ti whose activity is the same as the cryostat vessel.
- The LXe skin PMTs are 1-inch-square Hamamatsu R8520 devices. These are the same type of PMTs used in the TPC of the XENON100 experiment. Several groups have measured their activity, and we use the results reported by the XENON collaboration as input for our Monte Carlo studies [4].
- The internal supports include the cathode, gate grid, anode, and PMT support structures. These components are constructed from titanium. The wires for the grids themselves are also made from stainless steel and their background contributions are included in our model.
- The HV umbilical contains stainless steel, copper cable, and insulating material; the utility and HV conduits will be titanium and stainless steel. As with the internal supports, stainless steel values are as measured by LZ, as is the case for titanium, and copper as measured by LUX and LZ.
- The liquid scintillator, formed of LAB and additives, has been assayed by LZ. These results improve on the limits set by Daya Bay for a similar composition.
- The activity for the acrylic vessels for the scintillator is informed by measurements from the SNO and Daya Bay collaborations. The other components have been assayed by LZ or taken from EXO or SuperNEMO.
- R5912 Hamamatsu PMTs are used to collect light from both the Gd-LS veto and the Cherenkov water shield. These PMTs are the same as those used in the LUX water shield at present, and their activity has been measured by LZ.
- The base of the OD support stand (stainless steel), and water PMT stands total some 620 kg of material and their impact has also been assessed. Stainless steel values that are input to the Monte Carlo simulations are as measured by LZ.

Table 12.2.1 presents the assay values and citations for the comprehensive list of materials in LZ design. LZ and LUX assays are maintained on the collaborations database. XENON100 assays are taken from

[17]; EXO-200 from [18]; XENON1T [16]; MAJORANA, GERDA, and SuperNEMO by private communication or conference presentations; SNO from [19]. LZ has assayed two critical components: the Ti and prototype PMTs. The collaboration will assay all materials used in the detector as they are designed and procured. The contamination values are used to generate mass-weighted average activities for Monte Carlo simulations for ER backgrounds, and in critical components the individual components are used to generate NR rates from ( $\alpha$ ,n) and spontaneous fission.

Table 12.2.2 presents the impact of the background sources assembled in Table 12.2.1, as these materials dominate given their mass and proximity to the LXe target. Most of the entries in Table 12.2.2 are formed from composite materials, where some 130+ components or subcomponents contribute to make up the different elements. The detector CAD model is used to establish the detailed geometry of the components. The NR and ER background calculations take into account radioactivity from all the components. LZ's screening and cleanliness-maintenance procedures will be applied to all materials to guarantee adequate background control and accurate modeling. Requirements on different materials and components vary, with their impact depending on material and position. Nonetheless, the simulations inform the necessity for screening U and Th in materials at the order of tens of ppt levels, tens to hundreds of ppb for <sup>40</sup>K, and 5 fCi/kg for <sup>60</sup>Co. Materials of sufficient radiopurity have been successfully deployed in rare-event search experiments with available technology and facilities that incorporate screening, cleanliness maintenance, and outputs from the R&D program. These measurements and procedures aim to reliably identify clean materials and maintain their purity throughout the chain, from fabrication to installation and operation. The assaying program to achieve these minimum limits is detailed in the following section.

Material	U-	U-late	Th-	Th-	<sup>60</sup> Co	<sup>40</sup> K	Reference			
Wateria	early	U-late	early	late		I I I				
mBq/kg										
General Materials										
Ti	<1.60	<0.09	0.28	0.23	0.00	<0.54	LZ			
PTFE	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01	<0.01	0.00	<0.10	EXO-200			
PEEK	8.50	8.50	<2.40	<2.40	0.00	0.00	LUX			
LEDs	2.00E3	<100	<200	<100	0.00	<1.00E3	LZ			
Cu	<0.04	<0.04	<0.01	< 0.01	0.00	<1.55	EXO-200			
Cable RG174	<29.8	<1.47	3.31	<3.15	<0.65	33.14	XENON100			
Stainless steel	1.20	0.27	0.33	0.49	1.60	<0.40	LZ			
Ероху	<0.55	<0.55	<0.10	<0.10	<0.00	<0.63	EXO-200			
Tyvek	<6.00	<6.00	<2.20	<2.20	0.00	5.10E3	SuperNEMO			
HDPE	5.96	<0.37	0.63	0.62	0.00	3.40	SNO			
Rubber	<124.	<124.	<41.0	<41.0	0.00	24.5	EXO-200			
Viton	2.63E3	2.49E3	220	220	<10.0	2.15E3	LZ			
Aluminum	1.13	1.13	0.37	0.37	0.00	25.5	GERDA			
Polyurethane	57.0	57.0	9.00	9.00	<6.00	<80.0	LZ			
Ceramic – TPC resistors	617	247	122	122	0.00	<186	LZ			
UHMW-PE	<6.20	22.2	<1.22	<1.22	0.00	<9.30	LZ			
Delrin	<4.00	<0.70	<0.18	<0.18	<0.30	18.0	SuperNEMO			

Table 12.2.1. Materials in the LZ design listed with radioactivities (mBq/kg) as determined by direct assay data from the LZ screening program, and from other published experimental results. The light-brown numbers represent 90% CL upper limits on isotopes, and the black numbers are based on error weighted averaged values for gamma line detection.

Material	U- early	U-late	Th- early	Th- late	<sup>60</sup> Co	<sup>40</sup> K	Reference					
Liquid Scintillator												
LAB	<0.00	<0.00	< 0.00	< 0.00	0.00	<0.00	LZ					
GdCl <sub>3</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	<1.24	<1.24	<0.41	<0.41	0.00	<0.00	LZ					
PPO	<1.85	<1.85	<2.60	<2.60	0.00	<0.00	LZ					
ТМНА	<0.25	<0.25	<0.29	<0.29	0.00	<0.00	LZ					
bis-MSB	<2.60	<2.60	<0.78	<0.78	0.00	<0.00	LZ					
Outer Detector Components												
PMT glass	1.51E3	1.51E3	1.07E3	1.07E3	0.00	3.90E3	LZ					
Acrylic	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.00	<0.07	SNO EXO					
Polyurethane foam	20.0	57.00	<2.60	<9.00	<6.00	<80.0	LZ					
			PMT Ba	ases								
Resistors	1.17E3	369	227	227	<9.27	4.36E3	LZ					
Capacitors	4.05E3	1.13E4	3.89E3	3.89E3	<10.	300	LZ					
Cirlex board	23.9	19.1	3.19	3.19	<0.63	<15.1	LZ					
Solder; Elsold	<58.2	<11.8	<10.7	<10.7	<2.24	<31.8	LZ					
Connector	4.60	4.60	5.80	5.80	0.00	0.00	MAJORANA					
CuBe spring	795	795	41.0	41.0	0.00	0.00	MAJORANA					
			R11410	PMTs								
Faceplate	<11.0	1.20	<0.40	< 0.37	<0.15	<2.70	LZ, XENON1T					
Pure Al seal	<46.7	<1.20	<1.10	1.10	<0.20	<9.50	LZ, XENON1T					
Co-free body	<118	3.33	<4.62	<4.36	0.90	<12.7	LZ, XENON1T					
Electrode disk	<110	<3.05	<5.24	<4.02	8.78	<7.80	LZ, XENON1T					
Dynodes	<73.6	3.75	<1.31	<1.31	0.83	8.33	LZ, XENON1T					
Shield	<92.5	3.25	2.00	2.00	0.50	<8.00	LZ, XENON1T					
L-shaped insulation	<13.9	2.01	<0.76	<0.49	<0.16	4.86	LZ, XENON1T					
Faceplate flange	<43.9	2.06	<1.00	<1.00	14.44	3.89	LZ, XENON1T					
Stem	150	16.3	14.4	6.88	<1.25	68.8	LZ, XENON1T					
Stem flange	<46.4	<5.93	<2.14	<0.54	15.7	5.00	LZ, XENON1T					
Getter	<1.21E4	603	<345	<500	<72.4	1.38E3	LZ, XENON1T					
Full PMT assay	<120	<4.50	<10.5	12.5	0.00	45.5	LZ, XENON1T					
			R8520 1"	PMTs								
Main metal package	19.0	19.0	<13.0	<13.0	40.0	90.0	XENON100					
Glass in stem	970	970	340	340	<10.0	2.3E3	XENON100					
Spacer betw electrodes	780	780	260	260	<12.0	800	XENON100					
Seal betw window metal	17.0	17.0	370	370	<27.0	5.00	XENON100					
Electrodes	19.0	19.0	18.0	18.0	12.00	0.15	XENON100					
Window	<0.50	<0.50	<1.80	<1.80	<0.10	18.0	XENON100					
	TPC Components											
Reverse field- shaping resist.	617	247	122	122	0.00	<186	LZ					
Loop antenna	0.20	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.00	<1.86	EXO-200					
Internal thermometer	<1.00E3	<1.00E3	<424	<424	<14.2	<2.05E3	LUX					
Acoustic sensor PVDF	<0.09	<0.09	<0.02	<0.02	0.00	<0.37	EXO-200					

Table 12.2.2. The estimated intrinsic contamination and physics-generated background signals in LZ for the 1,000day-long, 5.6-tonne fiducial volume, and energy window of  $1.5 - 6.5 \text{ keV}_{ee}$  exposure. Mass-weighted average activities obtained from Table 12.2.1 are shown for composite materials. All significant elements of the LZ detector are represented. The estimated ER and NR events are modeled using the LZSim package that includes the physics and detector characteristics. With respect to neutron emission backgrounds, the modeling for these results includes all neutrons emitted by (alpha,n) and spontaneous fission (dominant in the U-early chain neutron emission numbers). However, the modeling does not currently include the additional vetoing effect arising from the emission of multiple high-energy gammas, and simultaneous emission of multiple neutrons in spontaneous fission. This is expected to very effectively provide an additional veto, with an efficiency >90%, for the dominant spontaneous fission component of NR events. This process will be more fully integrated into the LZ Monte Carlo codes in the future.

Intrinsic	Mass	U-	U-	Th-	Th-	<sup>60</sup> Co	<sup>40</sup> K	n/vr	FR	NR
Contamination		early	late	early	late		Ň	, y.	EN	
Backgrounds	(kg)		(mBq/kg)						(cts)	(cts)
Upper PMT structure	40.2	1.45	0.10	0.25	0.21	0.00	0.50	3.96	0.01	0.002
Lower PMT structure	64.1	0.85	0.06	0.15	0.12	0.00	0.33	5.49	0.01	0.003
R11410 3" PMTs	93.7	67.1	2.68	2.01	2.01	3.86	62.1	372.5	1.24	0.203
R11410 PMT bases	2.7	525.	74.6	29.1	29.1	3.60	109.	76.7	0.17	0.033
R8520 Skin 1" PMTs	4.2	60.5	5.19	4.75	4.75	24.2	333.	11.4	0.09	0.002
R8520 Skin PMT bases	0.9	513.	58.3	24.2	24.2	3.91	108.	23.3	0.06	0.003
PMT cabling	85.5	29.8	1.47	3.31	3.15	0.65	33.14	89.5	0.92	0.008
TPC PTFE	343.	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.10	24.1	0.17	0.007
Grid wires	0.33	1.20	0.27	0.33	0.49	1.60	0.40	0.02	0.01	0.000
Grid holders	69.6	1.60	0.09	0.28	0.23	0.00	0.54	6.92	0.02	0.003
Field-shaping rings	262.	5.89	1.81	1.13	1.08	0.00	1.83	32.2	1.22	0.004
TPC sensors	0.90	8.76	7.28	1.37	1.37	0.20	5.39	0.72	0.08	0.000
TPC thermometers	0.70	332.	329.	136.	136.	4.90	658.	85.2	3.67	0.010
Xe recirc. tubing	5.2	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.007	0.00	0.10	0.37	0.00	0.000
HV conduits – cables	138.	1.80	2.00	0.40	0.60	1.40	1.20	15.6	0.72	0.001
HX and PMT conduits	200.	1.05	0.21	0.27	0.38	1.18	0.60	11.9	0.41	0.000
Cryostat vessel	2.14E3	1.60	0.09	0.28	0.23	0.00	0.54	213.	0.86	0.019
Cryostat seals	4.5	102.	102.	34.0	34.0	7.27	22.6	40.3	0.79	0.001
Cryostat insulation	23.8	18.9	18.9	3.45	3.45	1.97	51.7	85.2	0.92	0.003
Cryostat Teflon liner	70.7	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.10	4.97	0.00	0.000
Outer detector tanks	4.00E3	0.15	0.37	0.02	0.06	0.04	4.32	101.	0.14	0.0002
Liquid scintillator	2.08E4	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	22.9	0.00	0.00
Outer detector PMTs	122.	1.50E3	1.50E3	1.07E3	1.07E3	0.00	3.90E3	2.09E4	0.08	0.022
OD PMT supports	620.	1.20	0.27	0.33	0.49	1.60	0.40	37.0	0.25	0.00
<sup>222</sup> Rn (0.67 mBq)									23.2	-
<sup>220</sup> Rn (0.07 mBq)									4.68	-
<sup>nat</sup> Kr (0.015 ppt g/g)									24.5	-
<sup>nat</sup> Ar (0.45 ppb g/g)									2.47	-
Subtotal (Non-v counts)									66.7	0.33
			Phys	ics Backg	grounds					
<sup>136</sup> Χε 2νββF									53.8	0
Astrophysical v counts (pp+ <sup>7</sup> Be)									271	0
Astrophysical v counts ( <sup>8</sup> B)									0	0
Astrophysical v counts (Hep)									0	0.002
Astrophysical v counts (diffuse supernova) 0									0	0.113
Astrophysical v counts (atmospheric) 0									0	0.385
Total 39								392	0.83	
Total (with 99.5% ER discrimination, 50% NR efficiency) 1.96 0.4									0.41	
Sum of ER and NR in LZ for 1,000 days, 5.6-tonne FV, with all analysis cuts									2.	37

# 12.3 Radioassay and Screening Campaign

Experience from LUX, EXO, and similar low-background experiments suggests that several hundred samples will require screening. ICP-MS, HPGe, and NAA will all be utilized, as no single technique has sensitivity to all radioactive isotopes within all materials, nor can any single technique at present provide sensitivity to the full <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th decay chains. Use of all three techniques will provide the required accurate model of a material's full gamma-ray and neutron emission, and the subsequent impact on the radiation budget and sensitivity of the experiment. Any rare-event search would benefit from the availability of all three techniques, which vary in their sample throughput and screening durations, requirements for sample size, access to instruments, ability to do bulk screening of complete components, and preservation or destruction of samples in the assaying process.

ICP-MS, operated in surface laboratories without the need for shielding, requires only grams of sample material, is generally less expensive than NAA or HPGe, and is considerably faster, allowing rapid throughput of samples, of ~day, prior to or even during component or detector construction. For the EXO experiment, of the ~500 samples screened, almost 50% were screened with ICP-MS only. Additionally, where samples must be assaved before manufacturers are permitted to use materials from particular batches, such as for the many components within the R11410 PMTs, rapid turnaround and feedback on material suitability is crucial. In principle, GD-MS also exhibits the benefits of fast throughput and is available to the collaboration commercially. However it has poorer sensitivity (~0.1 ppb U/Th) and can only be used with conductive or semiconductive solids. The limitation of ICP-MS is that the sample must be soluble — typically in mixtures of HF and HNO<sub>3</sub> — and that several samples from materials must be screened to probe contamination distribution and homogeneity. NAA probes the bulk contamination simultaneously, can also be performed on small samples, and is not limited by the composition of the material since no sample digestions or ablations are required. Indeed, of all known techniques, NAA can provide the best sensitivity to U and Th concentration. However, concurrent activation of trace contaminants of little interest or from the primary constituents of the sample can produce high gamma-ray fluxes that present a background to the U and Th measurements, severely compromising sensitivity. It is also a relatively slow and costly process. Finally, HPGe also probes the bulk contamination within almost any material; is nondestructive; and, as well as having sensitivity to most of the U and Th chains, can measure the most problematic gamma-ray-emitting isotopes <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>60</sup>Co that are inaccessible or difficult to measure with ICP-MS. However, HPGe measurements do necessarily require large sample masses (~1kg) and long measuring times (weeks per sample).

#### 12.3.1 Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry

Achieving instrument sensitivity in practice depends critically on sample preparation and its introduction to the ICP-MS system, as well as on subsequent analysis. Extreme care must be taken to ensure samples are not contaminated; systematics are reduced to an absolute minimum; and calibrations, quality control, and consistency checks are performed throughout the measurements.

All sample preparation, measurements, and analysis will be conducted with the instrument never exposed to high concentrations of contaminants that would be present in materials routinely screened by commercial systems, compromising the sensitivity of the devices. Sample material preparation for input to the detector will occur in clean rooms with dedicated hoods for sample dissolution and digestion to limit contamination, and will follow the procedures presented in [1] and [20]. Typically, samples are dissolved in HNO<sub>3</sub>/HF acid and facilitated where necessary by a microwave digestion system, raising the temperature and pressure to increase the rate of dissolution of heavy metals. The digested materials may then be separated with chromatography resin, with the Th eluted from the resin with 0.5 M oxalic acid and the U with 0.02 M HCl acid. Both U and Th fractions are then evaporated to dryness and reconstituted with nitric acid before measuring them with the mass spectrometer. The newly released Agilent 7900 is capable of measuring samples containing up to 25% total dissolved solids, a factor of 100

greater than the traditional matrix limit for ICP-MS, allowing flexibility for novel radiochemical techniques and sample dissolutions. This is achieved with little compromise to the resolution of interferences that can contribute to the masses of interest due to matrix elements introduced into the sample following digestion; therefore, minimum detectable activity (MDA) can be maintained at the ppt level for U/Th in most materials to be used in LZ.

The EXO experiment has also demonstrated sensitivity to <sup>40</sup>K, to which ICP-MS is typically insensitive due to interferences with the <sup>40</sup>Ar gas in the instrument. Sensitivity down to ppm levels was achieved using chemical resolution to remove interfering polyatomic or isobaric species from the ICP-MS ion beam with controlled ion-molecule chemistry.

An Agilent 7900 ICP-MS, with sensitivity to U and Th in principle below  $10^{-12}$  g/g, has been procured at University College London (UCL) for dedicated use in the LZ screening program and will come online for LZ assays in 2015. The UCL system should exhibit sensitivity similar to that achieved by EXO for <sup>40</sup>K, with species differentiation capability and both kinetic energy discrimination and chemical discrimination within its octopole reaction cell. Microwave ashing and digestion ovens for sample preparation — in order to realize ppt-level sensitivity following sample digests and to enable required rapid throughput and systematics control — will be installed in fall 2015. Ultrapure acids are produced inhouse with acid distillation and reflux instrumentation that will be commissioned at the same time.

ICP-MS systems are available to the collaboration at the University of California at Davis and the University of Alabama, and are already being employed for LZ material screening. In addition, limited access may become available to an ICP-MS at the University of Edinburgh, which includes a laser ablation head that can be used to rapidly ablate material from a sample; the ablated material is introduced as plasma directly into the ICP-MS without the necessity of digesting the sample. This allows the probing of the physical distribution of U and Th within materials, especially on surfaces; however, it can suffer from difficulty with quantitative analysis. Nonetheless, the complete sample screening period is reduced to hours or less.

#### 12.3.2 Neutron Activation Analysis

The LZ collaboration has access to two facilities for neutron activation of samples: one at UC Davis and the other at MIT. Samples are irradiated with neutrons from the reactor to activate some of the stable isotopes, which subsequently emit gamma rays of well-known energy that are detected through gamma-ray spectroscopy. Elemental concentrations are then inferred, using tabulated neutron-capture cross sections convoluted with the reactor neutron spectra.

UC Davis oversees a TRIGA Mark II reactor with typical output of 1.5 MW (2 MW maximum), or approximately 1 GW per 20 ms when pulsed [21]. The reactor provides easy access for LZ material screening. Once activated, samples are screened using one of four Canberra HPGe detectors of 8%, 25%, 50%, and 99% relative efficiency. A fifth 85% relative efficiency HPGe detector manufactured by ORTEC is presently being installed with a hermetic NaI Compton veto for background suppression. This reactor was used to screen Ti during the LUX screening campaign [22], providing excellent sensitivity to K, but limited in sensitivity to U and Th due to background from Sc activation within the Ti. No difficulty was presented for screening of plastic samples, with a sensitivity of <0.7 ppb achieved for U. Studies are ongoing to determine Th sensitivity. The UC Davis reactor and HPGe suite will again be used for the LZ screening campaign, with the addition of a D<sub>2</sub>O module developed for increasing the fast/thermal neutron flux. This module is presently being calibrated at a 0.25 MW TRIGA reactor at UC Irvine. The LBNL Berkeley Low Background Facility (BLBF) also has access, experience, and established procedures for NAA with the UC Davis.

The 6 MW<sub>th</sub> MIT Reactor II (MITR-II) is a double-tank reactor with an inner tank for light-water coolant moderator and an outer one serving as heavy-water reflector [23]. Two pneumatic sample insertion facilities are available. Steady-state thermal neutron fluxes of up to  $5 \times 10^{13}$  n/(s·cm<sup>2</sup>) can be achieved.

The sample insertion facilities can accommodate multiple samples that range in size but are typically a few mm in diameter and several cm in length. The two sample insertion facilities offer differing thermal over fast neutron flux ratios. Sample irradiations ranging from minutes to days can be performed, allowing accumulation of very large neutron fluences, which is key for reaching high analysis sensitivity. LZ samples will be prepared, surface cleaned (when needed), and hermetically sealed at the University of Alabama prior to activation. The counting of the activated samples will also be performed at Alabama, utilizing three shielded HPGe detectors and a double differential time-energy analysis. The typical shipping delay of 24 hours is acceptable compared with the half-lives of the typical activation products of interest ( $^{42}$ K,  $^{233}$ Pa,  $^{239}$ Np). The Alabama group routinely achieved  $10^{-12}$  g/g sensitivity for Th and U using these techniques, as reported in [1], appropriate for the LZ material screening campaign. Indeed, NAA results obtained by the same group for the KamLAND experiment reached sensitivity to U and Th at the  $10^{-14} - 10^{-15}$  g/g level [8] for liquid scintillator.

#### **12.3.3** Gamma-ray Spectroscopy with High Purity Germanium Detectors

Several HPGe detectors located in facilities both above- and underground are available to the LZ collaboration, with differences in detector types and shielding configuration providing useful dynamic range both in terms of sensitivity to particular isotopes and physical sample geometries. The majority of these facilities, previously used for LUX or ZEPLIN, are managed and operated by LZ collaborating institutes and are already in use for the LZ material screening campaign. The detectors are typically several hundreds of grams to several kilograms in mass, with a mixture of N-type, P-type, and broad energy Ge crystals, providing relative efficiencies at the tens of percent through to in excess of 100%. While P-type crystals can be grown to larger sizes and hence require less counting time due to their high efficiency, the low energy performance of the N-type and broad energy crystals is superior due to less intervening material between source and active Ge. Specifically, an N-type Ge permits assaying down to very low gamma-ray energies, ~keV, and in principle detection of many atomic X-rays that otherwise would be attenuated by the lithium diffusion layer in a P-type detector.

Cleaned samples are placed close to the Ge crystal and sealed for several days to weeks in order to accrue sufficient statistics, depending on the MDA. The detectors are generally shielded with ancient Pb and Cu, flushed with dry nitrogen to displace the Rn-carrying air, and often are surrounded by veto detectors to suppress background from Compton scattering that dominates the MDA for low-energy gamma rays. To reduce backgrounds further, the detectors are operated in underground sites, reducing the muon flux by several orders of magnitude. Background-subtracted gamma-ray counting is performed around specific energy ranges to identify radioactive isotopes. Taking into account the Monte Carlo-generated detector efficiency at that energy for the specific sample geometry allows calculation of isotopic concentrations.

The LZ experiment requires multiple HPGe detectors due to the long counting times required to achieve sensitivities at the tens to hundreds of ppt level, coupled with the large number of samples that require screening. The three most extensively used HPGe detector facilities — for LZ at present, or previously by the LUX and ZEPLIN experiments — are Maeve, Morgan, and CUBED (SURF); SOLO (Soudan Mine); and Boulby (UK). These are being supplemented with further capability at SURF and Boulby. Late in 2015, the Black Hills State University Underground Campus (BHUC) will be completed and Morgan, Maeve, CUBED, and SOLO will relocate to this dedicated low-background facility. We anticipate that additional counters will be added to the array from the South Dakota School of Mining and Technology (SDSMT), UC Berkeley, and the University of South Dakota (USD). A new well-type detector and prescreening instrument will be installed summer 2015 at Boulby to complement existing counters. The HPGe detectors available to LZ are shown in Table 12.3.3.1.

LBNL operates a two-site facility with both surface and underground detectors. The surface BLBF is within a  $4\pi$  shielded room with 1.5-m-thick low-activity serpentine rock concrete walls surrounding a 115% relative efficiency N-type low-background HPGe (Merlin). The HPGe detector head is mounted on a J-hook to reduce line-of-sight for background from electronics and the cryostat, and is housed in a Pb

Table 12.3.3.1. Gamma-counting facilities available for LZ material radioassays. Sensitivities shown are approximate detectable activities after 2 weeks of counting and samples of order-kg mass. Typical cavity size within the shielding of these detectors within which samples may be placed is 0.03 m<sup>3</sup>. With the exception of SOLO, all are managed by LZ institutes.

Detector	Site	Site Depth (mwe)	Crystal Type	Crystal Mass & Relative Efficiency (kg)	Sensitivity, U (mBq/kg)	Sensitivity, Th (mBq/kg)	Detector Status
Chaloner	Boulby	2805	BEGe	0.8 (48%)	0.6	0.2	Online
CUBED	SURF	4300	N-type	1.2 (60%)	0.7	0.7	Fall 2015
Gell	Alabama	0	P-type	1.4 (60%)	4.0	1.2	Online
Gelll	Alabama	0	P-type	2.2 (100%)	4.0	1.2	Online
Lunehead	Boulby	2805	P-type	2.0 (92%)	0.7	0.2	Online
Lumpsey	Boulby	2805	Well-type	1.5 (80%)	0.4	0.3	Fall 2015
Wilton	Boulby	2805	BEGe	0.4 (18%)	7.0	4.0	Fall 2015
Merlin	LBNL	180	N-type	2.3 (115%)	6.0	8.0	Online
Maeve	SURF	4300	P-type	2.1 (85%)	0.1	0.1	Online
Morgan	SURF	4300	P-type	2.1 (85%)	0.2	0.2	Online
SOLO	Soudan	2200	P-type	0.6 (30%)	0.1	0.1	Online

and OFHC Cu castle. It has an MDA of approximately 0.5 ppb (6 mBq/kg) to <sup>238</sup>U for O(kg) samples from 1 day of counting, and 2 ppb (8 mBq/kg) for <sup>232</sup>Th. Sensitivity to <sup>40</sup>K and <sup>60</sup>Co is at the level of 1 ppm and 0.04 pCi/kg, respectively. The underground detector (Maeve), formerly situated at Oroville, is now operational at SURF. This 85% P-type HPGe detector is housed at the 4850L in the Davis Campus in a low-activity Pb- and Cu-shielded and Rn-flushed chamber. For ~kg samples, the MDA after approximately a week of counting is over an order of magnitude lower than from the surface detector. <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th sensitivity to 10 ppt (~0.1 mBq/kg) and 25 ppt (~0.1 mBq/kg), respectively, is achieved as is 100 ppb for <sup>40</sup>K and 4 fCi/kg for <sup>60</sup>Co. These MDAs are sufficient for the LZ experiment. Figure 12.3.3.1 shows the assays of LZ's Ti and stainless steel samples measured by the Maeve detector. The most recent assay is the lowest-activity Ti found to date. LZ's Ti assays and the impact on the ER and NR backgrounds are presented in Figure 12.3.3.1.

The SOLO Low Background Counting Facility, Soudan Mine, at a depth of 2200 mwe, houses a nitrogenflushed Pb shield that has a minimum thickness of 30 cm (50 Bq/kg <sup>210</sup>Pb activity) with a 5-cm inner liner of 150-year-old low-activity Pb (50 mBq/kg <sup>210</sup>Pb activity). A counting chamber of 8000 cm<sup>3</sup> contains the 0.6 kg "Diode M" HPGe detector. This detector achieves sensitivities to the 10 ppt level for <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th and 25 ppb for <sup>40</sup>K for multi-kg samples. The SOLO facility is scheduled for exclusive use and 100% live-time screening of LZ PMT components. The R11410 3-inch PMT was developed by the LZ PMT R&D program at Brown in conjunction with Hamamatsu [15,24] and has evolved to become the lowest-background photosensor per unit area photocathode coverage suitable for large-scale liquid Xe operation. This followed extensive and iterative screening by LZ collaborators and others to identify component sources of background and to screen suitable alternatives. The PMT arrays remain a significant contribution to the backgrounds in LZ, excluding the irreducible neutrino background. Hamamatsu has agreed to continuous monitoring with SOLO of the batch materials to be used in the construction of LZ PMTs. The PMTs will also be screened as complete units following their delivery at SOLO, Morgan, CUBED, and detectors at Boulby.



Figure 12.3.3.1. The plot shows the background counts resulting from LZ's Ti and stainless steel samples from the LXe cryostat in the full exposure of a 5.6-tonne fiducial volume, 1,000 day exposure after all the veto systems are applied, for ER events within [1.5 – 6.5] keVee, with 99.5% rejection, and within [6 –30] keVnr, and 50% acceptance, for NR events. The red curve corresponds to the sum of 10% of the pp solar neutrinos background for ERs and of 0.1 NR events. The yellow curve is for the sum of 5% of the pp neutrino background and 0.05 NR events. The green curve corresponds to the sum of 3.3% of the pp neutron background and 0.03 NRs, the requirement for the LZ cryostat. The markers indicate actual (positive) radioactivity determinations, the upper bars correspond to screening upper limits, and the lower bars show the effect of excluding spontaneous fission contributions, which we expect to self-veto efficiently due to the high gamma multiplicity. The Ti identified by the assay program is well below LZ's requirements.

The Boulby underground facility at 2805 mwe previously housed a 20-cm-thick Pb and Cu castle for an ORTEC GEM-XX240-S P-type HPGe of 92% relative efficiency. This detector has been used extensively for the screening campaign of the ZEPLIN-III experiment, particularly for its second science run and screening of low-background PMTs and the veto detector components [25]. In response to the needs of improved sensitivity at Boulby, the detector has undergone refurbishment at ORTEC, where the entire detector (except the Ge crystal) has been overhauled and retrofitted with ultralow-background components to become a GEMXX-95-LB-C-HJ. This detector, with sensitivity to about 50 ppt of <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th, came online in August 2014, and features a J-type neck with remote pre-amplifier through a new custom-designed Rn-proof Pb and Cu shield, and a 95-mm-diameter carbon-fiber window.

Taking advantage of technological advancements in development of low-background Ge detectors in recent years, a second and more sensitive detector has been procured for Boulby to significantly enhance the UK's screening underground capability for LZ. A Canberra broad-energy Ge (BEGe) BE5030 (0.8 kg Ge, 48% relative efficiency), also housed within a custom-built Rn-proof (N<sub>2</sub>-flushed) shield with Pb and Cu from the existing underground stock at Boulby, came online alongside the ORTEC detector. Both detectors are housed in a clean room in a dedicated low-background counting facility area of the laboratory, which is undergoing a complete upgrade through 2015; this has no impact on the live-time of the Ge detectors. The crystal in the BEGe detector is configured in a unique planar geometry, yielding greater peak-to-Compton ratios at the gamma-ray energies of interest, and improved energy resolution (by

~30% at 122 keV over typical P-type detectors). BEGe detectors also have considerably lower energy thresholds due to a factor-70 reduction in dead layers around the Ge crystal, providing useful efficiency to 10 keV (as opposed to ~80 keV for the existing Boulby detector and similar P-type HPGe) and consequently can directly measure isotopes such as  $^{210}$ Pb — a problematic source of background that is particularly difficult to quantify with other techniques. The BE5030 achieves <50 ppt sensitivity to  $^{238}$ U and  $^{232}$ Th for typical samples, thanks to its ultralow-background material construction and carbon-fiber entrance windows (since the MDA for any isotope is proportional to the resolution and the efficiency of the detector at the energy corresponding to gamma-ray emission from the isotope or its decay, and inversely proportional to the background rate in the detector at that energy).

Further HPGe facilitation is in progress by the USD group, which is deploying ultralow-background detectors underground in the BHUC at SURF. CUBED presently hosts a single 1.2-kg ORTEC N-type coaxial HPGe detector with a 254 cm<sup>3</sup> active volume and a relative efficiency of 60%. The sample chamber, with a dimension of 8000 cm<sup>3</sup>, is surrounded by a 10-cm-thick 99.9% OFHC copper shield, enclosed in a stainless steel box that is itself sealed by 10 cm of lead. The first detector will begin screening samples in fall 2015. The sensitivity of this detector is anticipated to be better than 100 ppt for <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th.

Finally, in addition to the surface detectors at UC Davis for NAA, surface screening capability for LZ is also available at the University of Alabama with the GeII and GeIII instruments. Two lead-copper-shielded low-background HPGe detectors equipped with active cosmic-ray veto systems are operated on the surface, with shield cavities for large samples. These devices can reach 0.3 ppb sensitivity for <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th with two weeks of counting and provide useful prescreening for LZ components.

### 12.4 Radon

Particular attention must be paid to radon, as it is a noble gas consisting solely of radioactive isotopes; is produced in the decay chains of uranium and thorium; and due to its chemical inertness and subsequent long diffusion lengths through solids, has the ability to enter Xe volumes. Outgassing of radon from a material in which it has been produced is commonly termed "radon emanation." Especially for materials in contact with or in close proximity to Xe, radon emanation must be taken into account in setting the levels of U/Th that can be tolerated (with stringent limits particularly on U) due to the presence of <sup>222</sup>Rn in the <sup>238</sup>U decay chain, as well as <sup>220</sup>Rn from <sup>232</sup>Th decay. Unlike radioactivity from fixed contaminants, LXe cannot provide self-shielding against the dispersed Rn. Given its radiogenic origin, radon emanation from a material may be estimated by simulation once its U/Th decay chain content (in particular its <sup>226</sup>Ra content) has been assayed during the screening process using HPGe detectors. However, assay and simulation must be supplemented by direct screening for radon emanation for critical materials due to limited sensitivity in HPGe, systematic error from assumptions on equilibrium chain states, and uncertainties in describing radon transport in materials.

A related phenomenon is radon plate-out, discussed in Section 3.9.2, in which charged radon progeny are deposited onto the surfaces of materials exposed to air that typically contains concentrations of <sup>222</sup>Rn ( $T_{1/2}$ =3.82 days) ranging from tens to hundreds of Bq/m<sup>3</sup> [26,27]. The decay daughters can be embedded into material as they recoil due to subsequent decays. Beyond radon concentration and surface area, the susceptibility to plate-out depends on the material and factors such as air-flow rates, which are difficult to predict and therefore must be measured wherever possible. In the cases where measurements are not available, conservative estimates must be used to predict contamination risk from plate-out. Plate-out may be further enhanced in the presence of an electric field, since positively charged radon daughters are deposited on negatively charged surfaces such as electrodes within the TPC. The background due to radon daughters on the surfaces arises predominantly from neutron production. In particular the long-lived <sup>210</sup>Pb ( $T_{1/2}$ =22.3 years) in the decay series decays to <sup>210</sup>Po (via <sup>210</sup>Bi), which emits an  $\alpha$  that feeds ( $\alpha$ ,n) reactions. In addition to neutron background, progeny from Rn plated onto the inner surfaces of the TPC,

particularly <sup>206</sup>Pb, can lead to spatial leakage of mis-reconstructed events at the TPC walls, rapidly reducing the fiducial mass. Furthermore, incomplete charge collection of these recoils at the edges of the TPC can cause them to overlap with the low-energy NR band. We are conducting detailed simulations, utilizing the position reconstruction algorithm successfully deployed in both LUX and ZEPLIN-III and adapted for the TPC, extraction electrodes, and top PMT array configurations of LZ, to study position reconstruction of such edge events. We further discuss control of radon emanation and radon plate-out below.

#### 12.4.1 Radon Emanation

To achieve the desired LZ sensitivity, the experiment can tolerate a maximum <sup>222</sup>Rn activity within the LXe volume of only 0.67 mBq, which corresponds to a steady-state population of approximately 300 atoms. This rate is dominated by the "naked" beta decay of <sup>214</sup>Pb to <sup>214</sup>Bi, whereas the <sup>214</sup>Bi beta decay itself is readily identified by the subsequent <sup>214</sup>Po alpha decay that would be observed within an LZ event timeline ( $T_{1/2}$ =160 µs). Similar coincidence rejection also occurs where beta decay is accompanied by a high-energy gamma ray, which may still be tagged by the LXe skin or external Gd-LS vetoes even if it leaves the active Xe volume. Radon-220 generates <sup>212</sup>Pb, which decays with a short-timescale Bi-Po (beta-alpha delayed coincidence) scheme similar to <sup>214</sup>Pb. Radon daughters are readily identified through their alpha decay signatures, as demonstrated in LUX, and can be used to characterize the <sup>222</sup>Rn and <sup>220</sup>Rn decay chain rates and distributions in the active region, providing a useful complement to estimating radon concentration from the beta decay contribution to the ER background. Indeed, these isotopes were the only sources of alpha decay in LUX [11].

There are multiple potential sources of radon emanation (e.g., PTFE reflectors, PTFE skin, PMT glass, PMT and HV cables, grid resistors, components in the circulation system), and radon emanation screening must be sensitive to sources that individually sustain smaller populations. We use 0.67 mBq in the 7-tonne target within the TPC as a hard upper limit for <sup>222</sup>Rn. For <sup>220</sup>Rn, we set a target of 0.07 mBq, based on the ratio of species observed in LUX.

Critical materials will be screened for radon emanation, defined as all that are within the inner cryostat or come into direct contact with Xe during experimental operation. Several methods and technologies exist for Rn-emanation screening as adopted by rare-event search experiments. We expect at least three dedicated LZ radon-emanation screening stations to be required, building on prototypes that are under construction and evaluation. In the first, developed at Case Western Reserve University and being commissioned at the University of Maryland, radon atoms and daughters are collected electrostatically onto silicon PIN diode detectors to detect alpha decays. In the second prototype, at Alabama, the radon atoms are collected by passing the radon-bearing gas through liquid scintillator, with the decay detected through coincidence counting between two PMTs viewing the scintillator. LZ as some access to the wellunderstood systems employed by the SuperNEMO group at UCL that already achieve 0.09 mBq sensitivity with a PIN detector and Rn concentration line [28]. An emanation chamber for LZ is being assessed with this existing infrastructure to establish MDAs for a similar system that would be constructed and dedicated to LZ screening. A new faculty member joining SDSMT has extensive experience with radon reduction and monitoring efforts, including the use of Rn-emanation chambers. SDSMT has developed a system very similar to the Case Western Reserve University/University of Maryland system, which is anticipated to bring a fourth system to assist with screening.

The prototypes will be evaluated on the basis of background rates and efficiency measured using calibrated sources of radon. Screening a single sample for LZ is expected to take about two weeks, including emanation and collection/detection times and repeated measurements to check reproducibility, as well as minimum sensitivity requirements and typical radon emanation MDAs. The radon-emanation screening campaign, coordinated through dedicated management in the screening working group, extends beyond initial material selection. As pieces or sections are completed during installation of gas pipework for the LZ experiment, they will be isolated and assessed for Rn emanation and outgassing for early

identification of problematic seals or components that require replacement or correction. Based on the sensitivity and operational experience of the screening systems developed at the individual institutions described above, we will construct a screening program underground by relocating one of the university systems in order to screen large-scale assembled detector elements and plumbing lines.

#### 12.4.2 Radon Plate-out

The first and most effective defense against radon plate-out is limiting exposure of detector parts to air. Using conservative estimates of deposition rates based on measurements [26], exposure time limits have been calculated for LZ components, with particular attention to materials such as Teflon and titanium, as they are large-surface-area components in direct contact with the LXe target. For Teflon, which has a large ( $\alpha$ ,n) yield of 6.8 × 10<sup>-6</sup> neutrons/ $\alpha$  due to its high fluorine content, the exposure limits are 490 days and 28 days for surface air (20 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>) and mine air (350 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>), respectively. For Ti, whose ( $\alpha$ ,n) yield is much lower, the tolerable exposure times are considerably longer, at the level of years. Tolerances on exposure to surface air are expected to be satisfied without difficulty; however, the possibility of radon plate-out begins at the time of manufacture, which can be many months before final assembly and integration. For this reason, we are working with vendors to minimize exposure to air and, where appropriate, we will install radon monitors to quantify exposure for input to the background model. Furthermore, while in storage, detector components will be covered in a material such as plastic or rubber, which strongly inhibits radon diffusion, and if necessary, the storage space will be flushed with gas. R&D is being carried out to identify and test promising candidate materials for covering against radon. One such material recently identified and successfully tested by the SuperNEMO collaboration [28] is styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR), which is also inexpensive. Since the inner cryostat will be assembled on the surface before moving underground, plate-out risk will be limited if not mitigated. Cleaning techniques to remove surface contamination from most materials employed in LZ are well established. Further details on radon plate-out mitigation during storage, handling, and transport are described in Section 12.8.

For materials such as Teflon, which are produced in granular form before being sintered in molds, plateout comprises an additional dimension of risk because surface contamination of the granular form becomes contamination in bulk when the granules are poured into molds. Detecting <sup>210</sup>Pb in bulk plastics is an active area of investigation within the collaboration and is further described in Section 12.6 (R&D).

An XIA-Ultralow 1800 surface alpha detector system has been procured for operation initially at Brown, and then underground at Sanford Lab, to assess the levels of surface contamination on the TPC inner components, most notably the PTFE liners. The instrument has been shown to achieve sensitivities of 0.003 alphas/cm<sup>2</sup>/day in samples of areas of 700 cm<sup>2</sup>. This survey work builds on work from the Southern Methodist University group, the XMASS collaboration, and others who have successfully demonstrated low-background alpha screening using this instrument [29].

# 12.5 Internal Backgrounds

Similar dispersed backgrounds throughout the volume can be generated by krypton contamination, and as a result of cosmogenic activation of Xe.

Krypton-85 is a beta-emitter with a half-life of 10.8 years and a dominant (99.6% branching ratio) bare beta decay mode of endpoint energy 687 keV. Its presence in the atmosphere comes from cosmogenic causes, production in nuclear power plants, and past production and testing of nuclear weapons. Coupled with a long half-life and diffusion properties as a noble gas, it can become a significant contaminant in the course of production and storage of Xe. The research-grade Xe procured for LUX contained an average 130 ppb (g/g) <sup>nat</sup>Kr/Xe upon procurement, with an estimated <sup>85</sup>Kr concentration of  $2 \times 10^{-11}$  g/g [30]. This was reduced to  $3.5 \pm 1.0$  ppt g/g in LUX, resulting in a measured event rate of  $0.17 \pm 0.1$  mdru [11]. To control its contribution to the background budget in LZ, its concentration in the LXe must be less than

0.015 ppt (g/g). The primary approach to achieve this level is Xe purification and the corollary requirement of 0.01 ppt sampling sensitivity. Levels of 0.2 ppt (g/g) have been demonstrated already during the LUX production run by double-processing a 50 kg LXe batch. The Xe purification program is described in Chapter 9. Measurements of Kr outgassing from materials are also under way at the University of Maryland.

Trace quantities of argon are also a concern due to beta-emitting <sup>39</sup>Ar, with a 269-year half-life and 565-keV endpoint energy. This background is constrained to be less than 10% of <sup>85</sup>Kr, resulting in a specification of  $4.5 \times 10^{-10}$  (g/g) or 2.6 µBq. The Kr removal system, which also removes Ar, should easily achieve this goal.

The other source of radioisotopes intrinsic to the LXe is cosmogenic activation. While cosmogenic production of radioisotopes underground in LXe may be neglected due to the low cosmic-ray flux, Xe production and storage takes place at surface facilities, where there is no shielding from cosmic rays. Simulation packages have been developed and validated against data to estimate cosmogenic production [31,32]. For natural Xe, radionuclides produced include tritium, tellurium, and cadmium [33]. Of the isotopes produced, most have short half-lives and/or low production rates. The exception is tritium, with a 12.3-year half-life and production rate of  $\sim 15/day/kg$  at the Earth's surface, which will require reduction to negligible levels through Xe purification during experiment commissioning.

Radioisotopes of Xe that can be produced through cosmogenic or neutron activation — such as <sup>127</sup>Xe  $(T_{1/2}=36.4 \text{ days})$ ,  $^{129\text{m}}$ Xe  $(T_{1/2}=8.9 \text{ days})$ ,  $^{131\text{m}}$ Xe  $(T_{1/2}=11.9 \text{ days})$ , and  $^{133}$ Xe  $(T_{1/2}=5.3 \text{ days})$  — however, cannot be removed with purification nor self-shielded. The  $^{127}$ Xe,  $^{131\text{m}}$ Xe, and  $^{129\text{m}}$ Xe radioisotopes can provide useful energy calibration points, whereas the production rate and half-life of <sup>133</sup>Xe renders it unmeasurable following transport of the Xe underground in LUX [11]. The <sup>131m</sup>Xe and <sup>129m</sup>Xe do not generate any significant WIMP search background. However, <sup>127</sup>Xe produces energy depositions within the WIMP search region of interest and also poses a background for axion searches.<sup>127</sup>Xe undergoes electron capture that results in an orbital vacancy that is filled by electron transitions from higher orbitals, resulting in an X-ray or Auger electron cascade. An 85% probability for the capture electron coming from the K shell results in a cascade with a total energy of 33 keV. A further 12% of captures from the L shell generate cascades of 5.2 keV total energy deposition, and the remaining 3% of decays come from higher shells (M and N) to deposit up to 1.2 keV. For a WIMP search energy window of 1.5 – 6.5 keV, significant numbers of L, M, and N shell decays will generate background. However, the daughter <sup>127</sup>I nucleus is left in either a 619, 375, or 203 keV excited state (with no direct population of the ground state as part of the electron capture). The subsequent decay of the <sup>127</sup>I to the ground state emits internal conversion electrons or gamma rays that permit almost all of the <sup>127</sup>Xe background to be rejected by coincidence tagging — only those X-ray/Auger events for which the associated gamma rays are not detected contribute to the low-energy ER background in the Xe active region. This effect is expected predominantly at the edge of the Xe target. For example, with a mean free path of 2.6 cm in LXe, the 375keV gamma ray can potentially escape the active region, reducing the efficiency of coincidence rejection further for events at the edges of the LXe, as seen in LUX [11]. In LZ, the skin and external veto systems significantly aid rejection and characterization of this background. The Xe may be stored underground for >>1 month prior to commencement of the WIMP exposure to further mitigate <sup>127</sup>Xe background even in the early stages of a WIMP search exposure. Since  $^{127}$ Xe is also produced efficiently through neutron capture, neutron activation of the Xe has been assessed and may be controlled through shielding during storage, as described in Section 12.8.

#### 12.6 R&D

The collaboration has broad capabilities to control backgrounds through materials screening and following procedures to maintain cleanliness, from manufacture and fabrication through integration and

operation. Nonetheless, as mentioned in previous sections, some areas require R&D to improve capability. Those efforts, together with their major milestones, are described below.

**Detection and mitigation of** <sup>210</sup>Pb in bulk plastics. Initial estimates indicate that <sup>210</sup>Pb concentrations in bulk Teflon exceeding a few hundred mBq/kg pose a dangerous source of background for the experiment through ( $\alpha$ ,n) production on fluorine by <sup>210</sup>Po, a <sup>210</sup>Pb daughter nucleus. The mechanism by which <sup>210</sup>Pb could appear in bulk Teflon was described in Section 12.4. The techniques of direct gamma counting, neutron activation analysis, and mass spectrometry cannot readily be applied to detect <sup>210</sup>Pb or its daughters at the mBq/kg level. R&D is under way at the University of Alabama to explore alternate techniques for detecting <sup>210</sup>Pb in bulk at low levels and to avoid contamination during the manufacturing process.

The R&D program has two objectives. The first is to determine the levels of <sup>210</sup>Pb in bulk Teflon that can be detected by direct gamma and beta spectroscopy. Plastic samples having the same geometry as that used for direct gamma and beta gamma counting will be spiked in bulk with <sup>210</sup>Pb. These samples will be sent for counting at low-background HPGe detectors within the collaboration with detection capability for very-low-energy gammas in order to measure the <sup>210</sup>Pb detection efficiency in these samples by counting the 46.5 keV gamma rays. In parallel, the potential for detecting <sup>210</sup>Pb decays near the surface by beta spectroscopy using large-area silicon detectors will be explored. The second objective is to work with Teflon fabricators to establish procedures, ensuring that the risk of radon plate-out during the fabrication and storage of granules is adequately controlled so that little <sup>210</sup>Pb that can be detected by gamma and beta spectroscopy, develop the protocols for producing Teflon with low Rn exposure, and implement the production protocol by summer 2015. If <sup>210</sup>Pb detection by direct counting proves to have adequate sensitivity, the <sup>210</sup>Pb content of Teflon components produced for the experiment will be screened using this method.

As already discussed, it should be noted that particular configurations of ultralow-background Ge detectors may be sensitive to <sup>210</sup>Pb with high efficiency and this will provide confidence with useful upper limits to complement the R&D described above. The CUBED detector at SURF will have a low threshold of about 10 keV and useful sensitivity to the gamma-ray peak from <sup>210</sup>Pb at 46.5 keV. Similar counting is available with the BEGe detector from Canberra at Boulby Mine. Configured with a flat-disc geometry to suppress Compton background specifically at these low energies, the BE5030 has >30% efficiency at 46.5 keV. Finally, development of well-type detectors by the USD group, described later in this section, and the Canberra well-type detector coming online at Boulby will vastly increase this sensitivity.

**In-house ICP-MS screening.** Mass spectrometry is a sensitive and widely applicable technique for screening for radio-contaminants in materials and has the advantage of fast turnaround (several days compared with two to four weeks by other methods of comparable sensitivity).

Furthermore, compared with other methods, it has high sensitivity to early chain U/Th content. Consequently, in order to carry out screening for the experiment in a timely manner, we expect to screen a large fraction of material samples by mass spectroscopy. Mass spectroscopy services are commercially available but at significant cost (approximately \$500/sample); moreover, considerable time and effort are required to find a service that maintains the integrity of the samples and produces reliable results.

R&D efforts to establish throughput at ppt levels of several samples per week is being conducted at UCL using a newly procured Agilent 7900 ICPM-MS system. Off-the-shelf equipment must first be installed and commissioned in a clean environment, and then systems developed for sample handling, preparation, and measurements, including training for chemistry techniques that do not cross-contaminate samples or otherwise compromise measurements with interferences. This iterative developmental stage for faster and more sensitive turnaround is required for rapid analysis of material samples for LZ with high reproducibility and reliability and at the ppt level for U and Th identification. Microwave ashing and

digestion methods are also being developed in partnership with Analytix/Milestone to provide requisite sample throughput, ppt-level sensitivity, and reproducibility.

An ICP-MS facility is also available at the University of Alabama, with demonstrated capability to detect U/Th down to the level of tens of ppt and availability to screen tens of samples on the timescale of a few months. This facility does not, however, provide services for sample digestion and separation/concentration of U/Th content. The University of Alabama group is carrying out a systematic

program to certify the capability of the facility, develop protocols to prepare samples for analysis without risk of cross-contamination, and set up a laboratory where common digestion and separation/concentration procedures can be done. In parallel, a certification program is being carried out for a commercial ICP-MS laboratory. Certification of capability and development of protocols for producing samples without cross-contamination is expected to be completed in summer 2015 and a laboratory set up by the end of 2015.

The UC Davis group is supporting an effort by the campus ICP-MS laboratory to assay U/Th content in Ti at the ppt level. This effort is targeting separation of U/Th from Ti while maintaining high efficiency for retaining any U/Th content. A method based on using TRU resin to separate Ti from Th has been attempted but with only very limited success. An alternative anion exchange resin method is now under investigation.

**Maintaining cleanliness during storage, transport, and processing.** Identifying materials that have sufficiently low radioactive content is just the first step in delivering a detector that operates with low backgrounds. Materials and components must be processed and assembled into subassemblies and integrated with other subassemblies and installed. Transport must be handled carefully and many components will need to be stored for various periods of time, awaiting assembly and integration.

All LZ groups will follow required protocols that include airborne-particulate and Rn control in order to maintain cleanliness throughout fabrication, transport, storage, assembly, and integration. To establish and validate the protocols, the USD group is building a portable clean room that will initially be set up in their laboratories but may eventually be moved to SURF for further development and testing of cleanliness protocols on site. Dust and radon monitoring under different protocols will be carried out and the effectiveness of different storage methods will be evaluated. Further on-site cleanliness and storage development is being conducted at SDSMT.

**Detector development.** Several groups are engaged in R&D of ultralow-background detector technologies to significantly enhance the capability and capacity of the LZ screening and cleanliness campaign as well as background model development. Outlined below, these include the production of Ge well detectors and large-area Si detectors.

The USD group is developing an in-house program of large HPGe-well-detector construction for lowbackground counting at SURF. The well detector will be built using a large-size detector-grade germanium crystal grown at USD. The proposed well will be fabricated with a blind hole (80 mm diameter and 50 mm depth), leaving at least 20 mm and 30 mm of active detector thickness at the side and the bottom of the well, allowing the counting geometry to approach  $4\pi$ . The detector will combine excellent energy resolution at low and high energies with maximum efficiency for low background. This is particularly valuable where small, low-mass components and materials need to be selected but where only upper limits are recorded prior to construction as a result of detector sensitivity or throughput constraints. The sensitivity of the detector is expected to approach approximately 50 µBq/kg for U and Th as a result of the ~ $4\pi$  coverage and construction from ultralow-background components.

The LZ group at LBNL is developing large-area Si detectors to directly assay surface contamination of critical detector materials. LBNL has a well-documented history of creating novel solid-state detectors and proposes to develop 88,000 mm<sup>2</sup> detectors to detect the alphas principally originating from radon-decay daughters. Commercial detectors are typically limited to ~500 mm<sup>2</sup> active area and modest internal contamination, coming primarily from detector mounting components. Large-area Si detectors have

already been manufactured at LBNL with material screened and verified as sufficiently pure in terms of U/Th content. R&D is being conducted to assess a variety of mounting designs to reduce the mass of the mounts as well as pursue ultralow-background materials to construct these mounts. The R&D program will make use of the LBNL BLBF as well as direct-assay spectrometers at SURF. In addition to developing the detectors, the group will engineer housings to facilitate the assay of critical detector materials and maintain detector cleanliness. Surface alpha counting with Si detectors is also being developed at SDSMT.

# 12.7 Laboratory Backgrounds

Radioassays of detector materials and controls to limit further contamination do not mitigate external radioactivity arising from the laboratory environment or from cosmic-ray muons. However, these external backgrounds, specifically gamma rays and neutrons from the rock and muon-induced neutrons, are rendered negligible due to use of the water shield surrounding the detector and the Gd-LS. The cylindrical water shield is 7.6 m in diameter and 6.1 m in height. The LUX experiment is demonstrating the efficacy of the same active water Cherenkov shield that will surround LZ, and in the same location. Monte Carlo simulations of the LZ experiment as described in Section 12.2 account for the reduction in background attenuation due to detector dimensions, as well as for the impact of the detector not being centered on the same point as LUX in the Z coordinate.

The Davis Cavern at SURF's 4850L is surrounded by Yates formation (HST-06) with a modest rhyolite intrusion (less than  $1/10^{th}$  of the surface of the cavern), and 5-20 cm of concrete covering the rock. The rhyolite has <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K activities of approximately 100 Bq/kg, 45 Bq/kg, and 900 Bq/kg, respectively. The concrete is considerably lower in activity, with <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K activities of 25 Bq/kg, and 640 Bq/kg, respectively, based on typical mixes [34,35]. The majority of the Yates formation is very-low-radioactivity rock at <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K activities of 2 Bq/kg, 0.8 Bq/kg, and 50 Bq/kg, respectively [36,11]. Gamma-ray fluxes have been measured at SURF [37] as 2.16 ± 0.06 cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> above 0.1 MeV and 0.632 ± 0.019 cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> above 1 MeV. The water tank, the outer detector scintillator, steel shielding, and LXe skin of the LZ detector are expected to suppress this flux by about 5 orders of magnitude [38,39]. Further reduction will be achieved through event selection in the energy range of



Figure 12.7.1. Left: Surface profile above the Davis Campus (center of map). The lines drawn from the center divide it into sectors of similar open angle  $(20^{\circ} - 25^{\circ})$  to guide the eye. Right: Muon azimuth angle distribution. Vertical lines show approximately the division of the sectors on the left figure, where the azimuth angle is calculated from east (pointing to the right on the left figure). Moving from east to north and further on counterclockwise on the map on the left shows how the peaks and valleys on the surface profile correspond to variations in the number of muons through the laboratory.

interest, single scatter selection, and application of anti-coincidence cuts with the veto systems, leading to an event rate comparable to that from the cryostat. This is approximately 10 events in the 5.6-tonne fiducial volume in 1,000 days.

The neutron flux through the cavern is primarily due to spontaneous fission of  $^{238}$ U and ( $\alpha$ ,n) reactions from alpha particles emitted in the decay series of U and Th. This fast neutron flux is

estimated to be about  $10^{-6}$  neutrons/(cm<sup>2</sup> s) for neutron energies over 1 MeV [37]. This background is moderated very efficiently by the water and scintillator shield [38]. The minimum attenuation is expected below the cryostat where the minimum thickness of hydrogenous material (water or scintillator) is about 70 cm. This is enough to suppress the neutron flux by more than 6 orders of magnitude. The whole shielding will reduce the NR rate from rock neutrons to a level below the neutron rate from the cryostat. This is less than 0.01 count in 5.6 tonnes in 1,000 days.

At the 4850L of SURF, shielding from muons is provided by  $(4300 \pm 200)$  mwe rock overburden. The measurement of the muon flux at this depth at SURF performed by the active veto system of the Davis experiment found  $(5.38 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>sr<sup>-1</sup> for the vertical flux [40]. Recent detailed assessment with the MUSIC and MUSUN simulation packages [41,42] developed by the Sheffield group, which incorporate the profile of the surface above the Davis Campus (shown in Figure 12.7.1), agrees very well with this vertical flux:  $5.18 \times 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>sr<sup>-1</sup>.

Muons crossing the LZ water tank are readily detected via Cherenkov emission, and any that deposit energy in LZ are similarly easily detected. However, muon-induced neutron production through spallation, secondary spallation, or photonuclear interactions by photons from muon-induced EM showers in high-Z materials can generate background for the experiment [43-46]. Furthermore, the neutron flux decreases more slowly than the muon flux because higher-energy muons yield more neutrons. The total muon-induced neutron flux at SURF is  $(0.54 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-9}$  n cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, where approximately half of this flux produces neutrons of energies greater than 10 MeV, and approximately 10% of the flux is from neutrons with energies in excess of 100 MeV. The high-energy component (E >10 MeV) is reduced by approximately a factor of 3 by the water shield for the LUX experiment, with an integrated rate of 10<sup>-7</sup> neutrons s<sup>-1</sup> impinging on the LUX cryostat resulting in only 60 ndru of single-scatter events in the WIMP search energy range. In LZ, an active neutron veto supplements the shielding such that the muon-induced neutron rate from the rock is expected to be much less than the neutron emission from material radioactivity.

Muon-induced neutrons generated in water are also considered, since although water is low-Z, several hundred tons provides a substantial target. With a neutron yield similar to polyethylene at approximately  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  neutrons muon<sup>-1</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>, the production rate is of order  $10^{-9}$  neutrons kg<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. However, the water also self-shields very effectively such that the rate on the LUX cryostat is reduced to  $6 \times 10^{-7}$  neutrons s<sup>-1</sup> resulting in 120 ndru of single scatters in the WIMP search energy range, and is expected to give an insignificant contribution to the LZ background given further veto suppression. Other high-Z materials in and around the cryostat may contribute to the muon-induced neutron rate but the efficient muon and neutron veto system will suppress this background by a large factor. Full simulation of muon-induced neutron background is in progress.

Background may also be generated due to trace U, Th, and  $^{40}$ K within the water itself. With activities for these below 2 ppt, 3 ppt, and 4 ppb, respectively, the gamma-ray and neutron flux is extremely low. Radon in the water tank is reduced with an N<sub>2</sub> purge blanket. Further mitigation is achieved by establishing a vertical temperature gradient and limiting convection such that the Rn is transported to the edge of the tank, far from the detector. These techniques have already been successfully applied by LUX inside the water shield.

## 12.8 Cleanliness

Once materials and components have met material screening requirements and components have been procured, they must be kept clean during fabrication, storage, transport, and final assembly and integration into the experiment. We refer to this task as cleanliness. The three major sources of contamination that must be addressed by the LZ cleanliness program are radon diffusion and daughter-nuclei plate-out, dust and debris, and cosmogenic activation. Other sources of contamination must also be addressed, for example residual chemicals from fabrication processes, removal of which should be effected at the same time as cleaning to remove dust.

We require that the effects of radon plate-out, dust deposition, and cosmogenic activation do not significantly increase the expected backgrounds after materials procurement, for which rigorous materials screening is carried out as described in earlier sections. Quantitatively, we require that cleanliness controls allow no more than a 10% increase in non-astrophysical backgrounds. For Rn-daughter plate-out, the dominant source of background is production of NR candidates via the ( $\alpha$ ,n) process on PTFE (fluorine) from <sup>210</sup>Po decay. The corresponding requirement on cleanliness control for radon plate-out is then that any increase in NR counts is less than 0.02, which is 10% of the total radioactivity NR background budget of 0.2 events in 5.6 tonnes over 1,000 days. This corresponds to an activity of 20 mBq/m<sup>2</sup> from <sup>210</sup>Pb deposited on PTFE surfaces. We define the requirement for maximum <sup>210</sup>Pb activity on the PTFE at half of this value, i.e., 10 mBq/m<sup>2</sup>. Further possible constraints from <sup>210</sup>Pb ion recoils being mis-reconstructed into the fiducial volume are under evaluation.

In the case of dust deposition, there are two considerations: The first is evaluating the contribution to the ER and NR backgrounds from the gamma rays and neutrons emitted by the dust due to its intrinsic radioactivity. The second consideration is the contribution due to radon emanation from the dust. Radon produced in small dust particles is expected to have a significant probability of escaping the dust by diffusion or recoil, making this mechanism particularly dangerous for materials in close proximity to the LXe. Of these two considerations, radon emanation leads to the more stringent limit on dust deposition. Since radon emanation into the LXe volume due to dust deposition must be limited to 10% of 0.67 mBq, and typical <sup>238</sup>U activity in dust is on the level of 10 mBq/g, the dust mass allowed on the PMTs, PTFE reflectors, TPC components, and mechanical supports must be less than about 5 mg altogether. It should be noted that the value of 10 mBq/g, while typical for U activity measured in dust at various locations, is being checked by radioassay of dust samples collected at representative locations at SURF. Measurements of the dust-particle count density, size, and deposition rate have been carried out [47]. The presence of dust may also have seriously adverse effects on other subsystems such as HV delivery. Particulates settling on electrodes or otherwise distorting the electric field can lead to electrical discharges and breakdown. Therefore, cleanliness goals cannot be derived on the basis of controlling backgrounds alone. Once the tolerances on dust deposition from considerations of other factors such as electrical stability and optical transparency are known, the cleanliness program will be augmented as needed to accommodate them.

The LZ cleanliness program will be coordinated by the LZ Cleanliness Committee, comprising collaborators with extensive experience dealing with cleanliness issues on LUX, EXO, KamLAND, MAJORANA Demonstrator, and other low-background experiments. The committee will initiate development of cleanliness protocols, review protocols prior to implementation, and address cleanliness issues as they develop. Each subsystem will have a cleanliness liaison who will be involved in the development and promulgation of cleanliness protocols and is responsible for ensuring that the appropriate protocols are followed and documented. All protocols and documentation will be stored in the LZ information repository.

As described in Section 12.4, procedures will be implemented to control radon plate-out. Radon-reduced air will be used where necessary and exposure to air will be limited, the tolerable exposure time depending on the radon content of the air and the sensitivity of the experiment to contamination of the

component. Clean rooms with radon scrubbers and radon-free glove boxes will be used for assembly of radon-sensitive items. Large surface components such as the inner cryostat will be overpressured with  $N_2$  dewar boil-off to prevent back diffusion of radon. Radon monitors will be operated at fabrication sites, both on site at SURF and off site. When not being processed, components will be covered in radon-inhibiting materials and storage volumes will be purged with nitrogen gas if necessary. An important component of the program is to implement procedures to verify that adequate measures have been taken to control radon plate-out. For critical items, coupons, handled in the same way as the detector material, will be collected and assayed upon arrival on site before installation and integration. In general, facilities used to screen candidate materials in the first phase of construction will be used during the integration and installation phase for cleanliness checks. Efforts to develop large-area low-background silicon detectors and high-efficiency Ge detectors for this task are described in the R&D section. No material or component will be accepted for final integration without adequate documentation in the information repository demonstrating handling following these protocols and successfully passing assay tests.

Control of dust will be achieved by application of certified cleaning protocols, transport and storage under clean conditions, and assembly in glove boxes and clean rooms under full access control and with personnel wearing overgarments, masks, and hair coverings. Clean-room environments and areas used for storage and work prior to final cleaning and assembly will be monitored with particle counters. Large-capacity ultrasonic baths and deionized water supplies, along with stocks of cleaning supplies and fresh chemicals, will be used for final cleaning during the installation and integration phase. For transfer and storage of components, double-bagging will be employed so that as components move from an uncontrolled environment to a controlled environment, the outermost layer can be removed just before entering the controlled environment while keeping the component itself continuously covered. Custombuilt cases, some air-sealed, and specialized transport services will be employed to assure cleanliness of detector components during shipping. As for radon plate-out monitoring, coupons will be analyzed for dust control. Protocols for some items will require swipes that will be collected and counted before acceptance for integration. No component will be accepted for integration without supporting documentation that the appropriate cleanliness protocols have been followed.

A third major source of contamination risk addressed by the cleanliness program is cosmogenic activation. Given the strong attenuation of the muon flux by the rock overburden at the Davis Cavern, together with further attenuation of spallation neutrons by the water shield, cosmogenics are not expected to be a significant contributor to backgrounds after the detector is installed underground. However, cosmogenic activation of <sup>46</sup>Sc in the Ti cryostat, <sup>60</sup>Co in any copper components, and various radioisotopes of Xe are expected to be significant at the surface. While the <sup>46</sup>Sc and <sup>60</sup>Co backgrounds will be efficiently self-shielded in LZ, since the gammas are produced at the edge of the LXe volume, no such self-shielding occurs for Xe radioisotopes, some of which have atomic de-excitation energies of a few keV. The longest half-life is about 36 days, such that sufficient cool-down during commissioning is expected. However, the possibility of storing the dekryptonized LXe underground prior to commissioning is also being considered. The addition of sheets of neutron absorber around the gas tanks would effectively suppress <sup>127</sup>Xe production by thermal neutrons. In all scenarios, exposures of major components and materials will be documented throughout the entire period from production to detector assembly and cool-down underground for implementation into the LZ cleanliness database and background model. A thorough evaluation and assessment of activation products that may be produced within each material proposed for use in LZ is being performed using toolkits developed by LZ collaborators, such as the muon-generator software by the Sheffield group, and common packages such as ACTIVIA [32]. Measurements of cosmogenic activation in LUX materials provide valuable data against which to validate our simulations. Transport and storage planning for the detector-construction phase will take the results of this assessment into account.

### **Chapter 12 References**

- [1] D. S. Leonard et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A591, 490 (2008), arXiv:0709.4524 [physics.ins-det].
- [2] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A704, 111 (2013), arXiv:1211.3788 [physics.ins-det].
- [3] H. M. Araújo et al. (ZEPLIN-III), Astropart. Phys. 35, 495 (2012), arXiv:1104.3538 [physics.ins-det].
- [4] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON100), Phys. Rev. D83, 082001 (2011), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. D85, 029904 (2012)], arXiv:1101.3866 [astro-ph].
- [5] E. Aprile et al. (XENON100), J. Phys. G40, 115201 (2013), arXiv:1306.2303 [astro-ph].
- [6] C. Ghag et al. (ZEPLIN-III), Astropart. Phys. 35, 76 (2011), arXiv:1103.0393 [astro-ph].
- [7] N. Abgrall *et al.* (Majorana), Adv. High Energy Phys. **2014**, 365432 (2014), arXiv:1308.1633 [physics.ins-det].
- [8] A. Gando et al. (KamLAND-Zen), Phys. Rev. C85, 045504 (2012), arXiv:1201.4664 [hep-ex].
- [9] F. P. An et al. (Daya Bay), Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 061801 (2014), arXiv:1310.6732 [hep-ex].
- [10] D. C. Malling, S. Fiorucci, M. Pangilinan, J. J. Chapman, C. H. Faham, J. R. Verbus, and R. J. Gaitskell, "Dark Matter Search Backgrounds from Primordial Radionuclide Chain Disequilibrium," (2013), arXiv:1305.5183 [astro-ph].
- [11] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Astropart. Phys. 62, 33 (2015), arXiv:1403.1299 [astro-ph].
- [12] S. Agostinelli et al. (GEANT4), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A506, 250 (2003).
- [13] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A675, 63 (2012), arXiv:1111.2074 [physics.data-an].
- [14] M. Szydagis, N. Barry, K. Kazkaz, J. Mock, D. Stolp, M. Sweany, M. Tripathi, S. Uvarov, N. Walsh, and M. Woods (NEST), J. Instrum. 6, P10002 (2011), arXiv:1106.1613 [physics.ins-det].
- [15] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A703, 1 (2013), arXiv:1205.2272 [physics.ins-det].
- [16] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON1T), "Lowering the radioactivity of the photomultiplier tubes for the XENON1T dark matter experiment," (2015), arXiv:1503.07698 [astro-ph].
- [17] E. Aprile et al. (XENON100), Astropart. Phys. 35, 43 (2011), arXiv:1103.5831 [physics.ins-det].
- [18] D. S. Leonard et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A591, 490 (2008), arXiv:0709.4524 [physics.ins-det].
- [19] J. Boger et al. (SNO), Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A449, 172 (2000), arXiv:nucl-ex/9910016 [nucl-ex].
- [20] P. Grinberg, S. Willie, and R. Sturgeon, Anal. Chem. 77, 2432–2436 (2005).
- [21] (2015), UC Davis McClellan Nuclear Research Center, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-5270.
- [22] D. S. Akerib *et al.* (LUX), "Radio-assay of Titanium samples for the LUX Experiment," (2011), arXiv:1112.1376 [physics.ins-det].
- [23] (2015), The MIT Nuclear Reactor Laboratory, 138 Albany Street, Cambridge, MA 02139-4201.
- [24] K. Lung et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A696, 32 (2012), arXiv:1202.2628 [physics.ins-det].
- [25] D. Yu. Akimov et al. (ZEPLIN-III), Astropart. Phys. 34, 151 (2010), arXiv:1004.4207 [hep-ex].
- [26] V. E. Guiseppe, S. R. Elliott, A. Hime, K. Rielage, and S. Westerdale, in *Topical Workshop on Low Radioactivity Techniques: LRT-2010*, AIP Conf. Proc., Vol. **1338**, edited by R. Ford (2011) pp. 95–100, *A Radon Progeny Deposition Model*, Conference Presentation, arXiv:1101.0126 [nucl-ex].
- [27] C. Arpesella et al. (BOREXINO), Astropart. Phys. 18, 1 (2002), arXiv:hep-ex/0109031 [hep-ex].
- [28] J. Mott, in Low Radioactivity Techniques 2013 (LRT 2013): Proceedings of the IV International Workshop in Low Radioactivity Techniques, AIP Conf. Proc., Vol. 1549, edited by L. Miramonti and L. Pandola (2013) pp. 152–155, Low-background tracker development for the SuperNEMO experiment, Conference Presentation.

- [29] B. D. McNally, S. Coleman, W. K. Warburton, J.-L. Autran, B. M. Clark, J. Cooley, M. S. Gordon, and Z. Zhu, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A750, 96 (2014), arXiv:1401.1845 [physics.ins-det].
- [30] V. Kuz'm, inov, V. Novikov, A. Pomanskii, B. Pritychenko, J. Vieiar, E. Garcia, A. Morales, J. Morales, R. Nunes-Lagos, J. Piumendon, C. Saenz, A. Salinas, and M. Sarsa, Atomic Energy 73, 1010 (1992).
- [31] A. J. Koning, S. Hilaire, and M. C. Duijvestijn, in International Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 26 Sep.-1 Oct. 2004, Vol. 769 (2005) pp. 1154–1159, TALYS: Comprehensive Nuclear Reaction Modeling.
- [32] J. J. Back and Y. A. Ramachers, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A586, 286 (2008), (ACTIVIA), arXiv:0709.3472 [nucl-ex].
- [33] D.-M. Mei, Z.-B. Yin, and S. R. Elliott, Astropart. Phys. 31, 417 (2009), arXiv:0903.2273 [nucl-ex].
- [34] H. Wulandari, J. Jochum, W. Rau, and F. von Feilitzsch, Astropart. Phys. 22, 313 (2004), arXiv:hepex/0312050 [hep-ex].
- [35] National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, *Exposure of the Population in the United States and Canada from Natural Background Radiation*, Tech. Rep. 94 (7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 400, Bethesda, MD 20814-3095, 1987).
- [36] A. Smith, *Homestake (DUSEL) samples results of radiometric analyses at LBNL*, Tech. Rep. (LBNL, 2007).
- [37] D.-M. Mei, C. Zhang, K. Thomas, and F. Gray, Astropart. Phys. 34, 33 (2010), arXiv:0912.0211 [nucl-ex].
- [38] V. Tomasello, M. Robinson, and V. A. Kudryavtsev, Astropart. Phys. 34, 70 (2010).
- [39] L. de Viveiros, *Optimization of Signal versus Background in Liquid Xe Detectors Used for Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments*, Ph.D. thesis, Brown University (2010).
- [40] M. L. Cherry, M. Deakyne, K. Lande, C. K. Lee, R. I. Steinberg, B. T. Cleveland, and E. J. Fenyves, Phys. Rev. D27, 1444 (1983).
- [41] V. A. Kudryavtsev, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 339 (2009), (MUSIC), arXiv:0810.4635 [physics.comp-ph].
- [42] P. Antonioli, C. Ghetti, E. V. Korolkova, V. A. Kudryavtsev, and G. Sartorelli, Astropart. Phys. 7, 357 (1997), (MUSIC), arXiv:hep-ph/9705408 [hep-ph].
- [43] L. Reichhart et al. (ZEPLIN-III), Astropart. Phys. 47, 67 (2013), arXiv:1302.4275 [physics.ins-det].
- [44] D.-M. Mei and A. Hime, Phys. Rev. D73, 053004 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0512125 [astro-ph].
- [45] V. A. Kudryavtsev, N. J. C. Spooner, and J. E. McMillan, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A505, 688 (2003), (MU-SIC), arXiv:hep-ex/0303007 [hep-ex].
- [46] H. M. Araujo, V. A. Kudryavtsev, N. J. C. Spooner, and T. J. Sumner, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A545, 398 (2005), arXiv:hep-ex/0411026 [hep-ex].
- [47] D. Tiedt, Radioactive Background Simulation and Cleanliness Standards Analysis for the Long Baseline Neutrino Experiment Located located at the Sanford Underground Research Facility, Master's thesis, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology (2013).