10 Calibration Systems

A rigorous calibration strategy is required for the unambiguous direct detection of dark-matter particle interactions in the LZ detector. The basic questions about any event in the detector are: (1) How did the particle interact, and (2) how much energy did it deposit? LZ has adopted a comprehensive calibration strategy in order to accurately answer these questions, achieve its science goals, and be ready to address the widest possible range of predicted dark-matter signatures. The principal calibration techniques planned for LZ have been used successfully in previous experiments, especially LUX.

10.1 Overview

This section is an overview of the essential calibrations LZ will require. Subsequent sections contain details of how each calibration method will be implemented.

3-D (x,y,z) Position Reconstruction

As described in Chapter 3, signals in LZ consist of scintillation photons (S1) and ionized electrons (S2). As digitized, these signals will exhibit spatial (x,y,z) variations in both S1 and S2, specifically:

- 1. Variation in the (x,y) S2 response due to position-dependent light collection, as well as small non-uniformities in the electroluminescence region. This is expected to be an O(10%) effect.
- 2. A z-dependent decrease in the S2 response, due to the capture of drifting electrons by residual electronegative impurities. This is generally characterized by the free-electron lifetime.
- 3. Variation in (x,y,z) S1 response due to the optical properties and photon collection of LZ. This is expected to be as large as a factor of 2.

Item 1 is a detector property and not expected to exhibit significant time dependence. The electron lifetime depends strongly on Xe purity, and the light collection depends weakly on Xe purity. Xenon purity is expected to be time-dependent during operation, which means that periodic calibrations of the detector response are essential.

Calibration and correction for variations of the photomultiplier response are discussed in Chapter 6. Once this PMT calibration is done, the next step in calibrating the LZ detector is to measure the normalization of the (x,y,z) response using an internal ^{83m}Kr gamma source, as used successfully in LUX. The primary result of this calibration will be a high-statistics map of the absolute S1 and S2 photon collection. The ^{83m}Kr source will be quasi-homogeneously distributed within the TPC volume due to convective mixing. The LUX experience shows that sufficient statistics are obtained from all volume elements of the active TPC with a single injection prior to decay below quiescent background.

The free-electron lifetime, τ , is most directly measured from the z-dependence of a mono-energetic gamma source. The internal ^{83m}Kr decay, though not strictly mono-energetic in S2, satisfies the requirements of this important calibration. This is because the two-step decay is localized to less than a micron spatially, and has a half-life between decays of 150 ns. In the S2 channel, these two steps appear as a single energy deposition.

Definition of Background and Expected Signal Bands

The dominant background in the WIMP search energy range will be low-angle Compton scatters. An internal tritium source will be used to provide a high-statistics definition of the distribution of background events. This has already been successfully demonstrated in the LUX detector, and LZ will use the same technique. A suite of externally deployed neutron sources will be used to provide a high-statistics calibration of the response to WIMP scatters, since in both cases the interaction with a Xe nucleus results in a recoiling Xe atom.

An example of the response from a tritium source (proxy for ER background) and neutron sources (proxy for signal) from the first run of LUX is shown in Figure 10.1.1.

Measurement of Background Discrimination

An in situ measurement of the discrimination against ER background will be obtained from the observed response to calibration sources, as shown in Figure 10.1.1. It has been conventional to define this metric for 50% acceptance of broadspectrum nuclear recoils (NRs). We will follow



Figure 10.1.1. Calibration of the background (top panel) and expected signal (bottom panel) obtained by the LUX detector [1]. Inset keV contours show the energy scale reconstructed from the formula given in the text.

this convention to ensure we have met our design goal, but will additionally employ more sophisticated methods to optimize the discrimination as a function of energy deposit.

Energy Reconstruction of Expected Signal

The reconstructed energy scale depends on the incident particle type. The energy scale for electromagnetic interactions is linear and independent of the applied electric field, when reconstructed from the sum of photons (n_{ph}) and electrons (n_e) : $E = \epsilon(n_e + n_{ph})$. The average energy to produce a single quanta is $\epsilon = 13.9$ eV, with an uncertainty of a few percent [2]. A number of Xe activation lines, in conjunction with the metastable internal ^{83m}Kr source, will be used to calibrate the absolute detector response for a given energy deposit.

WIMPs are generally expected to interact with the atomic nucleus. Nuclear-recoil energy reconstruction is best obtained from $E = \epsilon (n_e + n_{ph})/f_n$, where f_n is the quenching factor for NRs. This is the fraction of the NR energy that is ultimately transferred to electrons, and is thus measurable. It is mildly energy-dependent, and appears to be consistent with the expectations of Lindhard theory [3], namely, $f_n \approx 1/5$ [4].

As described in Chapter 3, numerous measurements of the NR quenching factor in LXe exist. LZ will not need to simply rely on these measurements, but instead will be able to make various in situ measurements.

In situ Nuclear Recoil Calibration

The in situ NR calibration involves three components:

- 1. Measurement of the S2/S1 response of LZ for NRs from threshold to several hundred keV, as demonstrated in Figure 10.1.1.
- 2. Measurement of NR endpoints at 4.5 keV (YBe), 40 keV (AmLi), and 74 keV (DD generator).
- 3. Measurement of low-energy NRs via tagged low-angle scattering of DD neutrons. This type of in situ measurement was pioneered by LUX, and will be improved upon by LZ.

The 74 keV endpoint in particular (from 2450 keV DD neutrons) will also be used as a "standard candle" for translating between LZ response and ex situ calibrations. The source offers a robust, precise feature far from detector threshold (cf. Figure 10.3.1). Recent advances in the understanding of energy reconstruction in LXe have rendered the standard candle less of a requirement and more a provision for redundancy. For example, LUX was able to rely on absolute measurements of n_e and n_{ph}, along with the simple model described in [4], as implemented by the Noble Element Simulation Technique (NEST) [5].

Previous experiments [6] have used an electromagnetic source as standard candle. Drawbacks to that approach are a strong dependence on the applied electric field, and the difficulty of introducing an electromagnetic signal into a detector of the size of LZ. LZ will bypass these systematic uncertainties by using an NR energy deposition as the standard candle. Previous work has shown that NRs of this energy are only very weakly affected by the applied electric field [7].

Ex situ Nuclear Recoil Calibration

To fully exploit the discovery potential of LZ, a detailed understanding the NR response of LXe at the smallest energies is needed. LZ collaborators have proposed a series of dedicated measurements of the NR signal from very-low-energy NRs, to be performed in an external test bed. An ideal source of the requisite low-energy neutrons is the ⁷Li(p,n) reaction. As described in [8,9], this reaction provides highly mono-energetic, collimated neutrons at either 24 keV or 73 keV. The neutrons will produce Xe recoils with endpoint energies of 0.73 and 2.2 keV. For direct comparison, the test bed will also measure the DD endpoint at 74 keV.

Time Stability

Stability of the detector response in time will be monitored by regular calibrations with an internal metastable krypton source, as described previously and in Section 10.2.

Xenon Skin and Liquid Scintillator Veto

The LZ design goal conservatively assumes a 100keV energy threshold in the Xe skin region. Attainment of this goal will be demonstrated using a suite of gamma sources: ¹³³Ba (356 keV), ⁵⁷Co (122 keV), ²⁰⁷Bi (83 keV), and ²⁴¹Am (60 keV).

Calibration of the liquid scintillator (LS) will be demonstrated using the same radioactive sources used for the Xe skin. This is facilitated by the fact that the source tubes are positioned between the Xe skin and the scintillator veto in the vacuum space between the inner and outer cryostat vessels.

The LZ design goal also assumes a 100-keV energy threshold in the LS veto component of the outer detector. This is quite conservative, considering that



Figure 10.1.2. Preliminary simulation of the LS outer detector, showing response to neutrons. Capture on hydrogen results in 2.2 MeV, indicated by the arrow.

detailed Monte Carlo studies indicate an average signal response of >10 photoelectrons per 100 keV of deposited energy (see Chapter 7). Additional higher-energy calibration of the light yield will be obtained from neutron capture on hydrogen (2225 keV), 60 Co(2506 keV from two distinct gammas), and neutron capture on gadolinium (approximately 8 MeV gamma cascade). These calibrations have previously been used successfully by Daya Bay. We note that the LZ outer detector does not capture all the energy from high-energy gamma cascades as frequently as does the thicker Daya Bay Antineutrino Detectors. Nevertheless, such neutron-capture events will still provide important veto capabilities.

10.2 Internal Calibration Sources

As discussed in the next section, the self-shielding ability of LXe is a double-edged sword. External calibration sources face severe difficulty in probing the central detector volume. Low-energy calibrations in the detector's fiducial volume can only be accomplished through long (>>1 day) exposure to high-energy (>1 MeV) sources. Internal calibration sources are thus essential to accomplishing the science goals of LZ.

Metastable Krypton (^{83m}Kr)

Metastable ^{83m}Kr has served as the calibration workhorse of LUX. Its homogenously distributed lowenergy decays enable the construction of high-resolution 3-D maps of S1 and S2 detector response. An illustration of this mapping in LUX is shown in Figure 10.2.1 for the z dependence of the signal, and Figure 10.2.2 for the (x,y) dependence of the S1 signal.



Figure 10.2.1. Data from the LUX detector, showing the S1 (scintillation) and S2 (electron) response to ⁸³Kr, as a function of the event drift time. The source gives a direct calibration of the detector response, which varies significantly as a function of the z coordinate. Blue lines indicate the 68% contours.

The ^{83m}Kr will pass through the getter in the Xe handling system, to remove any impurities. Its 1.83-hour half-life is short enough to allow an injected dose to decay quickly below quiescent background, but is long enough to be easily injected and observed.^{83m}Kr is continuously produced within a simple generator consisting of ⁸³Rb-infused charcoal. ⁸³Rb exhibits a conveniently long half-life of 86.2 days. ^{83m}Kr decays consist of a distinctive and easy-to-tag twostep process: A 32.1-keV de-excitation is followed by a 9.4-keV transition to ground, with half-life between the two of 154 ns. In LZ, as in LUX, the two S1 signals will be separately resolvable, but the two S2 signals will appear as one combined pulse with the total energy of 41.6 keV.



Figure 10.2.2. Data from the LUX detector, showing the S1 (scintillation) response to 83m Kr as a function of (x,y).

Regular twice-weekly injections have been used successfully in LUX to monitor the response of the detector. The primary utility of this is to measure the free-electron lifetime, which depends on Xe purity. Similarly, this temporal calibration can detect any variation in the photomultiplier response. Such variations would likely indicate photocathode degradation, which has been observed in, e.g., the XENON10 experiment (though not in LUX).

Tritium (³H)

As shown in Figure 10.1.1, LUX has recently demonstrated the first successful calibration of the lowenergy ER band using ³H in the form of tritiated methane. The same technique will be employed for LZ. This calibration depends on the ability to completely remove the ³H after the calibration, since the 12.3year half-life and 18.6-keV endpoint would otherwise be catastrophic for a successful dark-matter search. LZ will have more stringent background requirements than LUX, and careful measurements have been made to ensure efficient methane-removal capabilities are implemented, consistent with these requirements.

Injecting tritium in the form of tritiated methane $(CH_3^{3}H)$ enables the rapid removal of the tritium, both because getters are extremely effective at adsorbing methane, and because methane has a low absorptivity into PTFE detector components relative to bare tritium. Dedicated tests of methane-removal capabilities have shown efficient and complete removal from a small LXe detector test bed. The LUX experiment observed complete removal with a 6.7-hour half-life [10], while LZ is planning for a somewhat longer purification timescale of ~2 days.

Activated Xenon

The detector medium itself has been used as an internal calibration source in Xe-based detectors [11], taking advantage primarily of the metastable isotopes ^{129m}Xe (236 keV, 8.9-day half-life) and ^{131m}Xe (164 keV, 11.8-day half-life). These states result from fast neutron exposure, and are populated by cosmogenic (muon-induced) neutron activation. These activated Xe states are useful, but not ideal, as their energies are much higher than the WIMP-search region of interest. Recently, LUX has observed the electron capture lines from ¹²⁷Xe [12]. These are mono-energetic at the binding energy of the Xe atom, and thus in principle very useful for calibrating the low-energy ER response. However, the isotope decays with a 35-day half-life, and so is also a potential background. LZ is taking precautions to minimize cosmogenic activation of the Xe (see Chapter 9). If ^{129m}Xe and ^{131m}Xe could be produced through specialized neutron exposures that avoid ¹²⁷Xe production, these 236-keV and 164-keV decays could be useful measures of the Xe skin response. The practicalities of this specific activation are currently under study.

²²⁰Rn

Some regions of the Xe skin may have poor light-collection efficiency, requiring an even higher-energy internal source for successful calibration. We plan to use ²²⁰Rn (6.4 MeV alpha emitter, 56-s half-life) to study skin regions. ²²⁰Rn can be generated using a thorium oxide powder generator, and results in no long-lived daughters (stable ²⁰⁸Pb is reached in 11 hours). The practicalities of ²²⁰Rn are currently under study, particularly the question of whether this source is sufficiently dispersible given its short half-life.

Plumbing, Instrumentation, and Sources

The internal calibration system consists of both the sources themselves and the requisite injection plumbing, shown schematically in Figure 10.2.3. The ^{83m}Kr generator is constructed by placing ⁸³Rb (in aqueous solution) on clean charcoal, and then placing that charcoal inside a section of tube sealed at both ends by particulate filters (to prevent the loss of charcoal). The ⁸³Rb activity is in the µCi range, practically obtained by placing a discrete number of drops of solution onto the charcoal. ⁸³Rb emits gammas at 520.4, 529.6, and 552.6 keV, which are convenient for measuring the activity of the ^{83m}Kr generator. Because of the anticipated high frequency of ^{83m}Kr injections, the LZ ^{83m}Kr injection system will be fully automated: Pneumatic valves on either side of the generator will be remotely controllable,



Figure 10.2.3. Schematic of the internal source handling and deployment.

and the dose activity will be controlled through this valve timing in conjunction with an output-side low-flow mass flow controller. Additional R&D will precisely calibrate the delivered dose activity.

The CH_3 ³H source system consists of a storage bottle containing a mixture of purified Xe and a tiny quantity (about one pCi) of tritiated methane. A series of dose volumes are defined, such that the storage-bottle activity can be carefully injected in increasingly active steps (to avoid accidentally overdosing the detector). Because methane cannot pass through the getter, this injection must take place downstream of the getter. Studies at the University of Maryland have shown that non-methane hydrocarbons can be present in any methane sample, which is remedied by employing an inline methane purifier.

²²⁰Rn and activated Xe will only be injected very occasionally (if at all) and can be injected using the 83m Kr and CH₃³H injection plumbing, respectively.

The dispersal of injected sources within the LXe of the TPC is an object of study and design within WBS 1.5. We require mixing times similar to the decay half-lives of the injected sources.

10.3 External Calibration Sources

LZ will have three vertical source tubes in the vacuum space between the inner and outer titanium cryostats, as discussed in Chapter 8. The source tubes are constrained to have an inner diameter of 30 mm, large enough to accommodate deployment of commercial sources in nearly all cases. The necessary source strengths are well within the available range. Sources that cannot be obtained commercially in our requisite form factor and rate will be fabricated by LZ. The source tubes will be sealed at both ends and pumped out when not in use, to mitigate the plating of radon daughters. Additionally, two of the neutron sources (YBe and DD) require their own dedicated conduits, as described below.

In this section, we first describe the physics requirements met by each source. We then describe the physical deployment of the sources.

Neutron Sources

A suite of four neutron sources will provide a broad-spectrum NR calibration, with the additional benefit of four distinct kinematic endpoints, as shown in Figure 10.3.1.

Americium Beryllium (AmBe) and Americium Lithium (AmLi) Neutron Sources

AmBe neutron sources have typically been the broadspectrum neutron source of choice, as they cover the range, from threshold to in excess of 300 keV recoil energy. The motivation to also use an AmLi source is the lower maximum neutron energy of about 1.5 MeV, which results in a fairly distinct endpoint at about 40 keV.

Simulations show that the yield of single-scatter NR candidates with energy less than 25 keV is comparable to AmBe but with an enhanced fraction of events at low



Figure 10.3.1. Energy spectrum obtained from each of the four primary neutron-calibration sources, showing broad-spectrum coverage and kinematic endpoints.

recoil energy (less than 10 keV). The rates shown in Figure 10.3.1 would be obtained in about three hours of live time, assuming 100 neutron/s source strength. It is notable that the (α,n) yield is lower for AmLi than for AmBe, so that a higher americium activity of about 2.5 mCi is required to obtain a source strength of 100 neutron/s. The sources will be encapsulated and there are no additional safety concerns.

Yttrium Beryllium Neutron Source (YBe)

A YBe neutron source [8] produces mono-energetic 152-keV neutrons from a (γ ,n) reaction on the Be nucleus; ⁸⁸Y provides predominantly 1.8-MeV gammas leading to a 4.5-keV NR endpoint. This will provide an anchor for the NR signal response near detector threshold. It will also be extremely useful for understanding the background and signal from ⁸B coherent elastic neutrino nucleus scattering. This source will also produce 10⁴ more 1.8-MeV gammas than neutrons. It therefore requires significant gamma shielding, and cannot be deployed in the source tubes. An independent, dedicated conduit at the top of the cryostat will allow a requisite 28 cm of tungsten shielding between the source and the detector. This is indicated by the arrow in Figure 10.3.2. The tungsten shielding will have a total mass of about 100 kg.

If the YBe source were to become stuck in the conduit, the low-energy detector response would be overwhelmed, which would be unacceptable. Two different techniques are being considered, and a conceptual design will be generated for each to assess their risks. In the first design, the source is counterweighted from three steel cables, so as to be neutrally buoyant. In the second design, the source is suspended using the existing overhead crane at SURF. In both cases, the YBe will be situated in a removable insert within the larger shield. This affords the possibility of removing it from the tungsten shielding even in the unlikely event that the tungsten mass were to become lodged in place. This insert will also provide shielding to make the YBe source safer to handle.

Deuterium (DD) Neutron Source

A deuterium-deuterium neutron generator provides monoenergetic 2.45-MeV neutrons, emitted isotropically in bunches. The generator will be deployed outside the water shield. This will allow significant collimation of the neutrons via a fixed, air-filled conduit through the water and scintillator veto, one of which is shown in Figure 10.3.2. It will be 6 cm in diameter and will be positioned on a radial axis, with its symmetry axis 10 cm below the gate grid. This will ensure that NRs from the source

experience minimal attenuation of the S2 signal. It will also ensure that the calibration is minimally limited by pileup (by limiting the event drift time). Monte Carlo studies indicate that the chosen size of the conduit maximizes the usable neutron flux while minimizing the impact on the shielding efficacy. A second conduit for DD neutrons will be located at an upward angle (not shown in Figure 10.3.2).

Preliminary single-scatter NR data from a DD calibration of LUX [13] is shown in Figure 10.3.3. Simulations indicate that a similar spectrum will be obtained in LZ, with a significant number of low-energy events and a robust endpoint.

The DD generator used by LUX will continue its service for LZ. An important planned upgrade of this generator will reduce the bunch width of emitted neutrons from several tens of microseconds down to about 100 ns. This will allow improved timeof-flight tagging.

This source provides a highly monoenergetic sample of neutrons arriving at the active region of the detector. This permits the possibility of tagging a second scatter to infer the recoil energy of the first scatter. Preliminary LUX analysis has demonstrated that very-low recoil energies, as low as about 1 keV, can be calibrated successfully with this technique. Dual-scatter events are used to calibrate the ionization yield (S2), since the two scatters are observed at separate times. The photon yield (S1) can then be inferred by using the calibrated S2 signal to assign an energy deposit to singlescatter events.

The larger size of LZ suggests it may be possible to use time-of-flight of the 2.45-MeV neutrons between scatters



Figure 10.3.2. Partial cutaway view of the LZ detector, showing the location of the YBe and DD neutron calibration conduits, as well as one (of three) source tubes. The YBe tungsten shielding block is not shown. A second (angled) DD conduit is also not shown.



Figure 10.3.3. Preliminary single-scatter NR S2 (electron) energy spectrum obtained with the LUX detector. Expectations for LZ are very similar, as shown in Figure 10.3.1.

to separately resolve the S1 signals. This could lead to increased accuracy of the measurement of primary scintillation signal yields. For example, a 100-cm path length gives rise to a 50-ns transit time. Studies are under way to explore the feasibility of this approach.

Effect of Gd Doping in the Outer Detector

As described in Chapter 7, the outer LS detector is doped with Gd to improve the neutron-capture efficiency and signal generation. Just as the self-shielding capability of the LXe benefits dark-matter search operation at the expense of the ease of calibration, so too does Gd doping. Specifically, the approximately 8-MeV gamma cascade following Gd neutron capture has the potential to introduce gamma pileup during neutron calibrations. A detailed study of this situation is under way, and preliminary results indicate that LZ will be able to comfortably calibrate at a rate of 100 neutrons per second, resulting in reasonable calibration times (measured in hours). Because the Gd capture time is about 30 µs, our baseline design provides neutrons from both the DD and YBe sources close to the top of the active LXe target. This minimizes the event drift time, and thus the effects of gamma pileup.

Gamma Sources for Calibration of the Active Xenon TPC

External gamma sources are not required for any of the primary calibrations of the active Xe TPC. This is by design, as the active region is intended to be self-shielded against external gammas. Nevertheless, several calibrations of secondary importance may be obtained from external gammas. These include studies of higher-energy backgrounds and signal fidelity near the edge of the TPC. A full suite of high-energy gamma sources may be used for this purpose, including ¹³⁷Cs (662 keV), ⁶⁰Co (1173 keV and 1332 keV), and ²⁰⁸Tl (2614 keV).

Gamma Sources for Calibration of Xenon Skin and the Scintillator Veto

As discussed above, both the Xe skin veto and the organic scintillator veto are designed to have fully efficient scintillation detection capabilities for electromagnetic energy depositions E > 100 keV. A suite of low-energy sources will be deployed in the source tubes to verify this performance. Several higher-energy sources will be used for higher statistics characterization of the signal yields. Additional details about the veto signal readout are given in Chapter 7.

Source Tubes Deployment

The source tubes are a fixed part of the cryostat system and are described in Chapter 8. Source tubes will be maintained under vacuum when not in use, to prevent Rn plate-out. The source deployment system will be a simple mechanical winch with welded steel cables attached to a small metal canister. The canister will be guided through the source tubes by PTFE fins, to prevent it from becoming lodged in the tube.

An unacceptable failure mode of this system would be a source stuck in a tube. As an additional engineering control against this eventuality, the sources are designed to be separately removable from the canister using a redundant, dedicated steel cable. Because the source diameter is more than a factor of 2 smaller than the source tubes, it is always possible to raise them back to the upper deck without any friction. A full-scale mockup of the design of this system is being constructed and will be exhaustively tested prior to deployment.

10.4 Ex situ Energy Calibration

An external calibration program offers the flexibility to probe a wider variety of energies and detector conditions without sacrificing valuable dark-matter search time. Although the funding for such measurements is not included in the LZ proposed baseline cost, we describe here a suite of ex situ "benchtop" measurements whose aim is to robustly characterize the low-energy NR response of LXe, as a function of both recoil energy and applied electric field.



Figure 10.4.1. Left: Schematic of the neutron source, shielding, and detector as deployed at LLNL CAMS for low-energy NR measurement. Right: Data obtained at CAMS using a small dual-phase liquid argon TPC.

Table 10.4.1. Primary low-energy NR calibration energies accessible at the LLNL CAMS facility in its present configuration. Other neutron energies may be obtained by simply changing the isotopic filter.

Fast Neutron Energy	Nuclear Recoil Endpoint Energy	ne
24 keV	0.73 keV	3-4
73 keV	2.2 keV	10-12
2450 keV	74 keV	210

One of the primary neutron sources that will be used in this campaign has already been developed at the LLNL Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (CAMS) Facility. The accelerator produces a tunable beam of approximately 2 MeV protons, which then impinge on a lithium target. A low-energy (approximately 10-100 keV) neutron beam results, via the ${}^{7}\text{Li}(p,n){}^{7}\text{Be}$ reaction. This reaction is kinematically constrained, with the outgoing neutron energy depending on both the outgoing neutron angle as well as the proton energy. This results in some width to the neutron-energy distribution, so the monochromaticity of the outgoing neutrons is further ensured using an isotopic notch filter. Figure 10.4.1 is a schematic of the neutron source as built at CAMS. The neutron beam is described in detail in [9], and a first series of measurements in a dual-phase liquid argon detector [14]. That work used the 70-keV notch in natural Fe to produce a kinematic endpoint at 6.7 keV in liquid argon.

The same source would result in 2.3 keV NRs in LXe. A dual-phase LXe detector with target mass of about 1 kg has recently been built. Initial measurements will focus on the S2 (electron) response. Later optimization of the photon-detection efficiency of the test-bed detector will permit a detailed study of the S1 (photon) response.

The present configuration provides either 24-keV or 70-keV neutrons, using natural iron as a filter. Other energies are accessible by changing to materials such as vanadium. The kinematic endpoint energies of these calibrations are summarized in Table 10.4.1, along with the expectations for the 2.45-MeV DD endpoint.

The 74-keV endpoint from DD neutrons will be used to ensure compatibility of response of the test-bed detector with respect to the LZ detector. Historically, this translation was performed using 122-keV gammas from ⁵⁷Co. The disadvantages of that method are that (1) the gammas are strongly affected by small variations in applied electric field, and (2) the gammas are not sufficiently penetrating to be useful for large LXe detectors.

As an additional cross-check, an ex situ measurement of the 4.5-keV kinematic endpoint from YBe could be made.

Chapter 10 References

- [1] D. S. Akerib et al. (LUX), Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 091303 (2014), arXiv:1310.8214 [astro-ph].
- [2] T. Shutt, C. E. Dahl, J. Kwong, A. Bolozdynya, and P. Brusov, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A579, 451 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0608137 [astro-ph].
- [3] J. Lindhard and M. Scharff, Phys. Rev. 124, 128 (1961); J. Lindhard, V. Nielsen, M. Scharff, and P. Thomsen, Kgl. Danske Videnskab., Selskab. Mat. Fys. Medd. 33 (1963).
- [4] P. Sorensen and C. E. Dahl, Phys. Rev. D83, 063501 (2011), arXiv:1101.6080 [astro-ph].
- [5] M. Szydagis, N. Barry, K. Kazkaz, J. Mock, D. Stolp, M. Sweany, M. Tripathi, S. Uvarov, N. Walsh, and M. Woods (NEST), J. Instrum. 6, P10002 (2011), arXiv:1106.1613 [physics.ins-det].
- [6] E. Aprile et al. (XENON100), Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 181301 (2012), arXiv:1207.5988 [astro-ph].
- [7] E. Aprile, C. E. Dahl, L. DeViveiros, R. Gaitskell, K. L. Giboni, J. Kwong, P. Majewski, K. Ni, T. Shutt, and M. Yamashita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 081302 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601552 [astro-ph].
- [8] J. I. Collar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 211101 (2013), arXiv:1303.2686 [physics.ins-det].
- [9] T. H. Joshi, S. Sangiorgio, V. Mozin, E. B. Norman, P. Sorensen, M. Foxe, G. Bench, and A. Bernstein, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B333, 6 (2014), arXiv:1403.1285 [physics.ins-det].
- [10] D. S. Akerib *et al.* (LUX), "Tritium calibration of the LUX dark matter experiment," (2015), [manuscript in preparation].
- [11] K. Ni, R. Hasty, T. M. Wongjirad, L. Kastens, A. Manzur, and D. N. McKinsey, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A582, 569 (2007), arXiv:0708.1976 [physics.ins-det].
- [12] D. S. Akerib *et al.* (LUX), "Ultra-Low Energy Calibration of the LUX Detector using the ¹²⁷Xe Electron Capture," (2015), [manuscript in preparation].
- [13] D. S. Akerib *et al.* (LUX), "Low Energy (0.7 74 keV_{nr}) Nuclear Recoil Calibration of the LUX Dark Matter Experiment Using D-D Neutron Scattering Kinematics," (2015), [manuscript in preparation].
- [14] T. H. Joshi, S. Sangiorgio, A. Bernstein, M. Foxe, C. Hagmann, I. Jovanovic, K. Kazkaz, V. Mozin, E. B. Norman, S. V. Pereverzev, F. Rebassoo, and P. Sorensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 171303 (2014), arXiv:1402.2037 [physics.ins-det].